

CITY OF VANCOUVERREGULAR COUNCIL MEETING

A Regular Meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Tuesday, August 24, 1971, in the Council Chamber at approximately 9:30 A.M.

PRESENT: His Worship the Mayor
Alderman Adams, Broome, Calder, Hardwick,
Phillips, Rankin, Sweeney and Wilson

ABSENT: Alderman Bird
Alderman Linnell (Leave of Absence - illness)

PRAYER The proceedings in the Council Chamber were opened with prayer.

'IN CAMERA' MEETING The Council agreed to an 'In Camera' meeting later this day.

ADOPTION OF MINUTES

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,
SECONDED by Ald. Calder,

THAT the Minutes of the Regular Council meeting dated August 10, 1971 be adopted, except for the item dealing with Cassiar/Highway 401 (Charles and Williams Streets) contained on pages 16 to 18, which item be held for adoption later.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the Minutes of the Special Council meeting dated August 17, 1971, be adopted.

- CARRIED

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT This Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, His Worship the Mayor in the Chair.

- CARRIED

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

1. Certain Local Improvements
on Special Grounds

At the Special Council meeting on July 29th, following the Court of Revision, the following resolution was passed relating to certain local improvement projects which the administration was recommending be proceeded with on special grounds:

"THAT Items Nos. 40, 41 and 42 be referred to a subsequent meeting of Council for further consideration, at which time the Board of Administration report of July 19, 1971 'Local Improvements on Special Grounds', be also considered, and that the Traffic Engineer be present during the consideration".

The Council further considered the report of the Board of Administration dated July 19th, 1971, and considered the following projects:

- (a) Pavements and Curbs, Knight Street from 49th Avenue to 57th Avenue.
- (b) Pavement and Curbs, Rupert Street from 1st Avenue to 2nd Avenue on the E/S and from Graveley Street to 2nd Avenue on the W/S
- (c) Pavement and Curbs, 49th Avenue from Oak Street to Elm Street on the N/S and from Oak Street to Marine Crescent on the S/S

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 2

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Certain Local Improvements
on Special Grounds (cont'd)

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT the project for pavement and curbs on Knight Street from 49th Avenue to 57th Avenue be approved but all costs be paid out of General Revenue.

- LOST

MOVED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the project for pavement and curbs on Knight Street from 49th Avenue to 57th Avenue be proceeded with on Special Grounds.

- LOST

(Not having obtained
the required 8 votes)

MOVED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the project for pavement on curbs on Rupert Street from 1st Avenue to 2nd Avenue on the east side and from Graveley Street to 2nd Avenue on the west side be proceeded with on special grounds.

- CARRIED BY THE
REQUIRED MAJORITY

MOVED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the project for pavement and curbs on 49th Avenue from Oak Street to Elm Street on the north side and from Oak Street to Marine Crescent on the south side be proceeded with on special grounds.

- CARRIED BY THE
REQUIRED MAJORITY

MOVED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the City Engineer be authorized to call tenders for the foregoing three projects.

- CARRIED

Parking Restrictions

Alderman Hardwick requested a report from the City Engineer on the policy of determining installation of 7:00 A.M. to 9:00 A.M. and 4:00 P.M. to 6:00 P.M. parking restrictions, e.g. are residents affected notified, do they have any rights of appeal, etc.

2. Social Service Department: Establishment of
Financial and Administrative Services Division
and Social Services Division

The Council considered the following Board of Administration report of July 23, 1971, which was tabled on July 27th to give the Municipal and Regional Employees' Union an opportunity to consider it:

"Your Board has received the following report from the Director of Welfare Services:

"ITEM I - Total Departmental Staff Requirement

On October 22, 1970, Council when dealing with the Board of Administration report of September 25, 1970 approved a total of 191 positions for the Department, exclusive of Taylor Manor and Pacific Hostel staff and Public Health Nurses seconded from the Health Department. By that report, it was also established that 129 positions would be required for the Financial and Administrative Services Division.

Since my appointment, the positions have been reviewed and as a result I recommend the following permanent Departmental establishment:

cont'd...

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 3

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Report on Social Service Department
(continued)

	<u>Recommended</u>	<u>Oct. 22/70 Authorization</u>
Director	1	1
Systems Analyst	1	1
Staff Trainer	1	
Financial & Administrative Services Division	140	129
Social Service Division		
City Positions	21	33
Provincial Positions	<u>27</u>	<u>27</u>
	191	191
Taylor Manor Staff	12	12
Pacific Hostel Staff	17	17
Public Health Nurses	<u>8½</u>	<u>8½</u>
	<u>37½</u>	<u>37½</u>
Total Department Establishment	<u>228½</u>	<u>228½</u>

Details are shown on the attached Appendices

ITEM II - Implementation of Financial and Administrative
Services Division

Considerable progress has been made on the establishment of the Financial and Administrative Services Division. The Division Head, Mr. H.I. McLean, was appointed on February 1, 1971.

The Division has been organized into three branches, namely:

- (i) Clerical Services
- (ii) Financial Services
- (iii) Accounting Services

Branch Heads have been appointed for Financial Services - Mr. E. Hepting; and for Accounting Services - Mr. A. Inglis. I anticipate that the Clerical Services Branch Head will be appointed within the next two weeks.

With the assistance of the Systems Analysts many unnecessary procedures have been eliminated, and a system evolved which will provide for more effective use of staff, better control over eligibility and issue and a faster service to applicants.

This Division has assumed responsibility for:

- (i) providing all administrative and clerical services;
- (ii) receiving applications, establishing eligibility, recommending and issuing allowances;
- (iii) preparing and rendering accounts to obtain reimbursement of monies disbursed, approval of accounts payable, preparation of Canada Assistance Plan claims;
- (iv) other related duties;

in accordance with the recommendations included in the Board of Administration report of September 25, 1970 and approved by Council resolution of October 22, 1970.

cont'd...

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 4

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Report on Social Service Department
(continued)

Clerical Services Branch

The recommendations in previous reports have been implemented, particularly in the Records Branch where a new filing system has been installed. Review of other clerical processing is still in progress.

Accounting Services Branch

Personnel to fill the permanent positions previously approved for this Branch are still being selected. Considerable assistance has been given by the Director of Finance and members of the Data Processing Branch. Review of procedures continues.

Financial Services Branch

Financial assistance will be provided to people in need through the existing five District Offices - namely: East, North, South, West and Single Men's. Investigation is currently in process relative to relocation of the North District Office outside of the 8th Avenue Building. Within the next six months a careful review of the Single Men's District Office operation will be completed which may result in older indigent single men being transferred to the other four District Offices.

Each District Office will contain three sections, namely:

- (i) Financial Aid Section
- (ii) Clerical Services Section
- (iii) Rehabilitation Services Section

There will be no Unit Directors. Functional supervision will be exercised from Central Office. This is an essential change in management lines to ensure consistency throughout the entire Department. Considerable inconsistency has existed between Units in the past.

The Financial Aid Section in each District Office will be staffed by one or more Social Service Assistants IA (the working supervisors) and a number of Social Service Assistants I in accordance with rated volume of cases handled.

(a) Implementation Action

It would be impossible to implement the new system in all District Offices at the same time. Accordingly, it was decided to select the East District Office as the 'prototype' and test the new system for 30 to 45 days commencing on June 14, 1971. Following the test period, all District Offices will be staffed and operating on the new system by approximately August 23, 1971.

(b) Responsibilities of Social Service Assistants

The Social Service Assistants in each District Office will be responsible for:

- (i) assisting in completion of Social Assistance applications;

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 5

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Report on Social Service Department
(continued)

- (ii) advising applicant whether he is eligible; how much assistance he will receive; when to expect first cheque; the responsibilities of applicant to keep Department informed of changes in financial circumstances, residence, etc.;
- (iii) issuing emergency assistance, if required, before first cheque is available;
- (iv) completing application for medical coverage, if applicable;
- (v) preparing Identification Card;
- (vi) completing Social Data Form. This is a new form which has been specially designed to provide basic information on applicant's potential for employment;
- (vii) in case of rejection, to provide applicant, in writing on form letter, reason for rejection and specific direction for administrative review. Criticism is currently levelled against the Department from applicants who do not know why they have been rejected. Instituting this automatic procedure will circumvent 'hassling' of staff and provide the applicant with an appeal in a rapid and efficient manner. Furthermore, it enables the administration to monitor interpretation of policy by staff and to make necessary corrections;
- (viii) handling all overages.

(c) Cheque Inquiries

All telephone or personal inquiries regarding cheques will be handled by a designated clerical person in each District Office. Under the existing system many valuable hours of Social Worker's time is expended on this activity for which they are not trained nor hired to perform.

(d) Home Visits

No home visits will be made by Social Service Assistants in the District Offices. These will be handled by a Central Office Home Audit Group, under the Eligibility Section. This Group will comprise 2 Social Service Assistant 1A and 8 Social Service Assistants I. The Home Audit Service will automatically make a home visit on every 10th person enrolled on assistance, or recipient where there is a change in financial circumstances. Additional home visits will be made on receipt of request from District Office Social Service Assistants. This will be a major improvement over the present system.

(e) Appointment Scheduling

The daily schedules of the Social Service Assistants in the District Offices, including the Supervisor, will be strictly programmed. It is estimated that the majority of new applicants and requests from existing recipients can be adequately handled in less than 30 minute interviews. The

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 6

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Report on Social Service Department
(continued)

Appointment Scheduling (cont'd)

appointment schedules for the Social Service Assistants will be arranged by a Receptionist in each District Office. Where a Social Service Assistant requires additional time he will notify the Receptionist.

(f) Casework Relationship

There will be no 'casework relationship' between the Social Service Assistants and the recipient. A Social Service Assistant taking an application from a particular applicant may not see the same person again. Introduction of this concept will circumvent some of the problems which have arisen from close dependency on a particular worker. In essence, the nature of the service is purely financial assistance and there is no need for a continuing relationship.

Under the new system, Social Workers in the Department will be divorced of all responsibility pertaining to applications for assistance, overages, etc. They will, of course, need to be fully aware of financial assistance policies and procedures and will need to have the closest possible working relationship with the Social Service Assistants in the District Offices. This is a most progressive move in that it facilitates the development of highly skilled staff to handle financial assistance matters and to develop the social work staff to deal with the problem of getting as many recipients as possible into a position of economic independence through tested rehabilitation techniques.

ITEM III - Establishment of Social Services Division

To meet with the second major directive of City Council (resolution of October 22, 1970), namely:

'to concentrate the work of Social Services on those recipients who are most likely to become economically independent because of rehabilitation efforts',

a proposed restructuring of the Social Services Division has been evolved as outlined in Appendix III.

This Division to encompass four branches, namely:

- (i) Rehabilitation Services Branch
- (ii) Special Services Branch
- (iii) Health Care and Aging Branch
- (iv) Transient Persons Branch

(a) Staff Positions Required

See Appendix III for Social Services Division structure and staff requirement. Note: Position classifications are not shown as they have yet to be established.

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 7

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Report on Social Service Department
(continued)

(b) Rehabilitation Services Branch

This Branch will be responsible for:

- (i) transmitting Departmental rehabilitation policy to District Office Rehabilitation Supervisors;
- (ii) in co-operation with District Office Rehabilitation Supervisors, developing required procedures to carry out policies;
- (iii) in co-operation with District Office Rehabilitation Supervisors, recruiting, training and placement of Social Work staff into the five District Offices;
- (iv) keeping the Assistant Director - Social Services Division continually informed of effectiveness of program, results and need for changes;
- (v) maintaining necessary working relationship with the Division Head of Financial Services;
- (vi) maintaining necessary working relationship with relative community rehabilitation resources.

(c) Responsibilities of District Office Rehabilitation Staff

The rehabilitation staff in the District Offices will be directly responsible for assisting recipients to make the most effective use of the resources of the Department and the community at large with the objective of attaining maximum economic independence. Each District Office is to be staffed by a Rehabilitation Supervisor and four or more Social Workers depending on volume.

The Social Data Form completed by the Financial Services staff will be transmitted to the Rehabilitation Supervisor in the District Office who will review the form and immediately make one of two decisions:

- (i) establish conclusively that no rehabilitation action is feasible. A notation will be made on the file that the case has been reviewed and no action indicated. In a minority of cases of this nature, there may be indication for temporary or continuing medical follow-up and a note will be forwarded by the Rehabilitation Supervisor to the Branch Head - Health Care and Aging.
- (ii) establish that the recipient should be interviewed by one of the District Office Rehabilitation Workers. The recipient will be contacted within days of being granted assistance and asked to come into the office. The Rehabilitation Worker will then develop a 'profile' or detailed assessment of the recipient. From this profile a decision will be made on potential for rehabilitation. If no potential indicated, no action will be taken. In some instances, for example, the deserted mother with pre-school children, further planning may be deferred. Where potential is evident, the Rehabilitation Worker will discuss the situation with the

continued . . .

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Report on Social Service Department
(continued)

recipient and encourage the person to develop a 'plan' that is understood, acceptable and realistic. Having developed the 'plan', the Rehabilitation Worker will then direct the person to available resources to ensure realization. The 'plan' will be modified as circumstances dictate.

(d) Health Care & Aging Branch

Within the next three months in conjunction with the Medical Health Officer, an assessment will be made of the operation of this Branch of the Department which is staffed with both Social Workers and Public Health Nurses.

(e) Special Services Branch

This Branch will include:

- (i) Vancouver Opportunities Program
- (ii) Creative Job Search
- (iii) Education and Training Section
- (iv) Work Activity Section
- (v) Home Management
- (vi) Day Care

(f) Vancouver Opportunities Program

This is one of the most progressive rehabilitation resources in Canada. One Social Worker will be assigned to establish more effective working arrangements with the Opportunities Organization and to initiate the necessary policies and procedures for District Office rehabilitation staff to make use of this resource. At present there are over 360 recipients on this program.

(g) Creative Job Search

This is another very progressive resource operated by 3 Social Workers, for use of District Office rehabilitation staff. It is used specifically for persons who have the physical and mental capacity to work, but little understanding of their capabilities, have difficulty holding a job, do not know how to put the capabilities in an organized way to a prospective employer and, in general, lack understanding of the demands of industry. This program has been in operation for over a year and has proven to be one of the fastest and most effective techniques to get persons into employment.

As part of the rehabilitation program, Rehabilitation staff will be made aware of job opportunities available by the Provincial Alliance of Businessmen, Canada Manpower and employers.

(h) Education and Training Section

A Social Service Worker will be responsible for liaison with all existing and potential training resources (e.g. - Canada Manpower, Vancouver City College, private training schools etc.). Specifically this person will develop the necessary system and procedures for use by the rehabilitation workers.

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 9

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Report on Social Service Department
(continued)

ITEM III - Establishment of Social Services Division (cont'd)

(i) Work Activity Section

This is a rehabilitation service for persons who require work experience under controlled conditions. Each participant is paid the going wage rate for the job performed. Duties of the Social Worker assigned to this project include maintaining contact with all participants on work activity projects and assessing each individual's progress and readiness for competitive employment. To date one program has been completed with the Park Board; currently the Provincial Government has assigned 50 jobs in the Cypress Bowl development project for Vancouver Social Service recipients. Suitable persons have now been selected to fill the jobs and the Social Worker is continuing to assess the progress of each person involved.

(j) Home Management

At present a part-time Nutritionist is seconded to the Department from the Health Department. The other part of her time is devoted to duties at the Vancouver Children's Aid Society.

Discussions are in progress with the Medical Health Officer concerning the feasibility of providing one full time Nutritionist to:

- (i) provide a consultant nutritionist service to designated recipients;
- (ii) provide advice to District Office rehabilitation workers on nutritional, budgeting and home management matters.

(k) Day Care

It is proposed to have one full time Social Worker on a short term experimental basis, to examine this resource to help mothers (with children) on assistance to get out to work. The program when developed will be reported to Council for approval, before implementation, and to the Provincial Department for cost sharing as a special project.

ITEM IV - Staff Training and Development

This section will require one Social Service Worker and one Clerk Typist. The Social Service Worker will report to the Director.

Responsibilities

- (i) To organize an orientation course and provide same to all new staff joining the Department. Specifically the orientation course will include the objectives and structure of the Department, and the functions of each branch and section. The same course would be provided to all permanent staff.

cont'd.....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 10

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Report on Social Service Department
(continued)

- (ii) To provide a detailed outline of specific information on legislation, policies and procedures, communication channels, etc., to ensure that staff know what other people do, as well as information about their own duties.
- (iii) To organize in-service training sessions for various groups of staff.

ITEM V - Change of Name of Department

To clearly reflect the objectives of the Department:

- (i) financial assistance for people in need, and
- (ii) rehabilitation services for recipients with potential for employment,

I recommend that the City change the name of the Department from 'Social Service Department' to 'Welfare & Rehabilitation Department'.

ITEM VI - General Comment

I am most impressed with the staff of the Social Service Department and I think they should be highly commended for having 'stuck it out' under the most trying circumstances. The uncertainty as to what was going to happen raised anxiety levels to unbelievable heights. I am pleased to report that most of the anxiety has been relieved by the definitive action taken in recent weeks and the staff is looking forward to completion of the reorganization and moving on with the new system which I believe most agree is a proper and necessary approach.

Welfare Departments are being severely criticized from coast to coast. Much of this criticism is justified because in the past sufficient attention has not been given to helping people on assistance with potential for employment to use available training and employment opportunities to achieve economic independence. Most welfare departments, strange as it may seem, have carried on from year to year with the assumption that this was someone else's responsibility. It is readily apparent that there are and will be many people who require long term financial assistance because of age, physical and/or mental impairment, etc. However, in the majority of instances, assistance should be short term and major emphasis has to be placed on rehabilitation. The alternative is long term dependency with its many social problems.

ITEM VII - Provision of Funds

The Comptroller of Accounts advises that in establishing the Departmental budget for the fiscal year 1971 sufficient funds were provided to defray the City's portion of all salary costs for the permanent positions recommended in this report. Therefore no further funds are required.

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 11

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Report on Social Service Department
(continued)

ITEM VIII - Summary of Recommendations

As Director of Welfare Services, I RECOMMEND that:

- (a) the Department organization as outlined in this report be adopted and the total number of permanently established positions be 228;
- (b) the Financial and Administrative Services Division have a total of 140 permanently established positions as shown on Appendix I of this report;
- (c) the Social Service Division have a total of 85½ permanently established positions as shown on Appendix I of this report; (including 27 Provincial employee positions)
- (d) the name of the Department be changed to 'Welfare and Rehabilitation Department';
- (e) the Board of Administration be authorized to approve for each permanently established position the classifications and pay grades in connection with this reorganization (in accordance with Council resolution of July 22, 1969).

Your Board note that no further funds are required to implement the recommendations of the Director of Welfare Services, and

RECOMMEND that:

- (i) the report be received and tabled for a period of two weeks to give the Municipal and Regional Employees' Union an opportunity to consider the report, and
- (ii) the recommendations of the Director of Welfare Services be adopted at a subsequent Council meeting.
- (iii) the report be submitted to the Honourable P.A. Gaglardi, Minister of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement, so that he can have the opportunity of giving his comments and approving the establishment for grant purposes.

NOTE: This report recommends the establishment of the required number of permanent positions for a satisfactory level of service under present conditions.

There are already indications that special conditions may be imposed upon the Department, which will ultimately result in requests being made for additional temporary positions to meet such special conditions.

(The appendices referred to are on file in the City Clerk's Office)

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 12

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Report on Social Service Department
(continued)

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT the following recommendations of the Board of Administration be adopted:

- (i) the recommendations of the Director of Welfare Services be adopted;
- (ii) the report be submitted to the Honourable P.A. Gaglardi, Minister of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement, so that he can have the opportunity of giving his comments and approving the establishment for grant purposes.

- CARRIED

3. Museums Department:
Attendants and Cashiers

The Council considered the following Board of Administration report dated August 6th concerning attendants and cashiers in the Museums Department:

"On July 13, 1971 City Council received a report entitled 'Interim Administration and Operation - Centennial & Maritime Museums and Planetarium'. Recommendation IV (which was adopted) was as follows:

'A detailed survey of all aspects of operation of the total complex be undertaken by the Administrative Analyst, and the Board of Administration report to Council from time to time on recommended changes in organization and operation.'

Your Board now submit the following report concerning Attendants and Cashiers.

(a) Centennial & Maritime Museums
Hours Open to Public

	<u>PRESENT</u>	<u>PROPOSED</u>
School Days - Monday to Friday	9:00 a.m. -	9:00 a.m. -
- 35 Weeks	9:00 p.m.	5:00 p.m.
Saturdays, Sundays and Holidays	11:00 a.m. -	12 Noon -
- 114 Days	10:00 p.m.	8:00 p.m.
Non School Days - Monday to Friday	9:00 a.m. -	12 Noon -
- 17 Weeks	9:00 p.m.	8:00 p.m.

Note: Opening hours to be extended to 10:00 p.m. during week-ends in the Summer periods.

During week day periods when the Museums are closed at 5:00 p.m. it will be necessary to have one Attendant on duty in the foyer to receive patrons attending the Planetarium shows, meetings in the Auditorium and restaurant patrons. An Attendant will be required in the Planetarium during the course of each show.

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 13

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Museums Department:
Attendants and Cashiers (cont'd)

(b) Basic Manning Per Shift

	<u>PRESENT</u>	<u>PROPOSED</u>
<u>Centennial Museum</u>		
Galleries	3	2
Special Exhibit	1	-
Foyer	1	1
Relief	1	-
Supervisor	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
	<u>7</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Planetarium</u>		
Gallery	1	-
Theatre	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>
	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Maritime Museum</u>		
Supervisor	1	-
Gallery	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Cashiers</u>		
Centennial Museum	1	1
Maritime Museum	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
Total Per Shift	<u>14</u>	<u>8</u>

Three additional Attendants may be employed in the Centennial Museum and one additional Attendant may be employed in the Maritime Museum on Saturdays, Sundays and Holidays from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. and one relief Attendant when required during week-days. An Attendant may be provided for the St. Roch from 9:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. during school periods.

(c) Estimated Cost Comparison for Basic Manning,
 Additional and Relief Attendants

	<u>PRESENT</u>		<u>PROPOSED</u>	
<u>Weekly</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>\$ (A)</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>\$ (A)</u>
Monday	94½	\$ 253	46	\$ 142
Tuesday	186	482	79	237
Wednesday	186	485	79	237
Thursday	186	485	79	237
Friday	193	500	79	237
Saturday	195	497	85½	256
Sunday	190	477	85½	256
Provision for Statutory Holidays, Increments and Supervision		<u>417</u>		<u>350</u>
Estimated Weekly Cost	<u>1230½</u>	<u>\$ 3,596</u>	<u>522½</u>	<u>\$1,952</u>
Estimated Monthly Cost		<u>\$15,583</u>		<u>\$8,458</u>

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 14

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Museums Department:
Attendants and Cashiers (cont'd)

(A) Based on rates applicable to varying positions

Annual Cost (B)

1971 Budget \$187,000

Estimated Annual Cost Based
on Revised Schedule \$101,500

(B) Exclusive of fringe benefits

(d) Numbers of Employees

	<u>PRESENT</u>	* <u>PROPOSED</u>
Group A - working 35 hour week	14	7
Group B - working 3 or more shifts but less than 35 hours per week	28	9
Group C - working less than 3 shifts per week	<u>12</u>	<u>9</u>
Total	<u>54</u>	<u>25</u>

* Actual numbers in each group may be varied from time to time depending upon the program being conducted and the availability of suitable employees.

City Council at its meeting of April 27, 1971 adopted a Supplementary Agreement (known as Schedule D) to the Collective Agreement entered into between the City and the Municipal and Regional Employees' Union covering special provisions that apply to Museum Attendants I and II and Cashier Attendants.

Section 4, Subsection (I) states:

'The services of every employee covered by this Schedule shall be subject to termination upon thirty (30) days notice in writing.'

As noted above, there are at present 54 employees covered by the Supplementary Agreement, but after implementation of the proposals contained in this report 25 Attendants and Cashiers only will be required.

It is therefore RECOMMENDED that the Museum Manager in conjunction with the Director of Personnel Services, advise the Business Manager of the Employees' Union of selection of 7 Group 'A' employees to work a 35 hour basis, 9 to work as Group 'B' employees and 9 to work as Group 'C' employees, and to give the remaining 29 employees one months written notice of termination of employment.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Your Board RECOMMENDS that:

- (i) the hours during which the Centennial Museum and Maritime Museum be open to the public be as shown under the heading 'Proposed' at Item (a) above;

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 15

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Museums Department:
Attendants and Cashiers (cont'd)

- (ii) the basic manning shift shown under 'Proposed' in Item (b) above be adopted, with the proviso that three additional attendants for the Centennial Museum and one additional attendant for the Maritime Museum may be employed on Saturdays, Sundays and Holidays from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m., a relief attendant be employed as required during week days and an attendant be provided for the St. Roch during school periods;
- (iii) the Museum Manager be authorized with the Director of Personnel Services to advise the Business Manager of the Employees' Union of selection of employees to be placed in Groups 'A', 'B' and 'C' and to give one months written notice to all other concerned employees (29 in total) of termination of employment;
- (iv) the Museum Manager be authorized to institute the new schedule as soon as possible after September 10, 1971.

NOTE: Attendance By Public

Attached to this report is a copy of the results of a survey, of the attendance by the public at the Centennial Museum, carried out in 1969 together with a report from the Museums Manager of paid attendance in recent months. "

*(on file in City Clerk's Office)

MOVED by Ald. Adams,

THAT the foregoing recommendations of the Board of Administration be approved.

- CARRIED

Safety Procedures in the Planetarium

(a) The Council considered a report of the Board of Administration dated August 20, 1971, concerning safety procedures in the Planetarium, as follows:

"Your Board have received a letter from the Municipal and Regional Employees' Union, dated August 20, 1971, dealing with the safety of persons attending performances in the Planetarium. The letter suggests that an additional Attendant be retained.

Having regard to these representations your Board submit a report from the Manager, Museums and Planetarium, setting out the safety precautions proposed.

Your Board note a statement from the Manager that, should the new proposals prove inadequate or dangerous in any way, the Manager will report back (to Council) immediately.

Your Board submit the foregoing for the consideration of Council."

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 16

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Safety Procedures in the Planetarium
(continued)

MOVED by Ald. Adams,

THAT the Board of Administration report dated August 20, 1971, be received.

- CARRIED

(b) The Council also considered a report of the Board of Administration dated August 23, 1971, concerning a letter received from the Vancouver Museums and Planetarium Association also dealing with safety procedures in the Planetarium. The Board submitted correspondence between it and the Association on the matter.

The Board of Administration recommended:

'that the letters be referred to the Board of Administration so that the several points raised by the Vancouver Museums and Planetarium Association can be clarified and, if necessary, a further report be submitted to Council if there are any changes to the recommended proposals'.

MOVED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the foregoing recommendation of the Board of Administration be approved.

- CARRIED

Delegation Matters

It was agreed to defer the following matters to later in the day, pending the hearing of delegations:

- (a) Transient Youth: Children's Aid Society
- (b) Options to Repurchase: Redevelopment Project II, Area A-5

COMMUNICATIONS OR PETITIONS

1. Nuclear Test:
Amchitka Island

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT the letter of reply from the Secretary of State for External Affairs, enclosing outline of the position the Canadian Government has taken with respect to the protest to the United States Government on the proposed nuclear test on Amchitka Island, be received.

- CARRIED

2. Utility Services Underground:
Gastown/Chinatown

A letter of reply was received from Dr. Shrum, Chairman of B.C. Hydro and Power Authority advising that if the City goes ahead with its plans to improve Maple Tree Square, the B.C. Hydro will cooperate by putting the distribution lines underground, at no cost to the City. It was advised that the offer applies only to the first phase of the Gastown project and will not be used as a precedent for later phases or for other areas in the City. Dr. Shrum also stated that the B.C. Hydro has established certain priorities for their underground program and do not wish to revise them at this time.

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 17

COMMUNICATIONS OR PETITIONS (cont'd)

Utility Services Underground:
(continued)

MOVED by Ald. Phillips,
THAT His Worship the Mayor, on behalf of Council, express appreciation to Dr. Shrum and request of him a list of priorities mentioned in the letter:

FURTHER THAT His Worship be in touch with the B.C. Telephone Company advising them of this decision by the B.C. Hydro and Power Authority and requesting the telephone company give favourable consideration to the City's earlier request of installing services underground in Maple Tree Square.

- CARRIED

3. Reorganization:
Vancouver Civic Government

A letter was received from the Minister of Municipal Affairs, dated August 19, 1971, containing the observations of the Minister as to a possible reorganization of the Vancouver civic government. The Minister stated that he would be prepared to discuss with the Council the implications of this proposed structural change on the day-to-day operations of the City of Vancouver and is also prepared to advance a proposal for reorganization at the next session of the Legislature, following public and Council discussion.

MOVED by Ald. Adams,
THAT the letter from the Minister of Municipal Affairs be referred to the Standing Committee on General Purposes for consideration and report.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Phillips, in amendment,
THAT the following words be added to the foregoing motion of Adams:

'and the Chairman of the General Purposes Committee invite the Minister to appear before the Committee to speak in support of his letter'.

- LOST

(The motion of Alderman Adams was put and carried)

4. Centennial Grant:
Lithuanian Folk Musicians

A letter dated August 17, 1971 was received from the Centennial Committee recommending that a grant be approved out of Centennial funds to the Lithuanian-Canadian Community, in the amount of \$175.00 in connection with Lithuanian folk musicians who will be visiting Vancouver in September.

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,
THAT the recommendation of the Centennial Committee for a grant of \$175.00 to the Lithuanian-Canadian Community be approved, on the following basis:

Bus Tour of City	\$140.00
Box Lunch	<u>35.00</u>
	\$175.00

- CARRIED BY THE
REQUIRED MAJORITY

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 18

COMMUNICATIONS OR PETITIONS (cont'd)

5. Disturbance: Gastown

A letter, dated August 16, 1971, was received from the Attorney General respecting recent disturbances in Gastown. The Attorney General acknowledged receipt of the resolution and documents forwarded to him by Council and advised that the submissions will be considered by him, together with other reports anticipated.

MOVED by Ald. Phillips,
THAT the letter from the Attorney General be received.

- CARRIED

6. Complaints re Capilano Stadium
Parking Lot

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,
THAT, as requested in a petition dated August 21 from residents of the 4500 block Ontario Street, arrangements be made to hear a delegation concerning the conditions surrounding Capilano Stadium.

- CARRIED

7. Proposed Commercial Development:
Victoria Drive at Prestwick Avenue

A letter was received from Mrs. Agnes Kripps, M.L.A., dated August 20, 1971 requesting that the issuance of a building permit in connection with a proposed commercial development at 7475 Victoria Drive, be withheld pending a review of the development. Attached to Mrs. Kripps' letter was a petition signed by 175 residents opposing this development. Mrs. Kripps proposed that whenever an area, designated for a specific kind of development is not developed as required and specified by the zoning by-law within a given period of time -- (approximately 15 - 20 years), then that by-law governing that area should automatically become frozen and subject to City Council review.

The Council agreed to hear Mrs. Kripps on this subject later today if this arrangement is suitable to her.

(see page 30)

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS

A. General Report, August 20, 1971

Works and Utility Matters

Construction Contract Procedure:
Withdrawal of Tenders (Clause 8)

The Board of Administration submitted a report of the City Engineer concerning the withdrawal of tenders involving construction contract procedure.

MOVED by Ald. Adams,
THAT City construction tender documents be drawn so that no bidder may withdraw his tender between the closing time for submission of tenders and the execution of the contract with the successful tenderer unless contract execution is delayed beyond a specific number of days from the closing. The number of days would depend upon the circumstances but might commonly be 30 or 60 calendar days; and

THAT the City Council, however, adopt as policy that it will permit the withdrawal of tenders without penalty where the tenderer can show to the Council, upon recommendation of the appropriate Chief Officer, that he has made a genuine, provable and significant error in his tender.

- CARRIED

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 19

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Shinerama 71 for Cystic Fibrosis (Clause 9)

The Board of Administration submitted a report of the City Engineer on a proposal by the Alma Mater Society of U.B.C. and the B.C. Institute of Technology to hold a Shinerama, or shoe shine day, on Friday, September 17, 1971, as a fund raising campaign to aid the Canadian Cystic Fibrosis Foundation. Permission to shine shoes on City sidewalks in this regard is requested by the organizations.

MOVED by Ald. Adams,

THAT permission be granted to the Alma Mater Society of U.B.C. and the B.C. Institute of Technology to place shoe shine boxes on City street allowances and to hold a shoe shine day in Vancouver on September 17, 1971, such permission being subject to:

1. Provision of adequate insurance coverage satisfactory to the Corporation Counsel indemnifying the City against all possible claims which may result from the Shinerama.
2. A six foot clearance in the downtown area and four foot clearance in the residential areas be available for the free movement of pedestrians along the sidewalks.

- CARRIED

Balance of Works and Utility Matters

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT, in respect of the report of the Board of Administration (Works and Utility matters), dated August 20, 1971, Clauses 1 to 7 inclusive and Clause 10 be adopted.

- CARRIED

Social Service Matters

Provincial Mental Health Branch
Treatment Program

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT the report of the Board of Administration (Social Service and Health matters), dated August 20, 1971, be adopted.

- CARRIED

Building and Planning Matters

Proposed Public Housing Sites:
S/W corner Windsor Street & 7th Avenue
N/S 8th Avenue betw. St. Catherines
and Windsor Streets (Clause 1)

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT Clause 1 of the report of the Board of Administration (Building and Planning matters), dated August 20, 1971, be adopted and the Board of Administration report on the present status of the availability of public housing sites in the City.

- CARRIED

Rezoning Application: E/S Renfrew Street)
between Pender and Turner Streets (Clause 3))
)
Rezoning Application: S/S West 68th Avenue)
between Cornish and Granville Streets (Clause 5))
)
Rezoning Application: W/S Nanaimo Street)
South of Vanness (Clause 6))

It was agreed to defer the foregoing matters pending the hearing of delegations as requested.

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 20

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Balance of Building and Planning Matters

MOVED by Ald. Adams,
 THAT, in respect of the report of the Board of Administration
 (Building and Planning matters), dated August 20, 1971, Clauses
 2, 4, 7 and 9 be adopted and Clause 8 received for information.
 - CARRIED

Licenses and Claims Matters

Claim: Mrs. W. Barr

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,
 THAT the report of the Board of Administration (Licenses and
 Claims matters), dated August 20, 1971, be received for
 information.
 - CARRIED

Finance Matters

Appointment of Auditors: 1972 (Clause 1)

The Board of Administration submitted a report of the Director
 of Finance concerning the appointment of External Auditors for
 the City for 1972. It was advised that the present auditors,
 Riddell Stead and Company, have applied for reappointment at a
 proposed fee of \$34,250.00, a 6.4% increase over the 1971 fee.

MOVED by Ald. Adams,
 THAT the firm of Riddell Stead and Company be appointed
 External Auditors for the City of Vancouver for the year 1972 at
 a fee of \$34,250.00 in accordance with the terms as to scope
 and responsibility as set out in Parts I to IV inclusive of the
 report re Audit of City's records dated January 22, 1962, submitted
 by the Board of Administration and adopted by Council on January 23,
 1962.
 - CARRIED

Pacific-Asian Conference of
 Municipalities (Clause 2)

The Board of Administration advised of an invitation received
 from the Mayor of Honolulu for the City to join an organization
 to be known as the Pacific-Asian Conference of Municipalities.
 The first conference has been arranged in Honolulu on November 28th.

MOVED by Ald. Broome,
 THAT this letter be received.
 - CARRIED

B. Personnel Matters,
 Supplementary, August 20

Deferment of 1970 Vacation Entitlement:
 Mrs. P.M. Barby and Mrs. L. Pritchard, Mayor's Office (Clause 1)

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,
 THAT Clause 1 of the report of the Board of Administration
 (Personnel matters, Supplementary), dated August 20, 1971, be
 adopted.
 - CARRIED

Added Duties for the Secretary to
 Director of the Public Library (Clause 2)

The Board of Administration submitted a report of the
 Director of Finance and the Coordinator of Data Processing and
 Systems advising that the Library Board has recommended that the
 position of Secretary to the Director of the Public Library be
 reclassified from Clerk Stenographer III to Clerk Stenographer IV.
 The Director of Personnel Services generally outlined the
 additional duties assumed by the incumbent since the position
 was last reviewed in 1967 and advised of the additional costs
 involved.

cont'd...

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 21

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Added Duties for the Secretary to
the Director of the Public Library (cont'd)

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT the position of Secretary to the Director of the Public Library be reclassified from Clerk Stenographer III to Clerk Stenographer IV and that the necessary funds be charged to the Library Salaries Account.

- CARRIED

C. Property Matters

Street Allowance: 37th Avenue
Fraser Street to Inverness Street
(Clause 5)

The Board of Administration advised that petitions had been received both for and against the sale of City-owned lots in the area of 37th Avenue, Fraser to Inverness Streets and that the City Engineer has submitted a report to the Board of Administration on a recommended width for 37th Avenue in this area.

MOVED by Ald. Phillips,

THAT arrangements be made for delegations to be heard on this matter and that a copy of the City Engineer's detailed report be given to the parties wishing to appear, prior to their appearance before Council.

- CARRIED

Balance of Property Matters

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT Clauses 1 to 4 of the report of the Board of Administration (Property matters), dated August 20, 1971, be adopted.

- CARRIED

D. Georgia Viaduct Replacement:
East Approach Route

(a) The Council considered a Board of Administration report dated August 17, 1971, wherein the City Engineer, Director of Planning and Civic Development and the Director of Social Planning/Community Development reported as a result of the consultants' report received regarding the design of the East Approach Route between the Georgia Viaduct and Highway 401. The consultants, Phillips, Barrett, Hillier, Jones and Partners, are reporting on the alignment for the following route which was specified in a Council resolution of February 24th:

"the permanent alignment from the Georgia Viaduct to Highway 401 is to be via Malkin Drive and the Great Northern Cut".

It was advised that a model has been prepared to illustrate the findings of the study.

The officials submitted a course of action for Council consideration.

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT,

(1) the East Approach Route Report be received by Council.

(2) Council instruct that a report reference by the consultants be arranged at a location suitable for viewing the model.

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 22

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Georgia Viaduct Replacement:
East Approach Route (cont'd)

- (3) City officials submit a report to Council on the findings of the study after the report reference has been given by the consultant.
- (4) the East Approach Route Report be made available to the following at no charge:
 - a. Town Planning Commission
 - b. Public Library: Main Branch plus other branches
 - c. Park Board
 - d. School Board
 - e. the citizen members on the Liaison Committee
 - f. railway companies (B.N.R. and C.N.R.)
 - g. university libraries (U.B.C. and S.F.U.)
 - h. Municipality of Burnaby
 - i. Greater Vancouver Regional District
 - j. Provincial Government
 - k. B.C. Hydro and Power Authority, Transit Division
- (5) City Council, or Committee, make arrangements to hear submissions from the public and in particular the citizen members on the Liaison Committee and that the officials prepare an easily understandable information report which will be available to the public at no charge.
- (6) that the consultants' report be made available to the public at a cost of \$10.00 a copy.

- CARRIED

- (b) Report of Liaison Committee re
Freeway Connection: Georgia Viaduct
to Highway 401

The Council noted a report of its Liaison Committee re Freeway Connection: Georgia Viaduct to Highway 401 which gave information on the meetings held by the Committee and made reference to the consultants' report.

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,
THAT the foregoing report be received.

- CARRIED

- (c) Minority Report

The Council also noted a Minority Report dated July 12, 1971, from Alderman Rankin and representatives of the following community organizations who are members of the Liaison Committee and who proposed certain steps with respect to the freeway connector rapid transit and other related matters:

Renfrew Heights Community Association
Cassiar Ratepayers' Association
Grandview Woodland Area Council
Strathcona Property Owners and Tenants Association
Cedar Cottage Ratepayers' Association

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,
THAT the foregoing report be received.

- CARRIED

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 23

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Georgia Viaduct Replacement:
East Approach Route (cont'd)

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT the City Clerk arrange to have the East Approach Route report available, on a loan basis, to the following organizations who wish to appear as delegations on the subject matter:

Vancouver Free University
Vancouver Housing Inter-Project Council
Cedar Cottage Ratepayers and Tenants Association
Dunbar Homeowners Association
Sierra Club
Citizens Council on Civic Development
Citizens Committee for Public Transit

- CARRIED

The Council (in Committee) recessed at approximately 10:45 A.M. and following an 'In Camera' meeting in the Mayor's Office, reconvened at approximately 11:30 A.M., with the same members of the Council present.

E. Competition for Sale of Site #10:
Section 16, National Housing Act
Housing - Champlain Heights

The Board of Administration, under date of August 17, 1971, submitted the following report:

The Director of Planning and Civic Development and the Supervisor of Property and Insurance report as follows:

"Tenders for the subject site were called on June 1st, 1971, closing on July 26th, on the basis that the price was fixed at \$350,000 and that the proposals would be evaluated on the basis of the design with the condition that the housing had to be for development under Section 16 of the National Housing Act and acceptable to Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation.

Eight bids were received and with the exception of two particular items appeared to conform very closely to the requirements. The schemes were evaluated by the Jury set up by Council, comprising a representative of the Architectural Institute of British Columbia, a representative of the Appraisal Institute of Canada, the Director of Planning and Civic Development and the Supervisor of Property and Insurance. A copy of the Jury report which includes as Appendix B a comparative analysis of the bids is attached.

Subsequent to the evaluation by the Jury, a letter was received from Moss Holdings Ltd. requesting withdrawal of their bid. As the scheme submitted by Moss Holdings Ltd. is not among those recommended, it is suggested that no action be taken on this request.

The Director of Planning and Civic Development and the Supervisor of Property and Insurance, following the Jury recommendations, RECOMMEND as follows:

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 24

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Competition for Sale of
Site #10: Champlain Heights (cont'd)

1. **THAT** the site be awarded to the developer partnership consisting of William Vieser & G. Christopher (L.J.E. Genner, Architect) provided that the developer rearranges his parking to reduce the excessive amount of blacktop and to reduce the site coverage substantially closer to the required 40% and that plans showing such a revised arrangement to the satisfaction of the Jury are submitted within a period of 30 days.
2. If within a period of 30 days, Messrs. Vieser & Christopher are unable to produce an acceptable modification satisfactory to the Jury, the site be offered to the B.C. Baptist Foundation (R. William Wilding, Architect) provided that modifications are made to the plan to provide satisfactory service, fire and garbage access to all units without destroying the attractive elements of the site plan, to the satisfaction of the Jury within a period of 30 days from the date of the offer.
3. If the first two choices are unable or unwilling to satisfactorily modify their plans, the site be offered to Embassy Estates Ltd. (Erickson Massey, Architects) provided that revisions are made to the plans to provide an additional 10 or 12 units without increasing the site coverage and without reducing the attractiveness of the layout, to the satisfaction of the Jury within a period of 30 days from the date of the offer.
4. With the selection of a successful developer as per (1), (2) or (3) above, the following conditions of sale shall apply:
 - (a) The date of sale of Lot 14, D.L. 334, Group 1, NWD, Plan 13993, will be 60 days from the date of such selection, or the date of issuance of the Development Permit, whichever is the sooner.
 - (b) The purchaser will grant to the City of Vancouver an option to repurchase the land at the net sale price which option will be exercised if development is not started within 18 months. The 5% deposit and the documentation fee will be forfeited by the purchaser should the City be required to exercise its option.
 - (c) The purchaser to grant a 10' easement over the west boundary of Lot 14, D.L. 334, Group 1, N.W.D.
 - (d) The purchaser shall comply generally with the conditions of development as approved by Council for Site #10, subject to any revisions in the scheme that may be required.
5. In all the above cases, the final sale is to be contingent on the developer obtaining a mortgage commitment from Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation for his proposal.

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 25

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Competition for Sale of
Site #10: Champlain Heights (cont'd)

6. That no action be taken on the request from Moss Holdings Ltd. to withdraw their bid.
7. That a copy of the report of the Jury be circulated to the bidders.
8. That the representatives of the Architectural Institute of British Columbia and the Appraisal Institute of Canada on the Jury be thanked for their co-operation on this project."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the report of the Director of Planning and Civic Development and the Supervisor of Property and Insurance be approved.

(Copy of the report of the Jury dated August 17, 1971
is on file in the City Clerk's Office)

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT the foregoing recommendations be approved.

- CARRIED BY THE
REQUIRED MAJORITY

F. Consultants: City Building Maintenance
and Janitorial Services

The Council considered a Board of Administration report dated August 9, 1971, concerning a study carried out by the B & W Management Company on the organization and staff requirements of the Construction Coordination Branch of the Building Division of the Department of Permits and Licenses. The report concludes with the following recommendations:

- " (1) the report of the B. & W. Management Company be adopted in principle;
- (2) the recommendations of the Director of Inspections be adopted;
- (3) the recommendations of the Director of Personnel Services be adopted;
- (4) the following class specifications -
 - No. 249 Construction Co-ordinator II
 - No. 420 Assistant Director of Inspections (Construction and Maintenance Division)
 - No. 630 Supervisor of Maintenance Services
 - No. 615 Contract Cleaning Inspector
 be adopted as submitted;
- (5) three auto allowances on a regular basis be established for the positions as designated in the report of the Director of Personnel Services;
- (6) funds in the amount of \$18,926. for building alterations, furniture, salaries, etc. be provided by a transfer from Revenue Surplus of prior years as recommended by the Comptroller of Accounts;

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 26

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Consultants: City Building Maintenance
and Janitorial Services (cont'd)

- (7) the present temporary assistance be continued for three months after the appointment of the Division Head at which time the position will be abolished or reported on further."

NOTE: The following reports are on file in the City Clerk's office:

Synopsis of report and recommendations made
by B & W Management Company, November 6,
1970

Detailed report of the Board of Administration
dated August 9, 1971.

MOVED by Ald. Adams,
THAT the foregoing recommendations be adopted.

- CARRIED

G. Temporary Building:
U.B.C. School of Architecture

The Board of Administration, under date of August 20, 1971, submitted the following report:

The City Building Inspector reports as follows:

"A request has been received from the U.B.C. School of Architecture to erect a dome-shaped structure on a small portion of the above site for the period of August 25th, 1971 to September 5th, 1971. It will be used as a discussion centre for architectural students.

The structure is 37 ft. in diameter, 15 ft. high and is supported by aluminum tubing covered with plastic cloth which would not comply with the Building By-law requirements in No. 1 Fire Limits. However, Section 2.6 of the Building By-law gives Council the power to grant a permit for a temporary building for any period up to 2 years. I am prepared to recommend that the structure be permitted on the above site from August 29th, 1971 to September 5th, 1971 subject to the following conditions:

- (a) Compliance with Section 2.6 of the Building By-law with respect to Fire Protection, sanitation and Bond of Indemnity to guarantee removal.
- (b) Compliance with the Zoning and Development By-law which includes approval of the Technical Planning Board.

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the recommendation of the City Building Inspector be adopted.

MOVED by Ald. Broome,
THAT the foregoing recommendations be adopted.

- CARRIED

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 27

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

H. Report of the Standing Committee
on Health and Welfare, August 12

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT the report of the Standing Committee on Health and Welfare, dated August 12, 1971, be adopted.

- CARRIED

I. Report of certain members of the
Standing Committee on Planning
and Development, August 12

The Council considered a report dated August 12, 1971, from the following members of the Standing Committee of Council on Planning and Development:

Alderman Bird
Alderman Broome
Alderman Calder
Alderman Phillips
Alderman Rankin

It was noted that the report was submitted as a result of a lack of a quorum at a scheduled meeting of the Committee called on August 12th. The Council took action as follows:

(i). Britannia Community Services Centre
Program and Schematic Designs

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT the recommendation of the Committee members be approved.

- CARRIED

(ii) Public Housing: Greater
Vancouver Regional District

MOVED by Ald. Wilson,

THAT the recommendations of the Committee members be approved.

- CARRIED

(iii) Block 42/52 Development
Design of IBM Building

MOVED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the recommendation of the Committee members be approved and that pending this review by the developers, the Director of Planning and Civic Development inform Council before any permits are issued in respect of Block 42.

- CARRIED

J. Acquisition for Single Men's Hostel Site:
476 East Cordova Street

On June 29, 1971, Council approved the expropriation of the remaining properties in Block 57, D.L. 196 required for the proposed single men's hostel site and including Lot 16, known as 476 East Cordova Street. The Board of Administration, under date of August 24, submitted a report of the Supervisor of Property and Insurance on the matter who advised that after further negotiations the owner had agreed to sell for the sum of \$19,800 as of August 31, 1971, subject to him retaining rent free possession to September 30, 1971.

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 28

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Acquisition for Single Men's Hostel Site
476 East Cordova Street (cont'd)

The Supervisor of Property and Insurance recommends that he be authorized to acquire this property for the sum of \$19,800 on the foregoing basis, chargeable to Code 531/1251.

MOVED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the foregoing recommendation contained in the Board of Administration report of August 24 be approved.

- CARRIED

K. Sewer Easement: Block 28, D.L. 185
Adirondack Properties Ltd.

The Corporation Counsel advised that Adirondack Properties Ltd. has applied for a development permit to erect a 23-storey apartment on the above block fronting on Beach Avenue. In the past two weeks the developers learned that a City sewer pipe is located in the block. There are no records of any easement having been granted to the City for such sewer pipe. The sewer pipe was laid in 1904. The solicitors for the developers take the position that the City has no right to remain on the property, on the other hand the Law Department has taken the view that the City has such a right. In the circumstances, the Corporation Counsel feels there should be a compromise between the parties.

As a result of a meeting held with the solicitors for the developers and its architect, Peter Cole, the developers have agreed to the following:-

1. Pay half the cost of replacing the existing six-inch sewer, the estimated cost being \$4,500.
2. Pay all the costs of the temporary relocation of the sewer pipe during the construction of the apartment building, the estimated cost being \$1,000.
3. The developers to grant to the City a five-foot wide easement over its property for the sewer pipe, provided however that the developers will attempt to obtain from Block Brothers, owners of the adjoining property, an easement for such sewer pipe in which event the developers will pay half the cost of replacing the existing six-inch sewer pipe on Block Brothers property.
4. The developers to pay any extras to its contractor arising out of the temporary relocation of the existing sewer.

The City Engineer advises that in the next twelve months he proposes to replace this sewer in any event with twin sewers, a six-inch sanitary sewer and an eight-inch storm sewer. If the above arrangement is approved, the City Engineer proposes to erect the twin sewers in the new easement area forthwith. The total estimated cost of the twin sewers is \$6,000.

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT the foregoing arrangement as proposed be approved.

- CARRIED

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 29

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Ald. Broome,
 THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.
 - CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Broome,
 SECONDED by Ald. Sweeney,
 THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.
 - CARRIED

The Council recessed at approximately 12:00 noon to reconvene at 2:00 P.M.

The Council reconvened in the Council Chamber at approximately 2:00 P.M., His Worship the Mayor in the Chair and the following members present:

PRESENT: His Worship the Mayor
 Aldermen Adams, Broome, Calder, Hardwick,
 Phillips, Rankin, Sweeney and
 Wilson

ABSENT: Alderman Bird
 Alderman Linnell (Leave of Absence -
 illness)

DELEGATIONS AND UNFINISHED BUSINESS

4. Transient Youth:
Children's Aid Society

Mrs. Beck, representing the Children's Aid Society, appeared as a delegation before Council and spoke in support of a brief from her organization, which had been circulated previously to members of Council. The brief dealt with a number of proposals involving 16 to 18 year olds who are in need of social assistance.

MOVED by Ald. Phillips,
 SECONDED by Ald. Calder,
 THAT the brief from the Children's Aid Society be received and the Director of Welfare Services report to the Standing Committee on Health and Welfare on the proposals contained in the brief.

(amended)

MOVED by Ald. Rankin, in amendment,
 SECONDED by Ald. Hardwick,
 THAT the following words be added to the motion of Aldermen Phillips and Calder:

'and that upon receipt of the report from the Director of Welfare Services, the Standing Committee invite delegations from the organizations mentioned on page 4 of the Society's brief and that these organizations be provided with a copy of the Director of Social Welfare's report in advance of their appearance before the Committee.'

- CARRIED

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 30

DELEGATIONS AND UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Transient Youth:
Children's Aid Society (cont'd)

The motion, as amended and reading as follows, was put and carried:

"THAT the brief from the Children's Aid Society be received and the Director of Welfare Services report to the Standing Committee on Health and Welfare on the proposals contained in the brief and that upon receipt of the report from the Director of Welfare Services, the Standing Committee invite delegations from the organizations mentioned on page 4 of the Society's brief and that these organizations be provided with a copy of the Director of Social Welfare's report in advance of their appearance before the Committee".

5. Options to Repurchase: Redevelopment Project II
Area A-5, situated North of Hastings Street betwn.
Heatley Avenue and Clark Drive.

The Council received a delegation from Mr. Brown on behalf of Wells Cartage Limited concerning a further extension of the requirement in their agreement to develop land purchased from the Urban Renewal partnership in Redevelopment Project II, Area A-5, situated North of Hastings Street between Heatley Avenue and Clark Drive. The Board of Administration report (Property matters), dated August 6th detailed the history of the matter and it is recommended:

'that no further extensions of the development clause in the sales agreement with Wells Cartage Limited be granted and it is further recommended that all purchasers of sites in Area A-5 of Redevelopment Project II be advised by the Supervisor of Property and Insurance of the City's intent to exercise its options to repurchase within 90 days of the extended development dates, unless development is commenced within that time.'

MOVED by Ald. Broome,
 SECONDED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT an extension of the development clause in the sales agreement with Wells Cartage Limited be granted for the purpose of obtaining a development permit allied to the present use as a storage area.

- LOST

MOVED by Ald. Adams,
 SECONDED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT the recommendation of the Board of Administration be approved.

- CARRIED

(During consideration of the foregoing item, Alderman Wilson left the meeting to attend to other Civic business)

COMMUNICATIONS OR PETITIONS (cont'd)

Proposed Commercial Development:
Victoria Drive at Prestwick Avenue (Mrs. A. Kripps, M.L.A.)

The City Clerk advised that Mrs. Kripps was unable to be present at the Council meeting this day with respect to the above mentioned matter.

MOVED by Ald. Broome,
 SECONDED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT the letter from Mrs. Kripps be referred to the Board of Administration for report.

- CARRIED

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 31

COMMUNICATIONS OR PETITIONS (cont'd)

8. Delegation: Coalition for Community
Control of the Police

The City Clerk advised of a letter received from Mr. Stephen Garrod representing the Coalition for Community Control of the Police Committee requesting to appear before Council to present a brief and speak on a revision to the Vancouver City Charter concerning the nature of the Police Commission, as well as some subsidiary matters.

MOVED by Ald. Adams,
SECONDED by Ald. Phillips,

THAT the organization be advised that the subject matter is beyond the jurisdiction of the Council; however, if it still wishes to be heard before the Council, the necessary arrangements be made.

BY-LAWS

1. BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW No. 4020
BEING THE BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION BY-LAW

MOVED by Ald. Broome,
SECONDED by Ald. Calder,

THAT leave be given to introduce a By-law to amend By-law No. 4020 being the Board of Administration By-law and the By-law be read a first time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Broome,
SECONDED by Ald. Calder,

THAT the By-law be read a second time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Broome,
SECONDED by Ald. Calder,

THAT Council do resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, to consider and report on the By-law, His Worship the Mayor in the Chair.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT the By-law number where shown as '4020' be changed to read in each case '4017', in accordance with the advice of the Corporation Counsel.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED

The Committee then rose and reported the By-law complete as amended.

MOVED by Ald. Broome,
SECONDED by Ald. Calder,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Broome,
SECONDED by Ald. Calder,

THAT the By-law be read a third time and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign same and affix thereto the Corporate Seal.

- CARRIED

(The By-law received three readings)

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 32

BY-LAWS (cont'd)

2. BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW No. 4243
BEING THE ENCROACHMENT BY-LAW

MOVED by Ald. Wilson,
SECONDED by Ald. Calder,

THAT leave be given to introduce a By-law to amend By-law No. 4243, being the Encroachment By-law and the By-law be read a first time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Wilson,
SECONDED by Ald. Calder,

THAT the By-law be read a second time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Wilson,
SECONDED by Ald. Calder,

THAT Council do resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, to consider and report on the By-law, His Worship the Mayor in the Chair.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Wilson,

THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED

The Committee then rose and reported the By-law complete.

MOVED by Ald. Wilson,
SECONDED by Ald. Calder,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Wilson,
SECONDED by Ald. Calder,

THAT the By-law be read a third time and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign same and affix thereto the Corporate Seal.

- CARRIED

(The By-law received three readings)

3. BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW No. 2046
BEING THE POUND BY-LAW

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT leave be given to introduce a By-law to amend By-law No. 2046 being the Pound By-law, and the By-law be read a first time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the By-law be read a second time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT Council do resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, to consider and report on the By-law, His Worship the Mayor in the Chair.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED

The Committee then rose and reported the By-law complete.

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 33

BY-LAWS (cont'd)

By-law to amend the Pound By-law (cont'd)

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the By-law be read a third time and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign same and affix thereto the Corporate Seal.

- CARRIED

(The By-law received three readings)

MOTIONS

Pavements and Curbs on Special Grounds:
(Rupert Street, 1st Avenue to 2nd Avenue,
E/S and Graveley Street to 2nd Avenue W/S)

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT WHEREAS a proposed project for pavement and curbs on Rupert Street from 1st Avenue to 2nd Avenue on the east side and from Graveley Street to 2nd Avenue on the west side was recommended by the Board of Administration on June 4, 1971 and approved by Council on June 8, 1971;

AND WHEREAS the said project was advanced as a local improvement on the initiative principle to a Court of Revision on July 29, 1971, and was defeated;

AND WHEREAS it is hereby declared that it is necessary in the public interest to construct pavement and curbs on Rupert Street from 1st Avenue to 2nd Avenue on the east side and from Graveley Street to 2nd Avenue on the west side, as hereinafter set forth;

AND WHEREAS the Council deems that the said project will specially benefit the real property fronting and abutting on the said portions of Rupert Street;

AND WHEREAS the Council may by authority contained in section 506 of the Vancouver Charter by resolution passed by two-thirds of all its members, undertake and carry out the paving and the construction of concrete curbs, aforesaid, and assess the cost thereof against the real property so deemed to be specially benefited, as a local improvement;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that a pavement with a Portland cement concrete or asphaltic concrete base and asphaltic concrete wearing surface to widths varying from sixty (60) feet to eighty (80) feet, together with the necessary Portland cement concrete curbs and all other necessary and incidental work in connection therewith, be constructed on the said portions of Rupert Street;

cont'd.....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 34

MOTIONS (cont'd)

Pavements and Curbs on Special Grounds
(continued)

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the cost of the construction of the said pavement, curbs and all other necessary and incidental work in connection therewith be assessed against the real property fronting and abutting thereon as a local improvement upon the basis provided in By-law No. 3614, being the Local Improvement Procedure By-law, subject, however, to the limitations prescribed in the Vancouver Charter, aforesaid;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the proportion of the cost of the construction of the said pavement, curbs and all other necessary and incidental work in connection therewith to be borne by the City pursuant to the Local Improvement Procedure By-law and the Vancouver Charter, aforesaid, be assumed by the City and be paid out of the general revenue of the City or out of capital funds raised by the issue of debentures upon the general credit of the City.

- CARRIED BY THE
REQUIRED MAJORITY

2. Resurfacing and Widening on Special Grounds:
(49th Avenue, Oak Street to Elm Street N/S
and Oak Street to Marine Crescent S/S)

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,
SECONDED by Ald. Adams,

THAT WHEREAS there is an existing pavement twenty (20) feet in width on 49th Avenue from Oak Street to Elm Street on the north side and Oak Street to Marine Crescent on the south side;

AND WHEREAS a project for resurfacing and widening of the existing pavement on the said portions of 49th Avenue to widths of thirty-eight (38), forty-two (42) and sixty (60) feet, together with the construction of all necessary Portland cement concrete curbs, was recommended by the Board of Administration on June 4, 1971 and approved by Council on June 8, 1971;

AND WHEREAS the project was advanced as a local improvement on the initiative principle to a Court of Revision on July 29, 1971, and was defeated;

AND WHEREAS it is hereby declared that it is necessary in the public interest that the existing pavement on the said portions of 49th Avenue be resurfaced and widened and that Portland cement concrete curbs be constructed as hereinafter set forth;

AND WHEREAS the Council deems that the said resurfacing, widening and concrete curbs will specially benefit the real property fronting and abutting on the said portions of 49th Avenue;

AND WHEREAS the Council may by authority contained in section 506 of the Vancouver Charter by resolution passed by two-thirds of all its members, undertake and carry out the resurfacing, widening and construction of concrete curbs, aforesaid, and assess the cost thereof against the real property so deemed to be specially benefited, as a local improvement;

cont'd.....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 35

MOTIONS (cont'd)

Resurfacing and Widening on Special Grounds
(continued)

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that pavement resurfacing and widening with a Portland cement concrete or asphaltic concrete base and asphaltic concrete wearing surface with the necessary Portland cement concrete curbs, together with all other necessary and incidental work in connection therewith, be constructed on the said portions of 49th Avenue in order to widen the pavement presently existing thereon to widths of thirty-eight (38), forty-two (42) and sixty (60) feet;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the cost of the construction of the said pavement, curbs and all other necessary and incidental work in connection therewith be assessed against the real property fronting and abutting thereon as a local improvement upon the basis provided in By-law No. 3614, being the Local Improvement Procedure By-law, subject, however, to the limitations prescribed in the Vancouver Charter, aforesaid;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the proportion of the cost of such construction to be borne by the City pursuant to the Local Improvement Procedure By-law and the Vancouver Charter, aforesaid, be assumed by the City and be paid out of the general revenue of the City or out of capital funds raised by the issue of debentures upon the general credit of the City.

- CARRIED BY THE
REQUIRED MAJORITY

3. Rapid Transit

At the Council meeting on August 10th, Alderman Wilson and Alderman Sweeney gave Notice of Motion on the subject of rapid transit which, by agreement of Council was today changed and now reads as follows:

MOVED by Ald. Wilson,
SECONDED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT WHEREAS Public Mass Transit can be a major contribution in alleviating traffic congestion pressures in the Greater Vancouver Regional Area;

AND WHEREAS the Regional District is now assessing the benefit of accepting the Provincial Government proposal of taking over the B.C. Hydro Transit system to form the basis of an adequate and efficient District Public Mass Transit operation for the whole of the Region;

AND WHEREAS the Provincial Government has proposed a cost sharing formula for a rapid transit system;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Vancouver City Council favours and recommends to the Regional District Board that rapid transit be accepted as the No. 1 priority in relieving pressure on the complex transportation system toward movement of people and goods.

- CARRIED

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 36

MOTIONS (cont'd)

4. Commercial Transport Service:
Vancouver

At the meeting on August 10th Notice of Motion was given by Alderman Wilson and Alderman Bird with respect to commercial transport service, Vancouver. Because of the absence of the seconder the Council agreed to defer consideration until the next meeting of Council.

ENQUIRIES AND OTHER MATTERS

Alderman Hardwick -
Reopening of Howe Street

requested that His Worship the Mayor appeal to the Fairview Corporation to have Howe Street reopened from Georgia to Robson Streets after Labour Day to alleviate the traffic problem in this area.

His Worship agreed.

Alderman Calder -
Road Markings

enquired if the City Engineer could improve the poor lane markings in the area of Georgia Street, Burrard to Granville Streets.

His Worship directed that this matter be attended to.

Alderman Broome
Effect of Cancelled
Meetings: July and August

requested the City Clerk to report on the effect of Council meeting every two weeks during July and August of this year.

His Worship so directed.

NOTICE OF MOTION

Alderman Broome submitted the following Notice of Motion, which was recognized by the Chair:

"THAT WHEREAS Canadian Pacific Airlines has its headquarters and major base of operations in the Greater Vancouver area and consequently is of major economic importance to this total area:

AND WHEREAS in 1964 the Federal Government divided international routes between Air Canada and C.P. Air giving Air Canada the high density North Atlantic routes to Europe and C.P. Air the much less attractive Pacific routes;

AND WHEREAS the Federal Government is negotiating a Canada-China agreement to allow a Canadian carrier landing rights at Peking or other Mainland Chinese cities;


THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED this Council request the Minister of Transport to designate C.P. Air as the Canadian carrier when such an agreement is concluded on the basis that it would be a logical extension of present C.P. Air routes far superior in every respect to an extension of the Air Canada Moscow route and also in accordance with the 1964 agreement;

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED a copy of this resolution together with the Sun editorial entitled a 'Slow Boat to China' be sent to all Vancouver Members of Parliament.

(Notice)

The Council adjourned at approximately 3:45 P.M.

The foregoing are Minutes of the Regular Council meeting
dated August 24, 1971, adopted as amended on August 31, 1971



MAYOR



CITY CLERK

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION (WORKS) 1

August 20th, 1971

The following is a report of the Board of Administration:

WORKS & UTILITY MATTERSCITY ENGINEER'S REPORT

1. Closing Portion of Lane South of
19th Avenue West of Gladstone Street

"A 10-foot by 10-foot lane corner cut-off at the southeast corner of Lot E of Lot 15, Blocks 20 and 21, D.L. 195 is surplus to the City's highway requirements.

I RECOMMEND that the portion of lane shown outlined red on plan marginally numbered LF5774 be closed, stopped up and conveyed to the owner of abutting Lot E, subject to the following conditions:-

- (a) The value of the closed portion of lane be \$50.00 in accordance with the recommendation of the Supervisor of Property and Insurance.
- (b) The closed lane be added to the abutting Lot E to form one parcel."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing be approved.

2. Closing 7th Avenue between Guelph Street
and St. George Street - School Site

"The School Board has acquired all the property on both sides of 7th Avenue between Guelph Street and St. George Street for the relocation of Mount Pleasant School site. To consolidate the site, it is necessary to first close portion of 7th Avenue.

I RECOMMEND that all that portion of 7th Avenue lying between Guelph Street and St. George Street as shown outlined red on plan marginally numbered LF 5771 be closed, stopped up, and conveyed to the School Board subject to the School Board paying all costs related to the street closure and relocation of utilities.

The closure of the street referred to herein is deemed to be for the benefit of the City."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing be approved.

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, August 20th, 1971 (WORKS) 2

3. Leasing the West 20' of Salsbury Drive
North of Powell Street

"For the past 10 years, the west 20' of Salsbury Drive between Powell Street and the C.P.R. Right of Way has been leased to the abutting owner. The lease expired March 31st, 1971 and the Lessee wishes to continue to lease this portion of street.

I RECOMMEND that the portion of the west 20' of Salsbury Drive as shown outlined red on plan marginally numbered LE 1549 be again leased to the owner of abutting Lot 10, Block 2, Subdivision D, D.L. 183 subject to the following conditions:-

- (a) The term of the lease be for 10 years subject to a one year's notice of cancellation if the area is required for municipal purposes.
- (b) The rental to be \$600.00 per annum for the first 5 years, to be paid at a rate of \$50.00 per month, the rental to be subject to review at the beginning of the 6th year in accordance with the recommendation of the Supervisor of Property and Insurance.
- (c) All other conditions contained within the old agreement to be retained in the new agreement.
- (d) An agreement satisfactory to the Corporation Counsel and City Engineer."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing be approved.

4. Twin Sewers to Serve New City Subdivision
between Kaslo Street and Lane West Renfrew
Street, 16th to 17th Avenues

"Subdivision of this City-owned land requires extension of the existing system to provide service to twelve newly created lots.

The estimated cost of the work is \$13,000.

I RECOMMEND that \$13,000 be appropriated from Account Code 117/7901, 'Provision for City Subdivisions Unallocated'."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing be approved.

5. Installation of Water Main across C.P.R.
Tracks - Ash Street at Kent Avenue

"On July 13th, 1971, Council gave approval for the installation of a water main across C.P.R. tracks on Ash Street at Kent Avenue and for the execution of a lease agreement between the City and the C.P.R. for this crossing.

It has now become necessary for the City to seek permission for this crossing from the Railway Transport Committee.

I therefore RECOMMEND that the Corporation Counsel be instructed to make the requisitie application to the Railway Transport Committee."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing be approved.

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, August 20th, 1971 (WORKS) 3

6. Registered Charges - The Subdivision and Consolidation of Parcels, and the Vancouver Land Registry Office

The City Engineer and Corporation Counsel report as follows:-

"The City of Vancouver has Indemnity Agreements comprising Boulevard Crossings under the Area By-Law and encroachments upon the streets under the Encroachment By-Law registered as charges against the abutting parcels.

Heretofore when parcels were being re-subdivided or consolidated and charges were registered against some or all of the titles involved, the Registrar followed the procedures of carrying forward these charges against the titles of the abutting parcels. The Registrar now requires that these charges be either extended to cover all the titles being created or the charges be released prior to the registration of the subdivision plan.

The Area By-Law provides that the City Engineer and the Corporation Counsel are authorized to approve the release of an existing crossing agreement where such agreement is to be replaced by a new agreement.

The Encroachment By-Law does not provide the authority to officials to release an Encroachment Agreement in respect to an existing encroachment which is to be replaced by a new agreement.

We RECOMMEND:-

- (a) That the Encroachment By-Law be amended whereby the City Engineer and the Corporation Counsel be authorized to approve the release of an existing encroachment agreement when such agreement is to be replaced by a new agreement.
- (b) That the Corporation Counsel advance an appropriate amending By-Law to the Encroachment By-Law."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing be approved.

7. TENDER NO. 46-71-1 - SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF WATERWORKS AND SEWERS GREY IRON CASTINGS

The Purchasing Agent and City Engineer report as follows:-

"Tenders for the above were opened by your Board on June 14, 1971 and referred to the City Engineer and Purchasing Agent for report. The working tabulation is on file in the Purchasing Agent's Office.

The tender call was for 26 items - we are reporting on only 25 because the 26th item was an alternative and is presently not required.

Four bids were received, all meeting specifications.

The total prices shown below are estimates only, based on an anticipated 12 month requirement.

The 5% B.C. Provincial Tax is in addition to all prices shown. The Federal Sales Tax is included in the total estimated prices for Waterworks Castings and excluded from the total estimated prices for Sewer Castings because the City of Vancouver is exempt.

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, August 20th, 1971 (WCPMS -

Clause 7 Continued

We RECOMMEND acceptance of the following low bids for a 12 month contract:

<u>Name of Firm</u>	<u>Number of Items to be Awarded</u>	<u>Central Stores Stock Number</u>	<u>Estimated Total Cost for a 12 Month Period</u>
<u>SCHEDULE I - WATERWORKS CASTINGS</u>			
		<u>65-273 Series</u>	
McLean & Powell	15	4005,4575,5143,3437, 2868,2298,1720,7909. 7750,1159Frame,1159Cover, 5713,5754,0581,6281	\$33,112.24
Associated Foundry	1	0011	2,923.20
<u>SCHEDULE II - SEWER CASTINGS</u>			
		<u>70-059 Series</u>	
Dobney Foundry	6	0300, and 2300,0599,0880, 1175,2041,4070	\$45,463.50
Mainland Foundry	3	1464,1753,2629 and 2918	<u>61,882.50</u>
Estimated Total of Award for both Waterworks and Sewer Castings -			<u>\$143,381.44</u>

Your Board

RECOMMENDS that the recommendation of the Purchasing Agent and City Engineer be approved, subject to 5% Provincial Sales Tax and contracts satisfactory to Corporation Counsel.

8. CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT PROCEDURE -
WITHDRAWAL OF TENDERS

The City Engineer, at the request of your Board, has reviewed one aspect of the City's contract procedure. He reports:

"It is usual to require that tenders for construction contracts be supported by a bid bond or deposit as a guarantee that the tenderer will sign the contract and post the performance bond if awarded the job. Under the City's present normal procedure, however, a tender may be withdrawn without penalty at any time up to the actual award of the contract.

An alternative form of tender (used for example on the Georgia Viaduct Replacement Contracts) requires that the tenders remain open for a stated period after the closing of bids. As long as an award is made within that period, the bid cannot be withdrawn and refusal to sign the contract could result in forfeiture of the bid bond (up to the difference between that tender and the price for which the City then contracts for the work).

A number of other public bodies have been contacted. Several have contract documents which forbid withdrawal. The Greater Vancouver Sewerage & Drainage District, for example, adopted such a form of tender in November 1970.

The Public Works Association of British Columbia has issued 'Recommended Standards of Specifications for Municipal Works' which include the provision that 'no bidder will be permitted to withdraw his tender between the closing time for receipt of tenders and the actual award of contract unless the award is delayed for a period exceeding 30 calendar days.'

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, August 20th, 1971 (WORKS) 5

Clause 8 Continued

Associations and individual contractors representing the construction industry have been contacted. They favour there being a penalty for withdrawal of tenders but with the provision that a tenderer who has made a genuine and provable error should be allowed to withdraw without penalty.

The Law Department advise that the form of tender proposed is enforceable only if it is under seal. The following recommendations, therefore, will apply only to formal construction tenders which require a bid bond or deposit and which will be opened in public and awarded by the Board of Administration or Council.

The matter has been reviewed with the City Building Inspector, who administers most of the City's building construction contracts, and he is in agreement with the recommendations.

I RECOMMEND:

1. That City construction tender documents be drawn so that no bidder may withdraw his tender between the closing time for submission of tenders and the execution of the contract with the successful tenderer unless contract execution is delayed beyond a specific number of days from the closing. The number of days would depend on the circumstances but might commonly be 30 or 60 calendar days.
2. That the City Council, however, adopt as policy that it will permit the withdrawal of tenders without penalty where the tenderer can show to the appropriate Chief Officer that he has made a genuine, provable and significant error in his tender."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing recommendations of the City Engineer be adopted.

CONSIDERATION

9. Shinerama 71 for Cystic Fibrosis

The City ~~Engineer~~ reports as follows:

"As in previous years, the Alma Mater Society of the University of British Columbia, and the British Columbia Institute of Technology, propose to hold a Shinerama or Shoe Shine Day on Friday, September 17, 1971, as a fund raising campaign to aid the Canadian Cystic Fibrosis Foundation. To accomplish this they are requesting permission to shine shoes on City sidewalks which requires approval of City Council, as per Sections 69(2) and 71(1) of the Street and Traffic By-law. Approximately 1500 students are expected to participate and will be deploying themselves around the Greater Vancouver area.

No objections have been raised by the Police Department and the only requirement needed from a traffic standpoint is that clearance be left on the sidewalk to allow free pedestrian movement.

If permission is granted to the Alma Mater Society of the University of British Columbia to place shoe shine boxes on City street allowance and to hold a shoe shine day in Vancouver on September 17, 1971, such permission should be subject to the following conditions:

Cont'd . . .

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, August 20th, 1971 (WORKS) 6

Clause 9 Continued

1. Provision of adequate insurance coverage satisfactory to the Corporation Counsel indemnifying the City against all possible claims which may result from the Shinerama.
2. That six foot clearance in the downtown area and four foot clearance in the residential areas be available for the free movement of pedestrians along the sidewalks."

The City Engineer submits the matter to Council for Consideration.

(Copies of the communication from Shinerama Vancouver dated July 27, 1971 and a history of Shinerama are circulated for Council's information.)

RECOMMENDATION

10. Greater Vancouver Water District -
Capilano Main No. 5 and Easement through
Shaughnessy Hospital Property

'The Corporation Counsel reports as follows:-

"The Department of Veterans Affairs has agreed to grant an easement for water main purposes to the Greater Vancouver Water District in, over and upon Shaughnessy Hospital property on Oak Street. This property is owned by the Crown Dominion. The City has a right-of-way for sewer and water purposes over this property. The District has asked the City to consent to the granting of this easement by the Crown Dominion. The consent will be drawn in such a way as to provide that it shall not operate to abridge or restrict the rights of the City under its prior right-of-way. The City Engineer and I have approved the consent in this form."

Your Board

RECOMMENDS that the foregoing report of the Corporation Counsel be adopted, that the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign the said consent and that the common seal of the City be affixed thereto.'

All documentation with respect to the easement was subsequently completed, however, the Greater Vancouver Water District now advises that Messrs. Thompson, Berwick, Pratt & Partners, Architects for St. Vincent's Hospital, have suggested an alternative route for the easement arising out of the St. Vincent's Hospital expansion program at 32nd and Heather. This alternative route is over the same Crown property referred to in the Council minute of February 4, 1969, against which is registered the same sewer and water easement of the City. The solicitors for the District have advised the Corporation Counsel that the alternative route is satisfactory to the District and has submitted a new easement agreement covering the substituted route between the Crown and the District to the City requesting the Council's consent.

Cont'd . . .

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, August 20th, 1971 (WORKS) 7

Clause 10 Continued

The City Engineer has considered this request and has no objection to the giving of such consent. It is recommended therefore that the City consent to the easement between the Crown and the District respecting the alternate route in the form submitted by the solicitors for the District on the following conditions:-

1. That such consent shall not operate to abridge or restrict the rights of the City under its sewer and water easement which was made between the Crown, as Grantor, and the City, as Grantee, dated August 25, 1960, and registered in the Land Registry Office under number 317205-M; and
2. That the District release the existing easement between the Crown and the District.

It is further recommended that the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign the said consent and the common seal of the City be affixed thereto."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing recommendations of the Corporation Counsel be adopted.

FOR ADOPTION SEE PAGE(S) 524

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 (Social 1)

SOCIAL SERVICE AND HEALTH MATTERS

RECOMMENDATION

1. Provincial Mental Health Branch Treatment Program

The Medical Health Officer reports as follows:

"Council on January 9, 1970, approved a plan for the accommodation of a Provincial Mental Health Branch treatment team to operate in the Unit 4 area (South-east portion of the city). This team was to function complementary to the City of Vancouver Health Department Mental Health Program. The agreement was subject to -

1. No direct cost to be incurred by the City.
2. The plan to be implemented for a six months' trial, at which time a report to Council will be submitted.
3. That there be no further extension of the mental health treatment program until Council has adopted a policy subsequent to discussion of this report.

The program became operative in March 1970, but by October 1970 it was not really possible to evaluate the program due to insufficient duration of operation, staff changes, vacations, etc. The March 1970 - March 1971 experience with this program has now been compiled and the following statements are made in respect of this analysis.

1. There have been no direct costs to the City of Vancouver.
2. Administrative arrangements have evolved to a mutually satisfactory level permitting this program to operate successfully.
3. Many residents of the South-east part of the city have received treatment services which would not have been otherwise available from the City Health Department, or from other resources in the community.
4. Individual, group, and drop-in type programs have been successfully developed in cooperation with the City departments and with community agencies.

In summary, it has been possible to extend the volume and variety of mental health service in this part of the city without any overlap with the City Health Department. Treatment in depth has been possible for some citizens who required this extra service.

In view of the foregoing I would like to recommend -

1. That the Provincial Mental Health Service continue to be accommodated in Unit 4 under the present arrangements.
2. When space permits, similar Provincial Mental Health Branch participation be extended to East Unit (Victoria Drive) and North Unit (North-east portion of the city), thereby developing an expanded mental health treatment program in partnership with the appropriate City departments."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the above report of the Medical Health Officer be approved.

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 . . . (Building & Planning)1

BUILDING AND PLANNING MATTERS

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Proposed Public Housing Sites -
South West Corner Windsor Street & 7th Avenue
North side of 8th Avenue between St. Catherines
and Windsor Streets

"Lots 9-12, Blk. 109, DL264A, South West Corner Windsor Street and 7th Avenue; Lots 15-19, Blk. 109, DL 264A, North side of 8th Avenue between St. Catherines and Windsor Streets are the subject of current applications by the City to the Senior Governments for provision of public housing. Both sites consist of vacant City-owned land and are zoned RM-3 Multiple Dwelling District. The site at Windsor Street and 7th Avenue (132' x 122') was estimated to provide approximately 20 units and the site at 8th Avenue between St. Catherines and Windsor Streets (165' x 122') was estimated to provide approximately 26 units, in the form of one and two-bedroom apartments of frame construction.

Representatives of the Senior Governments questioned the high indicated land costs in relation to the number of units which would be provided. C.M.H.C. officials advised also that foundation costs are likely to be high due to poor soil conditions. Investigations have been made by this Department towards securing lower per unit costs.

The investigations led to the possibility of combining the two sites by closing a portion of the lane between 7th and 8th Avenues and adding two privately-owned lots presently developed with dwellings. By the use of this larger site, and the combination of low rise and high rise construction and an increase in the proportion of one bedroom units, it is hoped that an economically acceptable project might be produced. However, the results indicated a land cost per unit of approximately \$2,800, and, further, it has been ascertained that the privately-owned properties are not on the market for sale.

It appears, therefore, that continued investigation of these two sites for public housing will not be productive. Both these sites have problems due to their topography and environment and it will take some study to determine the most appropriate use and method of disposal as an alternative to Public Housing.

It is RECOMMENDED, therefore, that the City's request to the Senior Governments for the provision of public housing on Lots 9-12, and Lots 15-19, Block 109, DL 264A, be withdrawn and that a report be submitted on the more appropriate use and method of disposal of these sites."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing report of the Director of Planning and Civic Development be approved.

2. Rezoning Application: South side Parker Street
and North Side Napier Street between Clark and
Odium Drives

"An application has been received from Mr. N. Campbell for Chuck Wagon Meat Processors Ltd., 2310 West 4th Avenue, requesting an amendment to the Zoning and Development By-Law whereby Lot 10 ex. E.25', Block 30, D.L. 182, Lot 10, Block 30, D.L. 182, and Lot 5, Block 30, D.L. 182 would be rezoned from an RM-3 Multiple Dwelling District to an M-1 Industrial District.

The applicant states the purpose of his application is: "wholesale butcher, use No. 25, Food Processing, Manufacturing and Packaging, M-1 zone schedule of named uses."

Cont'd..

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 (Building) 2

Clause 2 Continued

The subject properties consist of one lot (Lot 5, Block 30, D.L. 182) 48.5' wide by 132' deep fronting onto Parker Street, and two lots (Lot A of 10 and Lot 10 except E.25', Block 30, D.L. 182) having a combined width of 48.5' and a depth of 132' fronting onto Napier Street.

The subject properties are in the middle of the block bounded by Clark Drive, Parker Street, Odium Drive and Napier Street. The abutting properties to the west front onto Clark Drive and are zoned as an M-1 Light Industrial District. The properties to the east, separated by a varying width City lane, are zoned as an RM-3 Multiple Dwelling District and front onto Odium Drive.

Brief History

Lot 10 except E.25' (23.5' wide) is a City-owned lot. A portion of this lot has been used over the years to provide a rear vehicular access to existing non-conforming dwellings on three lots in the industrial area fronting Clark Drive. In addition, one non-conforming dwelling has an entrance encroachment over the City-owned lot.

In 1963, City Council, on recommendation from the Technical Planning Board and Town Planning Commission, approved an application to rezone Lot 5 from an RM-3 Multiple Dwelling District to an M-1 Industrial District, subject to conditions. This application was not completed.

Also in 1963, an application to rezone Lot 10 except E.25' to an M-1 Industrial District was not approved by City Council. However, the applicant was advised that a new application to rezone this lot would be favourably considered if the applicant would also acquire and consolidate the abutting 25' lot A with the application.

At a Public Hearing in March, 1965, City Council considered an application to rezone the combined lots, Lot 10 except E.25' and Lot A, to an M-1 Industrial District. Representations were made to Council regarding the use of a portion of the City-owned property for providing vehicular access to the rear of the abutting lots. Council resolved "THAT this matter be referred back to the Director of Planning for consideration as suggested above in respect of extension of Urban Renewal Scheme No. 3".

On subsequent report to City Council, because of the ownership and access problems within the block, Council approved recommendations that the Director of Planning arrange to adjust the boundaries of Urban Renewal Scheme No. 3 study area to include both the subject block and such surrounding blocks as considered necessary. While this was done, the actual study was not completed because of a change in government policy on urban renewal schemes.

Recognizing the problems of vehicular access to the three lots which front Clark Drive abutting the City-owned lot, it is considered that one solution is that a new building be allowed on the northerly Lot 5 with the two southerly lots being used for required off-street parking and off-street loading and unloading facilities for the new building. In addition, a right of vehicular access be given to the three contiguous properties (Lots E, F and G) fronting Clark Drive. This right of access would cease to each individual lot when each lot is redeveloped for industrial purposes and/or the existing dwellings are discontinued for residential use.

Regarding the two-storey dwelling existing as a second principal building on the site at the rear of Lot H which abuts the City lot but fronts onto Clark Drive, it would be necessary for the existing encroachment of a step and entrance way to be removed from the existing City-owned lot.

Cont'd . . .

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 (Building) 3

Clause 2 Continued

The Technical Planning Board on July 30th, 1971 therefore recommended THAT the application be APPROVED, subject to prior compliance by the owners with the following conditions:

1. Approval of City Council is first obtained on report from the Supervisor of Property & Insurance, for the purchase of the existing City-owned lot, being Lot 10 except E.25', with satisfactory arrangements being made to provide vehicular access to the rear of Lots E, F and G, for as long as each lot is wholly used for residential purposes.
2. The easterly 3' of the site is to be dedicated to the City for lane purposes and the balance of the lots to be first consolidated into one parcel and so registered in the Land Registry Office.
3. The scheme of development to be first approved by the Technical Planning Board, having regard to the RM-3 Multiple Dwelling District to the east of the North/South City lane.

The Town Planning Commission on August 6th, 1971 concurred with the foregoing recommendation of the Technical Planning Board."

YOUR BOARD

RECOMMENDS that the reports of the Technical Planning Board and the Town Planning Commission be received and the matter be referred for the consideration of Council at a Public Hearing.

3. Rezoning Application: East side Renfrew Street
between Pender and Turner Streets

"An application has been received from Netupsky Engineering Co., 1075 West Georgia Street, requesting an amendment to the Zoning and Development By-Law whereby Lots E, 28 to 32, Block 71, THSL would be rezoned from an RM-3 Multiple Dwelling District and RS-1 One Family Dwelling District to a CD-1 Comprehensive Development District.

The applicants state the purpose of their application is 'erection of a 100-room hotel development, including licensed premises'.

Submitted with the application is a letter, advising in part:

'The proposed development comprises:

1. A 100-room hotel (4 storeys, 38 ft. high), with some relatively minor commercial areas, possibly including a bank.
2. A 3,000 sq. ft. neighbourhood 'pub' with an English courtyard entrance.
3. Preservation of some existing trees on the property and the creation of a 50 ft. park-like 'buffer' between the hotel and the properties to the east.
4. Provision for parking (covered) for some 165 cars, plus four short-term convenience parking stalls.
5. A site area of 43,360 sq. ft., with a building area of 47,400 sq. ft. (F/S ratio of 1.1)'

Cont'd . . .

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 (Building) 4

Clause 3 Continued

Also submitted with the application are drawings prepared by Boris Netupsky, Engineer, and Dion Hamer, ARchitect, indicating a 4-storey hotel building with a restaurant, beverage room and related facilities on the main floor, approximately 40' in height above Renfrew Street with two floors of underground parking. The open portions of the site are developed with a driveway, 4 off-street parking spaces and off-street loading and unloading facilities, patios, and landscaping.

The scheme of development as proposed includes the property on the east side of Renfrew for a depth of 131.6' along Turner Street to the south and Pender Street to the north, together with the intervening 66' wide Carlisle Street. It is proposed to provide a southerly outlet from Carlisle Street to Turner Street over a 55' wide lot (Lot 28) immediately to the east of the hotel development.

Brief History

On February 26th, 1959, an application was filed by Dr. F. M. Hall to rezone Lots A and B (now Lot E) from an RM-3 Multiple Dwelling District to a C-2 Commercial District to erect a hotel. The TEchnical Planning Board and the Town Planning Commission recommended that the application be not approved, and that application be made to rezone Lots A, B and C from an RM-3 Multiple Dwelling District to an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District.

On April 14th, 1959, Council resolved that the application to rezone Lots A and B to a C-2 Commercial District be referred to a Public Hearing.

On June 15th, 1959, Dr. F. M. Hall withdrew his application at the Public Hearing.

On June 19th, 1959, Dr. Hall submitted a further application to rezone Lots A, B & C to a C-2 Commercial District to permit the erection of a motel. The Technical Planning Board and Town Planning Commission reiterated their previous recommendation.

On August 4th, 1959 Council adopted the TEchnical Planning Board's recommendation and the Director of Planning was instructed to prepare an application to rezone the three lots to an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District.

On October 15th, 1959 a Public Hearing was held to consider both applications, i.e. (a) Dr. F. M. Hall's application to rezone to a C-2 Commercial District, and

(b) Director of Planning's application to rezone to an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District.

Council at the Public Hearing approved the rezoning to a C-2 Commercial District subject to:

- (1) Lots A, B and C being consolidated.
- (2) Development maintaining a 24' landscaped strip along Pender Street and 10' along Renfrew Street.
- (3) Development to be first approved by the Technical Planning Board indicating screening along Carlisle and east side of property.
- (4) No roof signs or advertising on roof of the building.

Cont'd . . .

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 (Building) 5

Clause 3 Continued

On April 8th, 1964, Development Permit Application #30879 was filed by R. Sequin, Architect, on behalf of East Side Holdings Ltd., to erect a hotel. After submission of revised drawings by the applicant on June 2nd, 1964, the application was approved by the Technical Planning Board on July 3rd, 1964, subject to a number of conditions being first met by the owner prior to the issuance of the development permit. These conditions were not met and the development permit application was cancelled by the Director of Planning on January 3rd, 1965.

On October 21st, 1963, a rezoning application was filed requesting that Lots 30, 31 and 32 be rezoned from an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District to an RM-3 Multiple Dwelling District. This was not recommended by the Technical Planning Board and Town Planning Commission and the application was not approved by Council on December 3rd, 1963.

On May 2nd, 1966, Dr. F. Hall of East Side Holdings Limited submitted an application to rezone Lot E, and Lots 28-32, Block 71, THSL to a CD-1 Comprehensive Development District for the purpose of a hotel development.

The Technical Planning Board recommended that the application be not approved for the following reason:

Rezoning of this property to a CD-1 Comprehensive Development District to permit an 8-storey hotel is considered incompatible with the adjacent single family residences.

The Technical Planning Board wished it noted however that they would give favourable consideration to the building of a hotel which may include zoning in depth on both sides of Hastings Street between Cassiar and Boundary Road, or alternatively, both sides of Hastings Street between Renfrew Street and Victoria Drive, subject to a suitable form of development.

The Town Planning Commission concurred in this recommendation, but recommended that favourable consideration be given to the building of a hotel on Hastings Street and further north on Renfrew Street, subject to a suitable form of development being presented.

City Council, on October 25th, 1966, referred the application to a Public Hearing and on April 13, 1967, at the Public Hearing, City Council did not approve the submitted application.

Present Application:

The site is the same as previously considered by Council at the Public Hearing on April 13th, 1967.

A comparison of the previously submitted scheme and the scheme now submitted is as follows:

<u>Item</u>	<u>Previous Scheme</u>	<u>New Scheme</u>
Height of Building	8 floors - 74' high	4 floors - 40' high
Size of Building	48' x 112' long with a 58.6' x 54' x 200' long with a 46' x 51' (approx.) one-storey addition to the east.	72' one-storey addition to the north.
Parking	Two floors of underground parking for a total of 72 cars.	Two floors of underground parking for a total of approx. 165 cars.
Open Portions of Site	Off-street parking for 52 cars, driveway and landscaping areas.	Off-street parking for 4 cars, off-street loading and unloading facilities, driveway, patios and landscaping.

Cont'd . . .

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 (Building) 6

Clause 3 Continued

Floor Space Ratio	Stated to be 1.1.	Stated to be 1.1.
Accommodation	82 sleeping units	100 rooms
Other Facilities	Ballroom, Cocktail Lounge, Dining Lounge, Banquet Room, Coffee Shop, etc.	Restaurant, related hotel facilities, small amount of retailing and a 3000 sq. ft. Beverage Room.

It is also noted that the underground parking structure, while being below grade adjacent to Renfrew Street, would project above grade particularly along Turner to the south and the one-family dwellings to the east.

The Technical Planning Board on July 30th, 1971 RECOMMENDED that the application be NOT approved as it is considered that rezoning of this site to a CD-1 Comprehensive Development District to permit the proposed hotel development is incompatible having regard to the one family dwelling district zoning and development, both in the surrounding area and immediately adjacent to the requested hotel development.

The Technical Planning Board would re-affirm its favourable consideration to a suitable hotel development, which may include zoning in depth, on both sides of Hastings between Cassiar and Boundary Road, or alternatively, both sides of Hastings between Renfrew and Victoria Drive.

In addition, the Technical Planning Board notes that City Council, following a Public Hearing on September 12th, 1968, approved, on recommendation from the Technical Planning Board and Town Planning Commission, a hotel development site on the south side of Franklin Street between Kaslo and Renfrew Streets, subject to conditions.

The Town Planning Commission on August 6th, 1971 concurred with the foregoing recommendation of the Technical Planning Board."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the application be refused in accordance with the recommendations of the Technical Planning Board and Town Planning Commission.

DELEGATION REQUEST - Netupsky Engineering Co.

**4. Rezoning Application: North side East Broadway
between Carolina & Fraser Streets (635 East Broadway)**

"An application has been received from Mr. C. Kartsomas, 2290 Blenheim Street, requesting an amendment to the Zoning and Development By-Law whereby Lot 13, Block 119, D.L. 264A would be rezoned from an RM-3 Multiple Dwelling District to a C-2 Commercial District.

The subject site has a frontage of 49.5' and is located on the north side of Broadway between Carolina and Fraser Streets.

In 1965 there were three lots in the half block fronting East Broadway that were not zoned as a C-2 Commercial District. Following two Public Hearings in 1965, City Council approved the rezoning of two of these lots (lots 11 and 12) to a C-2 Commercial District, subject to the scheme of development being first approved by the Technical Planning Board but not to include a car sales lot. At this time the Technical Planning Board had also recommended that should an application be received to rezone the subject Lot 13 to a C-2 Commercial District, such application would receive favourable consideration.

cont'd...

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 (Building) 7

Clause 4 Continued

The Technical Planning Board on July 30th, 1971 RECOMMENDED that the application be APPROVED, subject to prior compliance by the owners with the following condition:

The detailed scheme of development is to be first approved by the Technical Planning Board, such scheme of development not to include a car sales lot.

The Town Planning Commission on August 6th, 1971 concurred with the foregoing recommendation of the Technical Planning Board."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the reports of the Technical Planning Board and the Town Planning Commission be received and the matter be referred for the consideration of Council at a Public Hearing.

5. Rezoning Application: South side West 68th Avenue between Cornish and Granville Streets

"Mr. A. Rae, 2736 West 49th Avenue, in July 1969 requested an amendment to the Zoning and Development By-Law whereby Lots 9, 10 and 11, Block 5, D.L. 325 would be rezoned from an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District to a C-2 Commercial District. Mr. Rae subsequently requested his application be not processed further at that time as he was attempting to acquire additional property.

In February, 1970 Mr. Rae re-affirmed his request that the rezoning application be further deferred and in July, 1970, the Zoning Planner advised Mr. Rae that the Department could not support rezoning of a portion of a block which would leave one isolated lot, and requested advice as to the disposition of his application.

In June, 1971 Mr. Rae requested that his application be now dealt with.

The subject lots are three of five lots zoned as an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District on the south side of West 68th Avenue between Cornish and Granville Streets. The remainder of the block bounded by Cornish to the west, West 70th to the south, Granville to the east and 68th Avenue to the north is zoned as a C-2 Commercial District. The greater portion of this commercial area contains a Safeway retail store development.

The west half of the block abutting Cornish Street was formerly an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District but was rezoned to a C-2 Commercial District to permit the Safeway retail store development following approval by City Council in 1965, on recommendation from the Technical Planning Board and Town Planning Commission, subject to conditions, including provision of a 24' landscaped setback on Cornish Street.

In July, 1965, City Council considered an application from Mr. Rae to rezone two of the three subject lots (Lots 9 and 10) from an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District to a C-1 Commercial District to "build retail stores". The Technical Planning Board and Town Planning Commission recommended that the application be not approved, but that if an application was received to rezone all five lots fronting West 68th Avenue, including acquisition of the existing City lane, then favourable consideration could be given to such application, subject to the scheme of development being first approved by the Technical Planning Board after advice from the Design Panel, and subject to adequate landscaped setbacks being provided from Cornish and West 68th Avenue.

Cont'd . . .

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 (Building) 8

Clause 5 Continued

The three lots comprising the application would have a combined frontage of 99' on West 68th Avenue. The remaining two lots would have a combined frontage of 81' zoned RS-1 One Family Dwelling District.

The Technical Planning Board on July 30th, 1971 RECOMMENDED that the application be not approved for the following reasons:

Rezoning of the three subject lots is considered to be unsuitable as such rezoning would leave two lots zoned and used as an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District in an area otherwise wholly zoned and developed for commercial purposes.

However, the Technical Planning Board would support a new application, if filed, to rezone the five lots (Lots 9-13, Block 5, D.L. 325) to a Commercial District, subject to a satisfactory scheme of development being first approved by the Technical Planning Board after advice from the Design Panel, including the provision of adequate landscaped setbacks.

The Town Planning Commission on August 6th, 1971 concurred with the foregoing recommendation of the Technical Planning Board."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the application be refused in accordance with the recommendations of the Technical Planning Board and Town Planning Commission.

DELEGATION REQUEST - Mr. A. Rae

6. Rezoning Application: West side Nanaimo Street
South of Vanness

"An application has been received from Mr. G. DePieri, 4119 Nanaimo Street requesting an amendment to the Zoning and Development By-Law whereby Lot 2, Block 6, D.L. 741 (4119 Nanaimo) would be rezoned from an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District to an RT-2 Two Family Dwelling District.

The applicant states the purpose of his application is 'converting the one family dwelling situate thereon into a two-family dwelling or duplex, by adding an additional floor'.

The subject lot is located within an area on the west side of Nanaimo Street and developed as an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District. Immediately opposite on the east side of Nanaimo is the site of the former Associated Foundry at present zoned as an M-2 Industrial District.

The applicant's solicitor, Mr. E. Wasson, Q.C., of Cowan and Co., was advised by letter in November, 1970, by the Zoning Planner, that a second storey addition to the existing building could not comply with the floor space ratio provisions of the RT-2 Two Family Dwelling District Schedule of the Zoning and Development By-Law. Further, it was the Department's opinion that a formal application to rezone the subject property to an RT-2 Two Family Dwelling District could not receive the support of the Technical Planning Board.

The applicant's solicitor subsequently advised that they wished to proceed with the submission of their rezoning application to City Council, and a formal application was filed on June 1st, 1971.

The Technical Planning Board on July 30th, 1971 RECOMMENDED THAT the application be NOT APPROVED as such rezoning would create an insulated RT-2 Two Family Dwelling District in an area zoned and developed as an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District.

cont'd....

558
Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 (Building - 9)

Clause #6 continued:

The Town Planning Commission on August 6th, 1971 concurred with the foregoing recommendation of the Technical Planning Board."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the application be refused in accordance with the recommendation of the Technical Planning Board and Town Planning Commission.

DELEGATION REQUEST - E. Wasson, Q.C.

7. Air-Supported Structure for Jericho
Tennis Club - 3837 Point Grey Road

The City Building Inspector reports as follows:

"A request has been received from Jericho Tennis Club to erect an air supported structure to serve as a cover for two of their tennis courts for a period of one year.

The tent-like structure measures 100' x 114' and is supported by a fan which operates on normal power supply.

Although the Vancouver Building By-law makes no provision for air-supported shelters of this type, it does permit in Section 2.6 temporary buildings or tents to be erected for a period not exceeding two years, subject to approval by Council.

However, in the 1970 National Building Code, there are regulations dealing specifically with air-supported structures, which are permitted for a period of 12 months with the requirement that a Certificate of Occupancy be issued to cover this period. At the end of the 12 months, the approval may be renewed provided the structure still complies with the regulations when examined at that time.

It is recommended that Council give approval to erect the proposed air structure at Jericho Tennis Club and either remove it or obtain further approval from Council at the end of the one year period subject to

- (1) Compliance with the 1970 National Building Code with respect to occupancy, structural and mechanical requirements.
- (2) Compliance with Section 2.6 of the Vancouver Building By-law with respect to Fire Protection, Sanitation and Bond of Indemnity to guarantee removal.
- (3) Compliance with the Zoning & Development By-law which includes approval of the Technical Planning Board."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the recommendation of the City Building Inspector be adopted.

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 (BUILDING - 10)

INFORMATION

8. 3708 Point Grey Road
Mr. and Mrs. W.D. Smith

Mr. and Mrs. W. D. Smith wrote to His Worship Mayor Campbell requesting permission to re-install a dwelling unit on the second floor of the above building. The Director of Permits and Licenses reports as follows:

"July 24, 1958 an inspection showed that the basement contained two sleeping units that were equipped with cooking facilities and that the main and attic floors were occupied as one dwelling unit.

August 8, 1958 an application was made for a Development Permit to add to and convert the building to provide a duplex containing one dwelling unit on the main floor and one dwelling unit on the second floor. This application was refused.

September 4, 1958 an application was made to the then Board of Appeal to convert the building into a duplex containing one dwelling unit on the main floor and one dwelling unit on the second floor. This Appeal was refused.

October 14, 1958 a Building Permit was issued permitting alterations to be made to the dwelling to provide an increased floor area on the second floor. An affidavit stating that the building would continue to be used as a one family dwelling and signed by W. Duncan Smith and Mary L. Smith is attached to this Building Permit.

March, 1965 an inspection showed the building was occupied as a two family dwelling. Charges were laid against Mary L. Smith on August 28, 1965 and at the request of the defendant, numerous adjournments were given. Some evidence was presented in court and the matter was again adjourned pending the revision of the City Charter with respect to the Zoning and development By-law and the revision of the charging sections of the Zoning and Development By-law. A stay of proceedings on this charge was entered by the City Prosecutor on May 2, 1968.

July 9, 1969 an inspection showed that the building was still occupied as a two family dwelling. The owner was notified of the violation of the By-law.

November 5, 1969 Mr. Smith filed an application for consideration under City Council's hardship policy. This application was refused. Mr. Smith was notified to restore the building to a one family dwelling but re-inspection on April 2, 1970 found that the building was still occupied as a duplex dwelling.

July 16, 1970 charges were laid against Mr. Smith and after several requests by defence counsel for adjournments, re-inspection was made of the building on November 12, 1970 where it was found that the building had been restored to a one family dwelling. Because of this, defence counsel requested that the charge be withdrawn and the City Prosecutor agreed to this request.

The Zoning and Development By-law states that the Technical Planning Board may permit dwelling units to remain provided the unit has been continually used and occupied since a date prior to June 18, 1956.

cont'd....

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 . . . (BUILDING - 11)

Clause #8 continued:

As the Unit on the second floor of this building was originally installed after June 15, 1956, has been discontinued as separate living quarters and the sink and cooking facilities disconnected, the Technical Planning Board cannot now consider any application to convert this building. The property is situated in an RS-1 one family dwelling district and it would therefore appear that the only recourse open to Mr. Smith is to again examine his rights to appeal to the Board of Variance.

Your Board forwards this report of the Director of Permits and Licenses for the INFORMATION of Council, and suggests that a copy be forwarded to Mr. and Mrs. Smith.

RECOMMENDATION

9. Bicycle Registration and Storage
Facilities: Oakridge Police Station

The City Building Inspector reports as follows:-

"On May 18, 1971 Council approved a report of the Board of Administration dated May 17, 1971, which recommended that selected contractors be invited by the architects to bid for a construction management contract to build the proposed Bicycle Registration facilities, this form of contract being proposed in order to expedite construction of the facilities.

Subsequently, it became evident that the facilities could not be completed by September 1, 1971, the date compulsory registration becomes effective, and that the construction contract therefore could be tendered and awarded on the normal, fixed price basis. Competitive, fixed price tenders were received from selected bidders, as tabulated below. Bidders were required to quote a separate price representing an increase or decrease of the basic tender for an alternative type of brick wall construction. This price appears in the tabulation after the basic tender. Every tender was accompanied by Bid Bond for \$3,500.00.

	Basic Tender	Deduct for Alternate
Allan and Viner Construction Ltd.	\$68,980.00	\$1,200.00
Kennett Contracting Ltd.	\$72,980.00	\$ 697.00
Allstate Construction Co. Ltd.	\$73,177.00	\$ 500.00
Mutual Construction Ltd.	\$79,595.00	\$ 550.00
Grimwood Construction Co. Ltd.	\$85,654.00	\$ 400.00

The tenders were examined and found to be in order. The lowest tender is that of Allan and Viner Construction Ltd.

The basic tender includes a provisional sum of \$3,000.00 for contingencies. It is recommended that this sum be reduced to \$2,000.00, and that the alternative price of \$1,200.00 be accepted. The tender will be reduced thereby to \$66,780.00. The low bidder offers completion within three months.

On the basis of the low tender, revised as recommended above, the total cost of the project, including construction of the facilities, architects' and consultants' fees, site valuation, required landscaping, utilities, services, and sundry items is now estimated to be \$90,460.00. This total exceeds the amount appropriated on the basis of preliminary estimates, by \$4,460.00.

The Director of Finance advises that the required additional sum can be provided from 1971 Supplementary Capital Funds. For Council information it is noted that the proceeds from property sales, which form part of the supplementary capital funds available, are expected to exceed the amount estimated for 1971.

cont'd....

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 . . . (BUILDING - 12)

Clause #9 continued:

It is RECOMMENDED that City Council:

- (1) Approve the appropriation of \$4,460.00 from 1971 Supplementary Capital funds, for the purpose of completing the required facilities.
- (2) Accept the tender of Allan and Viner Construction Ltd. and enter into a contract with them in the amount of \$66,780.00 on the basis recommended above, such contract to be satisfactory to the Corporation Counsel.
- (3) Authorize the City Treasurer to return the Bid Bonds of the unsuccessful bidders.

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS that the foregoing recommendations of the City Building Inspector be adopted.

FOR ADOPTION SEE PAGE(S) 524-525

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 (Licenses 1)

LICENSES AND CLAIMS MATTERS

INFORMATION:

1. Claim No. 11904 - Mrs. W. Barr

The Corporation Counsel reports as follows:

"Mrs. Barr was walking on the sidewalk on the north side of the 100 Block West 22nd Avenue on February 18, 1971, when she fell on an upraised portion of the sidewalk. She broke her glasses and suffered minor facial lacerations and a black eye, as well as bruises and lacerations to both knees.

The sidewalk where Mrs. Barr fell was in generally good condition except for the fact that a portion of the sidewalk had been raised approximately 3/4" above the adjacent slabs by the growing action of the tree roots from an adjacent boulevard tree.

The British Columbia Court of Appeal in 1958, in the action of Lillian Douglas v. City of Vancouver decided that there is no liability upon the City for injuries suffered by someone who trips on an upraised sidewalk slab if the cause of the shifting was the "growing action of tree roots". In addition, Council on June 22, 1971 considered the claim of Mrs. Gladys Green who was injured in very similar circumstances, and decided to take no action on her claim at that time.

It is the opinion of the Corporation Counsel that there is no liability upon the City for the injuries Mrs. Barr has suffered. "

Your Board submits the foregoing report of the Corporation Counsel for Council's INFORMATION.

(Copies of Mrs. Barr's letters dated February 22nd, May 28th, and July 10th, 1971 are circulated for information.)

FOR ADOPTION SEE PAGE(S) 525

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, August 20th, 1971 (FINANCE 1.)

FINANCE MATTERS

CONSIDERATION

1. Appointment of Auditors - 1972.

The Director of Finance reports as follows:

"The matter of appointment of External Auditors for the year 1972, pursuant to Section 230 of the Vancouver Charter, is submitted for consideration.

The City's present auditors, Riddell, Stead & Co., by letter dated August 12th, have applied for appointment as external auditors for the year 1972 and have submitted other information in support of their application.

The proposed fee for the year 1972 is \$34,250, a 6.4% increase over the 1971 fee of \$32,100, which they state is due to salary increases in line with cost of living increases.

The appointment of auditors should be in the following form:

That the firm of ----- be appointed External Auditors for the City of Vancouver for the year 1972 at a fee of \$----- in accordance with the terms as to scope and responsibility as set out in Parts I to IV inclusive of the report re Audit of City's records dated January 22, 1962, submitted by the Board of Administration and adopted by Council on January 23, 1962."

Your Board submits the report of the Director of Finance for Council consideration.

(Copies of letter dated August 12, 1971, from Riddell Stead & Co. are circulated for the information of Council.)

2. Pacific-Asian Conference of Municipalities

A letter has been received from the Mayor of Honolulu extending an invitation to the City of Vancouver to join an organization to be known as the Pacific - Asian Conference of Municipalities (PACOM). The membership is to be made up of Mayors, Legislators and other municipal officials of cities from countries bordering on the Pacific and Asian Oceans.

A copy of the letter and of the proposed Articles of Association are circulated for the information of Council.

It is advised that there will be no conference registration fees and all expenses while at the meetings will be borne by the City and County of Honolulu. Delegates will pay only for their rooms and meals.

Your Board submits the foregoing invitation for the CONSIDERATION of Council.

(A brochure submitted by the City of Honolulu explaining the purposes of PACOM is on file in the City Clerk's Office)

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATIONSUPPLEMENTARYPERSONNEL MATTERSRECOMMENDATIONAugust 20, 1971.

1. Deferment of 1970 Vacation Entitlement:
Mrs. P.M. Barby and Mrs. L. Pritchard, Mayor's Office

The Acting Director of Personnel Services reports as follows:

"I have received a request from Mr. C. Lowery, Executive Assistant to the Mayor, for Mrs. P.M. Barby and Mrs. L. Pritchard, both Clerk Stenographer IV's, to defer their remaining 1970 vacation entitlement to 1971. They were unable to take all their vacation in 1970 due to the pressure of work caused by the election of the members of Council.

Mrs. Barby: 2 days Mrs. Pritchard: 5 days

It is therefore recommended that the request of the Executive Assistant to the Mayor be approved."

YOUR BOARD

RECOMMENDS that the foregoing recommendation of the Acting Director of Personnel Services be adopted.

CONSIDERATION

2. Added Duties for the Secretary to
Director of the Vancouver Public Library

The Board of Administration has received the following report from the Director of Finance and the Co-ordinator of Data Processing & Systems.

"The Library Board has recommended that the subject position be reclassified from Clerk Stenographer III to Clerk Stenographer IV.

The Director of Personnel Services has reviewed this recommendation and agrees, in light of the incumbent's present duties.

The position was last reviewed in 1967 and classified as a Clerk Stenographer III at that time. Since that time there has been a gradual accretion of duties at a higher level than was anticipated in 1967. Recently for example, she has been asked to prepare the agenda for and record the minutes of Library Board meetings, a task originally the responsibility of the Assistant Director of the Library. The Director of the Library has made some minor realignment of duties of his senior staff primarily to strengthen the position of the Assistant Director by removing from that position a number of less important day to day administrative duties which can and should be handled by the senior clerical staff. The Assistant Director will become more involved in the professional development of the librarians, in book selection and in the general provision of services to the public. At this time there appears to be no other positions whose classification is affected by taking on additional duties from the Assistant Director.

cont'd....

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 PERSONNEL, SUPP. - 2)

Clause 12 continued:

The recurring annual cost of this recommendation including fringe benefits at 12% would amount to approximately \$1,062 at 1971 rates. Cost for the remainder of 1971 would be about \$231. The necessary funds for 1971 are available in the Library's salaries account. This position is excluded from the bargaining unit."

Your Board submits the above report of the Director of Finance and the Co-ordinator of Data Processing & Systems for Council consideration.

FOR ADOPTION SEE PAGE(S) 525-526

CITY OF VANCOUVERREGULAR COUNCIL MEETING

A Regular Meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Tuesday, August 24, 1971, in the Council Chamber at approximately 9:30 A.M.

PRESENT: His Worship the Mayor
Alderman Adams, Broome, Calder, Hardwick,
Phillips, Rankin, Sweeney and Wilson

ABSENT: Alderman Bird
Alderman Linnell (Leave of Absence - illness)

PRAYER The proceedings in the Council Chamber were opened with prayer.

'IN CAMERA' MEETING The Council agreed to an 'In Camera' meeting later this day.

ADOPTION OF MINUTES

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,
SECONDED by Ald. Calder,

THAT the Minutes of the Regular Council meeting dated August 10, 1971 be adopted, except for the item dealing with Cassiar/Highway 401 (Charles and Williams Streets) contained on pages 16 to 18, which item be held for adoption later.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the Minutes of the Special Council meeting dated August 17, 1971, be adopted.

- CARRIED

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT This Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, His Worship the Mayor in the Chair.

- CARRIED

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

1. Certain Local Improvements
on Special Grounds

At the Special Council meeting on July 29th, following the Court of Revision, the following resolution was passed relating to certain local improvement projects which the administration was recommending be proceeded with on special grounds:

"THAT Items Nos. 40, 41 and 42 be referred to a subsequent meeting of Council for further consideration, at which time the Board of Administration report of July 19, 1971 'Local Improvements on Special Grounds', be also considered, and that the Traffic Engineer be present during the consideration".

The Council further considered the report of the Board of Administration dated July 19th, 1971, and considered the following projects:

- (a) Pavements and Curbs, Knight Street from 49th Avenue to 57th Avenue.
- (b) Pavement and Curbs, Rupert Street from 1st Avenue to 2nd Avenue on the E/S and from Gravelley Street to 2nd Avenue on the W/S
- (c) Pavement and Curbs, 49th Avenue from Oak Street to Elm Street on the N/S and from Oak Street to Marine Crescent on the S/S

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 2

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Certain Local Improvements
on Special Grounds (cont'd)

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT the project for pavement and curbs on Knight Street from 49th Avenue to 57th Avenue be approved but all costs be paid out of General Revenue.

- LOST

MOVED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the project for pavement and curbs on Knight Street from 49th Avenue to 57th Avenue be proceeded with on Special Grounds.

- LOST

(Not having obtained
the required 8 votes)

MOVED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the project for pavement on curbs on Rupert Street from 1st Avenue to 2nd Avenue on the east side and from Graveley Street to 2nd Avenue on the west side be proceeded with on special grounds.

- CARRIED BY THE
REQUIRED MAJORITY

MOVED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the project for pavement and curbs on 49th Avenue from Oak Street to Elm Street on the north side and from Oak Street to Marine Crescent on the south side be proceeded with on special grounds.

- CARRIED BY THE
REQUIRED MAJORITY

MOVED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the City Engineer be authorized to call tenders for the foregoing three projects.

- CARRIED

Parking Restrictions

Alderman Hardwick requested a report from the City Engineer on the policy of determining installation of 7:00 A.M. to 9:00 A.M. and 4:00 P.M. to 6:00 P.M. parking restrictions, e.g. are residents affected notified, do they have any rights of appeal, etc.

2. Social Service Department: Establishment of
Financial and Administrative Services Division
and Social Services Division

The Council considered the following Board of Administration report of July 23, 1971, which was tabled on July 27th to give the Municipal and Regional Employees' Union an opportunity to consider it:

"Our Board has received the following report from the Director of Welfare Services:

"ITEM I - Total Departmental Staff Requirement

On October 22, 1970, Council when dealing with the Board of Administration report of September 25, 1970 approved a total of 191 positions for the Department, exclusive of Taylor Manor and Pacific Hostel staff and Public Health Nurses seconded from the Health Department. By that report, it was also established that 129 positions would be required for the Financial and Administrative Services Division.

Since my appointment, the positions have been reviewed and as a result I recommend the following permanent Departmental establishment:

cont'd...

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 3

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Report on Social Service Department
(continued)

	<u>Recommended</u>	<u>Oct. 22/70 Authorization</u>
Director	1	1
Systems Analyst	1	1
Staff Trainer	1	
Financial & Administrative Services Division	140	129
Social Service Division		
City Positions	21	33
Provincial Positions	<u>27</u>	<u>27</u>
	191	191
Taylor Manor Staff	12	12
Pacific Hostel Staff	17	17
Public Health Nurses	<u>8½</u>	<u>8½</u>
	<u>37½</u>	<u>37½</u>
Total Department Establishment	<u>228½</u>	<u>228½</u>

Details are shown on the attached Appendices

ITEM II - Implementation of Financial and Administrative
Services Division

Considerable progress has been made on the establishment of the Financial and Administrative Services Division. The Division Head, Mr. H.I. McLean, was appointed on February 1, 1971.

The Division has been organized into three branches, namely:

- (i) Clerical Services
- (ii) Financial Services
- (iii) Accounting Services

Branch Heads have been appointed for Financial Services - Mr. E. Hepting; and for Accounting Services - Mr. A. Inglis. I anticipate that the Clerical Services Branch Head will be appointed within the next two weeks.

With the assistance of the Systems Analysts many unnecessary procedures have been eliminated, and a system evolved which will provide for more effective use of staff, better control over eligibility and issue and a faster service to applicants.

This Division has assumed responsibility for:

- (i) providing all administrative and clerical services;
- (ii) receiving applications, establishing eligibility, recommending and issuing allowances;
- (iii) preparing and rendering accounts to obtain reimbursement of monies disbursed, approval of accounts payable, preparation of Canada Assistance Plan claims;
- (iv) other related duties;

in accordance with the recommendations included in the Board of Administration report of September 25, 1970 and approved by Council resolution of October 22, 1970.

cont'd...

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 4

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Report on Social Service Department
(continued)

Clerical Services Branch

The recommendations in previous reports have been implemented, particularly in the Records Branch where a new filing system has been installed. Review of other clerical processing is still in progress.

Accounting Services Branch

Personnel to fill the permanent positions previously approved for this Branch are still being selected. Considerable assistance has been given by the Director of Finance and members of the Data Processing Branch. Review of procedures continues.

Financial Services Branch

Financial assistance will be provided to people in need through the existing five District Offices - namely: East, North, South, West and Single Men's. Investigation is currently in process relative to relocation of the North District Office outside of the 8th Avenue Building. Within the next six months a careful review of the Single Men's District Office operation will be completed which may result in older indigent single men being transferred to the other four District Offices.

Each District Office will contain three sections, namely:

- (i) Financial Aid Section
- (ii) Clerical Services Section
- (iii) Rehabilitation Services Section

There will be no Unit Directors. Functional supervision will be exercised from Central Office. This is an essential change in management lines to ensure consistency throughout the entire Department. Considerable inconsistency has existed between Units in the past.

The Financial Aid Section in each District Office will be staffed by one or more Social Service Assistants IA (the working supervisors) and a number of Social Service Assistants I in accordance with rated volume of cases handled.

(a) Implementation Action

It would be impossible to implement the new system in all District Offices at the same time. Accordingly, it was decided to select the East District Office as the 'prototype' and test the new system for 30 to 45 days commencing on June 14, 1971. Following the test period, all District Offices will be staffed and operating on the new system by approximately August 23, 1971.

(b) Responsibilities of Social Service Assistants

The Social Service Assistants in each District Office will be responsible for:

- (i) assisting in completion of Social Assistance applications;

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 5

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Report on Social Service Department
(continued)

- (ii) advising applicant whether he is eligible; how much assistance he will receive; when to expect first cheque; the responsibilities of applicant to keep Department informed of changes in financial circumstances, residence, etc.;
- (iii) issuing emergency assistance, if required, before first cheque is available;
- (iv) completing application for medical coverage, if applicable;
- (v) preparing Identification Card;
- (vi) completing Social Data Form. This is a new form which has been specially designed to provide basic information on applicant's potential for employment;
- (vii) in case of rejection, to provide applicant, in writing on form letter, reason for rejection and specific direction for administrative review. Criticism is currently levelled against the Department from applicants who do not know why they have been rejected. Instituting this automatic procedure will circumvent 'hassling' of staff and provide the applicant with an appeal in a rapid and efficient manner. Furthermore, it enables the administration to monitor interpretation of policy by staff and to make necessary corrections;
- (viii) handling all overages.

(c) Cheque Inquiries

All telephone or personal inquiries regarding cheques will be handled by a designated clerical person in each District Office. Under the existing system many valuable hours of Social Worker's time is expended on this activity for which they are not trained nor hired to perform.

(d) Home Visits

No home visits will be made by Social Service Assistants in the District Offices. These will be handled by a Central Office Home Audit Group, under the Eligibility Section. This Group will comprise 2 Social Service Assistant 1A and 8 Social Service Assistants I. The Home Audit Service will automatically make a home visit on every 10th person enrolled on assistance, or recipient where there is a change in financial circumstances. Additional home visits will be made on receipt of request from District Office Social Service Assistants. This will be a major improvement over the present system.

(e) Appointment Scheduling

The daily schedules of the Social Service Assistants in the District Offices, including the Supervisor, will be strictly programmed. It is estimated that the majority of new applicants and requests from existing recipients can be adequately handled in less than 30 minute interviews. The

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 6

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Report on Social Service Department
(continued)

Appointment Scheduling (cont'd)

appointment schedules for the Social Service Assistants will be arranged by a Receptionist in each District Office. Where a Social Service Assistant requires additional time he will notify the Receptionist.

(f) Casework Relationship

There will be no 'casework relationship' between the Social Service Assistants and the recipient. A Social Service Assistant taking an application from a particular applicant may not see the same person again. Introduction of this concept will circumvent some of the problems which have arisen from close dependency on a particular worker. In essence, the nature of the service is purely financial assistance and there is no need for a continuing relationship.

Under the new system, Social Workers in the Department will be divorced of all responsibility pertaining to applications for assistance, overages, etc. They will, of course, need to be fully aware of financial assistance policies and procedures and will need to have the closest possible working relationship with the Social Service Assistants in the District Offices. This is a most progressive move in that it facilitates the development of highly skilled staff to handle financial assistance matters and to develop the social work staff to deal with the problem of getting as many recipients as possible into a position of economic independence through tested rehabilitation techniques.

ITEM III - Establishment of Social Services Division

To meet with the second major directive of City Council (resolution of October 22, 1970), namely:

'to concentrate the work of Social Services on those recipients who are most likely to become economically independent because of rehabilitation efforts',

a proposed restructuring of the Social Services Division has been evolved as outlined in Appendix III.

This Division to encompass four branches, namely:

- (i) Rehabilitation Services Branch
- (ii) Special Services Branch
- (iii) Health Care and Aging Branch
- (iv) Transient Persons Branch

(a) Staff Positions Required

See Appendix III for Social Services Division structure and staff requirement. Note: Position classifications are not shown as they have yet to be established.

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 7

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Report on Social Service Department
(continued)

(b) Rehabilitation Services Branch

This Branch will be responsible for:

- (i) transmitting Departmental rehabilitation policy to District Office Rehabilitation Supervisors;
- (ii) in co-operation with District Office Rehabilitation Supervisors, developing required procedures to carry out policies;
- (iii) in co-operation with District Office Rehabilitation Supervisors, recruiting, training and placement of Social Work staff into the five District Offices;
- (iv) keeping the Assistant Director - Social Services Division continually informed of effectiveness of program, results and need for changes;
- (v) maintaining necessary working relationship with the Division Head of Financial Services;
- (vi) maintaining necessary working relationship with relative community rehabilitation resources.

(c) Responsibilities of District Office Rehabilitation Staff

The rehabilitation staff in the District Offices will be directly responsible for assisting recipients to make the most effective use of the resources of the Department and the community at large with the objective of attaining maximum economic independence. Each District Office is to be staffed by a Rehabilitation Supervisor and four or more Social Workers depending on volume.

The Social Data Form completed by the Financial Services staff will be transmitted to the Rehabilitation Supervisor in the District Office who will review the form and immediately make one of two decisions:

- (i) establish conclusively that no rehabilitation action is feasible. A notation will be made on the file that the case has been reviewed and no action indicated. In a minority of cases of this nature, there may be indication for temporary or continuing medical follow-up and a note will be forwarded by the Rehabilitation Supervisor to the Branch Head - Health Care and Aging.
- (ii) establish that the recipient should be interviewed by one of the District Office Rehabilitation Workers. The recipient will be contacted within days of being granted assistance and asked to come into the office. The Rehabilitation Worker will then develop a 'profile' or detailed assessment of the recipient. From this profile a decision will be made on potential for rehabilitation. If no potential indicated, no action will be taken. In some instances, for example, the deserted mother with pre-school children, further planning may be deferred. Where potential is evident, the Rehabilitation Worker will discuss the situation with the

continued . . .

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)Report on Social Service Department
(continued)

recipient and encourage the person to develop a 'plan' that is understood, acceptable and realistic. Having developed the 'plan', the Rehabilitation Worker will then direct the person to available resources to ensure realization. The 'plan' will be modified as circumstances dictate.

(d) Health Care & Aging Branch

Within the next three months in conjunction with the Medical Health Officer, an assessment will be made of the operation of this Branch of the Department which is staffed with both Social Workers and Public Health Nurses.

(e) Special Services Branch

This Branch will include:

- (i) Vancouver Opportunities Program
- (ii) Creative Job Search
- (iii) Education and Training Section
- (iv) Work Activity Section
- (v) Home Management
- (vi) Day Care

(f) Vancouver Opportunities Program

This is one of the most progressive rehabilitation resources in Canada. One Social Worker will be assigned to establish more effective working arrangements with the Opportunities Organization and to initiate the necessary policies and procedures for District Office rehabilitation staff to make use of this resource. At present there are over 360 recipients on this program.

(g) Creative Job Search

This is another very progressive resource operated by 3 Social Workers, for use of District Office rehabilitation staff. It is used specifically for persons who have the physical and mental capacity to work, but little understanding of their capabilities, have difficulty holding a job, do not know how to put the capabilities in an organized way to a prospective employer and, in general, lack understanding of the demands of industry. This program has been in operation for over a year and has proven to be one of the fastest and most effective techniques to get persons into employment.

As part of the rehabilitation program, Rehabilitation staff will be made aware of job opportunities available by the Provincial Alliance of Businessmen, Canada Manpower and employers.

(h) Education and Training Section

A Social Service Worker will be responsible for liaison with all existing and potential training resources (e.g. - Canada Manpower, Vancouver City College, private training schools etc.). Specifically this person will develop the necessary system and procedures for use by the rehabilitation workers.

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 9

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Report on Social Service Department
(continued)

ITEM III - Establishment of Social Services Division (cont'd)

(i) Work Activity Section

This is a rehabilitation service for persons who require work experience under controlled conditions. Each participant is paid the going wage rate for the job performed. Duties of the Social Worker assigned to this project include maintaining contact with all participants on work activity projects and assessing each individual's progress and readiness for competitive employment. To date one program has been completed with the Park Board; currently the Provincial Government has assigned 50 jobs in the Cypress Bowl development project for Vancouver Social Service recipients. Suitable persons have now been selected to fill the jobs and the Social Worker is continuing to assess the progress of each person involved.

(j) Home Management

At present a part-time Nutritionist is seconded to the Department from the Health Department. The other part of her time is devoted to duties at the Vancouver Children's Aid Society.

Discussions are in progress with the Medical Health Officer concerning the feasibility of providing one full time Nutritionist to:

- (i) provide a consultant nutritionist service to designated recipients;
- (ii) provide advice to District Office rehabilitation workers on nutritional, budgeting and home management matters.

(k) Day Care

It is proposed to have one full time Social Worker on a short term experimental basis, to examine this resource to help mothers (with children) on assistance to get out to work. The program when developed will be reported to Council for approval, before implementation, and to the Provincial Department for cost sharing as a special project.

ITEM IV - Staff Training and Development

This section will require one Social Service Worker and one Clerk Typist. The Social Service Worker will report to the Director.

Responsibilities

- (i) To organize an orientation course and provide same to all new staff joining the Department. Specifically the orientation course will include the objectives and structure of the Department, and the functions of each branch and section. The same course would be provided to all permanent staff.

cont'd.....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 10

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Report on Social Service Department
(continued)

- (ii) To provide a detailed outline of specific information on legislation, policies and procedures, communication channels, etc., to ensure that staff know what other people do, as well as information about their own duties.
- (iii) To organize in-service training sessions for various groups of staff.

ITEM V - Change of Name of Department

To clearly reflect the objectives of the Department:

- (i) financial assistance for people in need, and
- (ii) rehabilitation services for recipients with potential for employment,

I recommend that the City change the name of the Department from 'Social Service Department' to 'Welfare & Rehabilitation Department'.

ITEM VI - General Comment

I am most impressed with the staff of the Social Service Department and I think they should be highly commended for having 'stuck it out' under the most trying circumstances. The uncertainty as to what was going to happen raised anxiety levels to unbelievable heights. I am pleased to report that most of the anxiety has been relieved by the definitive action taken in recent weeks and the staff is looking forward to completion of the reorganization and moving on with the new system which I believe most agree is a proper and necessary approach.

Welfare Departments are being severely criticized from coast to coast. Much of this criticism is justified because in the past sufficient attention has not been given to helping people on assistance with potential for employment to use available training and employment opportunities to achieve economic independence. Most welfare departments, strange as it may seem, have carried on from year to year with the assumption that this was someone else's responsibility. It is readily apparent that there are and will be many people who require long term financial assistance because of age, physical and/or mental impairment, etc. However, in the majority of instances, assistance should be short term and major emphasis has to be placed on rehabilitation. The alternative is long term dependency with its many social problems.

ITEM VII - Provision of Funds

The Comptroller of Accounts advises that in establishing the Departmental budget for the fiscal year 1971 sufficient funds were provided to defray the City's portion of all salary costs for the permanent positions recommended in this report. Therefore no further funds are required.

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 11

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Report on Social Service Department
(continued)

ITEM VIII - Summary of Recommendations

As Director of Welfare Services, I RECOMMEND that:

- (a) the Department organization as outlined in this report be adopted and the total number of permanently established positions be 228;
- (b) the Financial and Administrative Services Division have a total of 140 permanently established positions as shown on Appendix I of this report;
- (c) the Social Service Division have a total of 85½ permanently established positions as shown on Appendix I of this report; (including 27 Provincial employee positions)
- (d) the name of the Department be changed to 'Welfare and Rehabilitation Department';
- (e) the Board of Administration be authorized to approve for each permanently established position the classifications and pay grades in connection with this reorganization (in accordance with Council resolution of July 22, 1969).

Your Board note that no further funds are required to implement the recommendations of the Director of Welfare Services, and

RECOMMEND that:

- (i) the report be received and tabled for a period of two weeks to give the Municipal and Regional Employees' Union an opportunity to consider the report, and
- (ii) the recommendations of the Director of Welfare Services be adopted at a subsequent Council meeting.
- (iii) the report be submitted to the Honourable P.A. Gaglardi, Minister of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement, so that he can have the opportunity of giving his comments and approving the establishment for grant purposes.

NOTE: This report recommends the establishment of the required number of permanent positions for a satisfactory level of service under present conditions.

There are already indications that special conditions may be imposed upon the Department, which will ultimately result in requests being made for additional temporary positions to meet such special conditions.

(The appendices referred to are on file in the City Clerk's Office)

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 12

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Report on Social Service Department
(continued)

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT the following recommendations of the Board of Administration be adopted:

- (i) the recommendations of the Director of Welfare Services be adopted;
- (ii) the report be submitted to the Honourable P.A. Gaglardi, Minister of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement, so that he can have the opportunity of giving his comments and approving the establishment for grant purposes.

- CARRIED

3. Museums Department:
Attendants and Cashiers

The Council considered the following Board of Administration report dated August 6th concerning attendants and cashiers in the Museums Department:

"On July 13, 1971 City Council received a report entitled 'Interim Administration and Operation - Centennial & Maritime Museums and Planetarium'. Recommendation IV (which was adopted) was as follows:

'A detailed survey of all aspects of operation of the total complex be undertaken by the Administrative Analyst, and the Board of Administration report to Council from time to time on recommended changes in organization and operation.'

Your Board now submit the following report concerning Attendants and Cashiers.

(a) Centennial & Maritime Museums
Hours Open to Public

	<u>PRESENT</u>	<u>PROPOSED</u>
School Days - Monday to Friday - 35 Weeks	9:00 a.m. - 9:00 p.m.	9:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.
Saturdays, Sundays and Holidays - 114 Days	11:00 a.m. - 10:00 p.m.	12 Noon - 8:00 p.m.
Non School Days - Monday to Friday - 17 Weeks	9:00 a.m. - 9:00 p.m.	12 Noon - 8:00 p.m.

Note: Opening hours to be extended to 10:00 p.m. during week-ends in the Summer periods.

During week day periods when the Museums are closed at 5:00 p.m. it will be necessary to have one Attendant on duty in the foyer to receive patrons attending the Planetarium shows, meetings in the Auditorium and restaurant patrons. An Attendant will be required in the Planetarium during the course of each show.

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 13

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Museums Department:
Attendants and Cashiers (cont'd)

(b) Basic Manning Per Shift

	<u>PRESENT</u>	<u>PROPOSED</u>
<u>Centennial Museum</u>		
Galleries	3	2
Special Exhibit	1	-
Foyer	1	1
Relief	1	-
Supervisor	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
	<u>7</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Planetarium</u>		
Gallery	1	-
Theatre	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>
	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Maritime Museum</u>		
Supervisor	1	-
Gallery	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Cashiers</u>		
Centennial Museum	1	1
Maritime Museum	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
	<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
Total Per Shift	<u>14</u>	<u>8</u>

Three additional Attendants may be employed in the Centennial Museum and one additional Attendant may be employed in the Maritime Museum on Saturdays, Sundays and Holidays from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. and one relief Attendant when required during week-days. An Attendant may be provided for the St. Roch from 9:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. during school periods.

(c) Estimated Cost Comparison for Basic Manning,
 Additional and Relief Attendants

	<u>PRESENT</u>		<u>PROPOSED</u>	
<u>Weekly</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>\$ (A)</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>\$ (A)</u>
Monday	94½	\$ 253	46	\$ 142
Tuesday	186	482	79	237
Wednesday	186	485	79	237
Thursday	186	485	79	237
Friday	193	500	79	237
Saturday	195	497	85½	256
Sunday	190	477	85½	256
Provision for Statutory Holidays, Increments and Supervision		<u>417</u>		<u>350</u>
Estimated Weekly Cost	<u>1230½</u>	<u>\$ 3,596</u>	<u>522½</u>	<u>\$1,952</u>
Estimated Monthly Cost		<u>\$15,583</u>		<u>\$8,458</u>

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 14

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Museums Department:
Attendants and Cashiers (cont'd)

(A) Based on rates applicable to varying positions

Annual Cost (B)

1971 Budget

\$187,000

Estimated Annual Cost Based
on Revised Schedule

\$101,500

(B) Exclusive of fringe benefits

(d) Numbers of Employees

	<u>PRESENT</u>	* <u>PROPOSED</u>
Group A - working 35 hour week	14	7
Group B - working 3 or more shifts but less than 35 hours per week	28	9
Group C - working less than 3 shifts per week	<u>12</u>	<u>9</u>
Total	<u>54</u>	<u>25</u>

* Actual numbers in each group may be varied from time to time depending upon the program being conducted and the availability of suitable employees.

City Council at its meeting of April 27, 1971 adopted a Supplementary Agreement (known as Schedule D) to the Collective Agreement entered into between the City and the Municipal and Regional Employees' Union covering special provisions that apply to Museum Attendants I and II and Cashier Attendants.

Section 4, Subsection (I) states:

'The services of every employee covered by this Schedule shall be subject to termination upon thirty (30) days notice in writing.'

As noted above, there are at present 54 employees covered by the Supplementary Agreement, but after implementation of the proposals contained in this report 25 Attendants and Cashiers only will be required.

It is therefore RECOMMENDED that the Museum Manager in conjunction with the Director of Personnel Services, advise the Business Manager of the Employees' Union of selection of 7 Group 'A' employees to work a 35 hour basis, 9 to work as Group 'B' employees and 9 to work as Group 'C' employees, and to give the remaining 29 employees one months written notice of termination of employment.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Your Board RECOMMENDS that:

- (i) the hours during which the Centennial Museum and Maritime Museum be open to the public be as shown under the heading 'Proposed' at Item (a) above;

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 15

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Museums Department:
Attendants and Cashiers (cont'd)

- (ii) the basic manning shift shown under 'Proposed' in Item (b) above be adopted, with the proviso that three additional attendants for the Centennial Museum and one additional attendant for the Maritime Museum may be employed on Saturdays, Sundays and Holidays from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m., a relief attendant be employed as required during week days and an attendant be provided for the St. Roch during school periods;
- (iii) the Museum Manager be authorized with the Director of Personnel Services to advise the Business Manager of the Employees' Union of selection of employees to be placed in Groups 'A', 'B' and 'C' and to give one months written notice to all other concerned employees (29 in total) of termination of employment;
- (iv) the Museum Manager be authorized to institute the new schedule as soon as possible after September 10, 1971.

NOTE: Attendance By Public

Attached to this report is a copy of the results of a survey, of the attendance by the public at the Centennial Museum, carried out in 1969 together with a report from the Museums Manager of paid attendance in recent months."

*(on file in City Clerk's Office)

MOVED by Ald. Adams,

THAT the foregoing recommendations of the Board of Administration be approved.

- CARRIED

Safety Procedures in the Planetarium

(a) The Council considered a report of the Board of Administration dated August 20, 1971, concerning safety procedures in the Planetarium, as follows:

"Your Board have received a letter from the Municipal and Regional Employees' Union, dated August 20, 1971, dealing with the safety of persons attending performances in the Planetarium. The letter suggests that an additional Attendant be retained.

Having regard to these representations your Board submit a report from the Manager, Museums and Planetarium, setting out the safety precautions proposed.

Your Board note a statement from the Manager that, should the new proposals prove inadequate or dangerous in any way, the Manager will report back (to Council) immediately.

Your Board submit the foregoing for the consideration of Council."

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 16

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Safety Procedures in the Planetarium
(continued)

MOVED by Ald. Adams,

THAT the Board of Administration report dated August 20, 1971, be received.

- CARRIED

(b) The Council also considered a report of the Board of Administration dated August 23, 1971, concerning a letter received from the Vancouver Museums and Planetarium Association also dealing with safety procedures in the Planetarium. The Board submitted correspondence between it and the Association on the matter.

The Board of Administration recommended:

'that the letters be referred to the Board of Administration so that the several points raised by the Vancouver Museums and Planetarium Association can be clarified and, if necessary, a further report be submitted to Council if there are any changes to the recommended proposals'.

MOVED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the foregoing recommendation of the Board of Administration be approved.

- CARRIED

Delegation Matters

It was agreed to defer the following matters to later in the day, pending the hearing of delegations:

- (a) Transient Youth: Children's Aid Society
- (b) Options to Repurchase: Redevelopment
Project II, Area A-5

COMMUNICATIONS OR PETITIONS

1. Nuclear Test:
Amchitka Island

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT the letter of reply from the Secretary of State for External Affairs, enclosing outline of the position the Canadian Government has taken with respect to the protest to the United States Government on the proposed nuclear test on Amchitka Island, be received.

- CARRIED

2. Utility Services Underground:
Gastown/Chinatown

A letter of reply was received from Dr. Shrum, Chairman of B.C. Hydro and Power Authority advising that if the City goes ahead with its plans to improve Maple Tree Square, the B.C. Hydro will cooperate by putting the distribution lines underground, at no cost to the City. It was advised that the offer applies only to the first phase of the Gastown project and will not be used as a precedent for later phases or for other areas in the City. Dr. Shrum also stated that the B.C. Hydro has established certain priorities for their underground program and do not wish to revise them at this time.

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 17

COMMUNICATIONS OR PETITIONS (cont'd)

Utility Services Underground:
(continued)

MOVED by Ald. Phillips,

THAT His Worship the Mayor, on behalf of Council, express appreciation to Dr. Shrum and request of him a list of priorities mentioned in the letter:

FURTHER THAT His Worship be in touch with the B.C. Telephone Company advising them of this decision by the B.C. Hydro and Power Authority and requesting the telephone company give favourable consideration to the City's earlier request of installing services underground in Maple Tree Square.

- CARRIED

3. Reorganization:
Vancouver Civic Government

A letter was received from the Minister of Municipal Affairs, dated August 19, 1971, containing the observations of the Minister as to a possible reorganization of the Vancouver civic government. The Minister stated that he would be prepared to discuss with the Council the implications of this proposed structural change on the day-to-day operations of the City of Vancouver and is also prepared to advance a proposal for reorganization at the next session of the Legislature, following public and Council discussion.

MOVED by Ald. Adams,

THAT the letter from the Minister of Municipal Affairs be referred to the Standing Committee on General Purposes for consideration and report.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Phillips, in amendment,

THAT the following words be added to the foregoing motion of Adams:

'and the Chairman of the General Purposes Committee invite the Minister to appear before the Committee to speak in support of his letter'.

- LOST

(The motion of Alderman Adams was put and carried)

4. Centennial Grant:
Lithuanian Folk Musicians

A letter dated August 17, 1971 was received from the Centennial Committee recommending that a grant be approved out of Centennial funds to the Lithuanian-Canadian Community, in the amount of \$175.00 in connection with Lithuanian folk musicians who will be visiting Vancouver in September.

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT the recommendation of the Centennial Committee for a grant of \$175.00 to the Lithuanian-Canadian Community be approved, on the following basis:

Bus Tour of City	\$140.00
Box Lunch	<u>35.00</u>
	\$175.00

- CARRIED BY THE
REQUIRED MAJORITY

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 18

COMMUNICATIONS OR PETITIONS (cont'd)

5. Disturbance: Gastown

A letter, dated August 16, 1971, was received from the Attorney General respecting recent disturbances in Gastown. The Attorney General acknowledged receipt of the resolution and documents forwarded to him by Council and advised that the submissions will be considered by him, together with other reports anticipated.

MOVED by Ald. Phillips,
THAT the letter from the Attorney General be received.

- CARRIED

6. Complaints re Capilano Stadium
Parking Lot

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,
THAT, as requested in a petition dated August 21 from residents of the 4500 block Ontario Street, arrangements be made to hear a delegation concerning the conditions surrounding Capilano Stadium.

- CARRIED

7. Proposed Commercial Development:
Victoria Drive at Prestwick Avenue

A letter was received from Mrs. Agnes Kripps, M.L.A., dated August 20, 1971 requesting that the issuance of a building permit in connection with a proposed commercial development at 7475 Victoria Drive, be withheld pending a review of the development. Attached to Mrs. Kripps' letter was a petition signed by 175 residents opposing this development. Mrs. Kripps proposed that whenever an area, designated for a specific kind of development is not developed as required and specified by the zoning by-law within a given period of time -- (approximately 15 - 20 years), then that by-law governing that area should automatically become frozen and subject to City Council review.

The Council agreed to hear Mrs. Kripps on this subject later today if this arrangement is suitable to her.

(see page 30)

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS

A. General Report, August 20, 1971

Works and Utility Matters

Construction Contract Procedure:
Withdrawal of Tenders (Clause 8)

The Board of Administration submitted a report of the City Engineer concerning the withdrawal of tenders involving construction contract procedure.

MOVED by Ald. Adams,
THAT City construction tender documents be drawn so that no bidder may withdraw his tender between the closing time for submission of tenders and the execution of the contract with the successful tenderer unless contract execution is delayed beyond a specific number of days from the closing. The number of days would depend upon the circumstances but might commonly be 30 or 60 calendar days; and

THAT the City Council, however, adopt as policy that it will permit the withdrawal of tenders without penalty where the tenderer can show to the Council, upon recommendation of the appropriate Chief Officer, that he has made a genuine, provable and significant error in his tender.

- CARRIED

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 19

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Shinerama 71 for Cystic Fibrosis (Clause 9)

The Board of Administration submitted a report of the City Engineer on a proposal by the Alma Mater Society of U.B.C. and the B.C. Institute of Technology to hold a Shinerama, or shoe shine day, on Friday, September 17, 1971, as a fund raising campaign to aid the Canadian Cystic Fibrosis Foundation. Permission to shine shoes on City sidewalks in this regard is requested by the organizations.

MOVED by Ald. Adams,

THAT permission be granted to the Alma Mater Society of U.B.C. and the B.C. Institute of Technology to place shoe shine boxes on City street allowances and to hold a shoe shine day in Vancouver on September 17, 1971, such permission being subject to:

1. Provision of adequate insurance coverage satisfactory to the Corporation Counsel indemnifying the City against all possible claims which may result from the Shinerama.
2. A six foot clearance in the downtown area and four foot clearance in the residential areas be available for the free movement of pedestrians along the sidewalks.

- CARRIED

Balance of Works and Utility Matters

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT, in respect of the report of the Board of Administration (Works and Utility matters), dated August 20, 1971, Clauses 1 to 7 inclusive and Clause 10 be adopted.

- CARRIED

Social Service Matters

Provincial Mental Health Branch
Treatment Program

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT the report of the Board of Administration (Social Service and Health matters), dated August 20, 1971, be adopted.

- CARRIED

Building and Planning Matters

Proposed Public Housing Sites:
S/W corner Windsor Street & 7th Avenue
N/S 8th Avenue betw. St. Catherines
and Windsor Streets (Clause 1)

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT Clause 1 of the report of the Board of Administration (Building and Planning matters), dated August 20, 1971, be adopted and the Board of Administration report on the present status of the availability of public housing sites in the City.

- CARRIED

Rezoning Application: E/S Renfrew Street)
between Pender and Turner Streets (Clause 3))
)
Rezoning Application: S/S West 68th Avenue)
between Cornish and Granville Streets (Clause 5))
)
Rezoning Application: W/S Nanaimo Street)
South of Vanness (Clause 6))

It was agreed to defer the foregoing matters pending the hearing of delegations as requested.

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 20

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Balance of Building and Planning Matters

MOVED by Ald. Adams,
 THAT, in respect of the report of the Board of Administration
 (Building and Planning matters), dated August 20, 1971, Clauses
 2, 4, 7 and 9 be adopted and Clause 8 received for information.
 - CARRIED

Licenses and Claims Matters

Claim: Mrs. W. Barr

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,
 THAT the report of the Board of Administration (Licenses and
 Claims matters), dated August 20, 1971, be received for
 information.
 - CARRIED

Finance Matters

Appointment of Auditors: 1972 (Clause 1)

The Board of Administration submitted a report of the Director
 of Finance concerning the appointment of External Auditors for
 the City for 1972. It was advised that the present auditors,
 Riddell Stead and Company, have applied for reappointment at a
 proposed fee of \$34,250.00, a 6.4% increase over the 1971 fee.

MOVED by Ald. Adams,
 THAT the firm of Riddell Stead and Company be appointed
 External Auditors for the City of Vancouver for the year 1972 at
 a fee of \$34,250.00 in accordance with the terms as to scope
 and responsibility as set out in Parts I to IV inclusive of the
 report re Audit of City's records dated January 22, 1962, submitted
 by the Board of Administration and adopted by Council on January 23,
 1962.
 - CARRIED

Pacific-Asian Conference of
 Municipalities (Clause 2)

The Board of Administration advised of an invitation received
 from the Mayor of Honolulu for the City to join an organization
 to be known as the Pacific-Asian Conference of Municipalities.
 The first conference has been arranged in Honolulu on November 28th.

MOVED by Ald. Broome,
 THAT this letter be received.
 - CARRIED

B. Personnel Matters,
 Supplementary, August 20

Deferment of 1970 Vacation Entitlement:
 Mrs. P.M. Barby and Mrs. L. Pritchard, Mayor's Office (Clause 1)

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,
 THAT Clause 1 of the report of the Board of Administration
 (Personnel matters, Supplementary), dated August 20, 1971, be
 adopted.
 - CARRIED

Added Duties for the Secretary to
 Director of the Public Library (Clause 2)

The Board of Administration submitted a report of the
 Director of Finance and the Coordinator of Data Processing and
 Systems advising that the Library Board has recommended that the
 position of Secretary to the Director of the Public Library be
 reclassified from Clerk Stenographer III to Clerk Stenographer IV.
 The Director of Personnel Services generally outlined the
 additional duties assumed by the incumbent since the position
 was last reviewed in 1967 and advised of the additional costs
 involved.

cont'd...

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 21

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Added Duties for the Secretary to
the Director of the Public Library (cont'd)

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT the position of Secretary to the Director of the Public Library be reclassified from Clerk Stenographer III to Clerk Stenographer IV and that the necessary funds be charged to the Library Salaries Account.

- CARRIED

C. Property Matters

Street Allowance: 37th Avenue
Fraser Street to Inverness Street
(Clause 5)

The Board of Administration advised that petitions had been received both for and against the sale of City-owned lots in the area of 37th Avenue, Fraser to Inverness Streets and that the City Engineer has submitted a report to the Board of Administration on a recommended width for 37th Avenue in this area.

MOVED by Ald. Phillips,

THAT arrangements be made for delegations to be heard on this matter and that a copy of the City Engineer's detailed report be given to the parties wishing to appear, prior to their appearance before Council.

- CARRIED

Balance of Property Matters

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT Clauses 1 to 4 of the report of the Board of Administration (Property matters), dated August 20, 1971, be adopted.

- CARRIED

D. Georgia Viaduct Replacement:
East Approach Route

(a) The Council considered a Board of Administration report dated August 17, 1971, wherein the City Engineer, Director of Planning and Civic Development and the Director of Social Planning/Community Development reported as a result of the consultants' report received regarding the design of the East Approach Route between the Georgia Viaduct and Highway 401. The consultants, Phillips, Barrett, Hillier, Jones and Partners, are reporting on the alignment for the following route which was specified in a Council resolution of February 24th:

"the permanent alignment from the Georgia Viaduct to Highway 401 is to be via Malkin Drive and the Great Northern Cut".

It was advised that a model has been prepared to illustrate the findings of the study.

The officials submitted a course of action for Council consideration.

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,

THAT,

(1) the East Approach Route Report be received by Council.

(2) Council instruct that a report reference by the consultants be arranged at a location suitable for viewing the model.

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 31

COMMUNICATIONS OR PETITIONS (cont'd)

8. Delegation: Coalition for Community
Control of the Police

The City Clerk advised of a letter received from Mr. Stephen Garrod representing the Coalition for Community Control of the Police Committee requesting to appear before Council to present a brief and speak on a revision to the Vancouver City Charter concerning the nature of the Police Commission, as well as some subsidiary matters.

MOVED by Ald. Adams,

SECONDED by Ald. Phillips,

THAT the organization be advised that the subject matter is beyond the jurisdiction of the Council; however, if it still wishes to be heard before the Council, the necessary arrangements be made.

BY-LAWS

1. BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW No. 4020
BEING THE BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION BY-LAW

MOVED by Ald. Broome,

SECONDED by Ald. Calder,

THAT leave be given to introduce a By-law to amend By-law No. 4020 being the Board of Administration By-law and the By-law be read a first time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Broome,

SECONDED by Ald. Calder,

THAT the By-law be read a second time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Broome,

SECONDED by Ald. Calder,

THAT Council do resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, to consider and report on the By-law, His Worship the Mayor in the Chair.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT the By-law number where shown as '4020' be changed to read in each case '4017', in accordance with the advice of the Corporation Counsel.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED

The Committee then rose and reported the By-law complete as amended.

MOVED by Ald. Broome,

SECONDED by Ald. Calder,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Broome,

SECONDED by Ald. Calder,

THAT the By-law be read a third time and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign same and affix thereto the Corporate Seal.

- CARRIED

(The By-law received three readings)

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 32

BY-LAWS (cont'd)

2. BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW No. 4243
BEING THE ENCROACHMENT BY-LAW

MOVED by Ald. Wilson,
SECONDED by Ald. Calder,

THAT leave be given to introduce a By-law to amend By-law No. 4243, being the Encroachment By-law and the By-law be read a first time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Wilson,
SECONDED by Ald. Calder,

THAT the By-law be read a second time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Wilson,
SECONDED by Ald. Calder,

THAT Council do resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, to consider and report on the By-law, His Worship the Mayor in the Chair.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Wilson,

THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED

The Committee then rose and reported the By-law complete.

MOVED by Ald. Wilson,
SECONDED by Ald. Calder,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Wilson,
SECONDED by Ald. Calder,

THAT the By-law be read a third time and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign same and affix thereto the Corporate Seal.

- CARRIED

(The By-law received three readings)

3. BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW No. 2046
BEING THE POUND BY-LAW

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT leave be given to introduce a By-law to amend By-law No. 2046 being the Pound By-law, and the By-law be read a first time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the By-law be read a second time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT Council do resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, to consider and report on the By-law, His Worship the Mayor in the Chair.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED

The Committee then rose and reported the By-law complete.

cont'd....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 33

BY-LAWS (cont'd)

By-law to amend the Pound By-law (cont'd)

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the By-law be read a third time and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign same and affix thereto the Corporate Seal.

- CARRIED

(The By-law received three readings)

MOTIONS

Pavements and Curbs on Special Grounds:
(Rupert Street, 1st Avenue to 2nd Avenue,
E/S and Graveley Street to 2nd Avenue W/S)

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT WHEREAS a proposed project for pavement and curbs on Rupert Street from 1st Avenue to 2nd Avenue on the east side and from Graveley Street to 2nd Avenue on the west side was recommended by the Board of Administration on June 4, 1971 and approved by Council on June 8, 1971;

AND WHEREAS the said project was advanced as a local improvement on the initiative principle to a Court of Revision on July 29, 1971, and was defeated;

AND WHEREAS it is hereby declared that it is necessary in the public interest to construct pavement and curbs on Rupert Street from 1st Avenue to 2nd Avenue on the east side and from Graveley Street to 2nd Avenue on the west side, as hereinafter set forth;

AND WHEREAS the Council deems that the said project will specially benefit the real property fronting and abutting on the said portions of Rupert Street;

AND WHEREAS the Council may by authority contained in section 506 of the Vancouver Charter by resolution passed by two-thirds of all its members, undertake and carry out the paving and the construction of concrete curbs, aforesaid, and assess the cost thereof against the real property so deemed to be specially benefited, as a local improvement;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that a pavement with a Portland cement concrete or asphaltic concrete base and asphaltic concrete wearing surface to widths varying from sixty (60) feet to eighty (80) feet, together with the necessary Portland cement concrete curbs and all other necessary and incidental work in connection therewith, be constructed on the said portions of Rupert Street;

cont'd.....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 34

MOTIONS (cont'd)

Pavements and Curbs on Special Grounds
(continued)

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the cost of the construction of the said pavement, curbs and all other necessary and incidental work in connection therewith be assessed against the real property fronting and abutting thereon as a local improvement upon the basis provided in By-law No. 3614, being the Local Improvement Procedure By-law, subject, however, to the limitations prescribed in the Vancouver Charter, aforesaid;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the proportion of the cost of the construction of the said pavement, curbs and all other necessary and incidental work in connection therewith to be borne by the City pursuant to the Local Improvement Procedure By-law and the Vancouver Charter, aforesaid, be assumed by the City and be paid out of the general revenue of the City or out of capital funds raised by the issue of debentures upon the general credit of the City.

- CARRIED BY THE
REQUIRED MAJORITY

2. Resurfacing and Widening on Special Grounds:
(49th Avenue, Oak Street to Elm Street N/S
and Oak Street to Marine Crescent S/S)

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,
SECONDED by Ald. Adams,

THAT WHEREAS there is an existing pavement twenty (20) feet in width on 49th Avenue from Oak Street to Elm Street on the north side and Oak Street to Marine Crescent on the south side;

AND WHEREAS a project for resurfacing and widening of the existing pavement on the said portions of 49th Avenue to widths of thirty-eight (38), forty-two (42) and sixty (60) feet, together with the construction of all necessary Portland cement concrete curbs, was recommended by the Board of Administration on June 4, 1971 and approved by Council on June 8, 1971;

AND WHEREAS the project was advanced as a local improvement on the initiative principle to a Court of Revision on July 29, 1971, and was defeated;

AND WHEREAS it is hereby declared that it is necessary in the public interest that the existing pavement on the said portions of 49th Avenue be resurfaced and widened and that Portland cement concrete curbs be constructed as hereinafter set forth;

AND WHEREAS the Council deems that the said resurfacing, widening and concrete curbs will specially benefit the real property fronting and abutting on the said portions of 49th Avenue;

AND WHEREAS the Council may by authority contained in section 506 of the Vancouver Charter by resolution passed by two-thirds of all its members, undertake and carry out the resurfacing, widening and construction of concrete curbs, aforesaid, and assess the cost thereof against the real property so deemed to be specially benefited, as a local improvement;

cont'd.....

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 35

MOTIONS (cont'd)

Resurfacing and Widening on Special Grounds
(continued)

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that pavement resurfacing and widening with a Portland cement concrete or asphaltic concrete base and asphaltic concrete wearing surface with the necessary Portland cement concrete curbs, together with all other necessary and incidental work in connection therewith, be constructed on the said portions of 49th Avenue in order to widen the pavement presently existing thereon to widths of thirty-eight (38), forty-two (42) and sixty (60) feet;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the cost of the construction of the said pavement, curbs and all other necessary and incidental work in connection therewith be assessed against the real property fronting and abutting thereon as a local improvement upon the basis provided in By-law No. 3614, being the Local Improvement Procedure By-law, subject, however, to the limitations prescribed in the Vancouver Charter, aforesaid;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the proportion of the cost of such construction to be borne by the City pursuant to the Local Improvement Procedure By-law and the Vancouver Charter, aforesaid, be assumed by the City and be paid out of the general revenue of the City or out of capital funds raised by the issue of debentures upon the general credit of the City.

- CARRIED BY THE
REQUIRED MAJORITY

3. Rapid Transit

At the Council meeting on August 10th, Alderman Wilson and Alderman Sweeney gave Notice of Motion on the subject of rapid transit which, by agreement of Council was today changed and now reads as follows:

MOVED by Ald. Wilson,
SECONDED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT WHEREAS Public Mass Transit can be a major contribution in alleviating traffic congestion pressures in the Greater Vancouver Regional Area;

AND WHEREAS the Regional District is now assessing the benefit of accepting the Provincial Government proposal of taking over the B.C. Hydro Transit system to form the basis of an adequate and efficient District Public Mass Transit operation for the whole of the Region;

AND WHEREAS the Provincial Government has proposed a cost sharing formula for a rapid transit system;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Vancouver City Council favours and recommends to the Regional District Board that rapid transit be accepted as the No. 1 priority in relieving pressure on the complex transportation system toward movement of people and goods.

- CARRIED

Regular Council, August 24, 1971 36

MOTIONS (cont'd)

4. Commercial Transport Service:
Vancouver

At the meeting on August 10th Notice of Motion was given by Alderman Wilson and Alderman Bird with respect to commercial transport service, Vancouver. Because of the absence of the seconder the Council agreed to defer consideration until the next meeting of Council.

ENQUIRIES AND OTHER MATTERS

Alderman Hardwick -
Reopening of Howe Street

requested that His Worship the Mayor appeal to the Fairview Corporation to have Howe Street reopened from Georgia to Robson Streets after Labour Day to alleviate the traffic problem in this area.

His Worship agreed.

Alderman Calder -
Road Markings

enquired if the City Engineer could improve the poor lane markings in the area of Georgia Street, Burrard to Granville Streets.

His Worship directed that this matter be attended to.

Alderman Broome
Effect of Cancelled
Meetings: July and August

requested the City Clerk to report on the effect of Council meeting every two weeks during July and August of this year.

His Worship so directed.

NOTICE OF MOTION

Alderman Broome submitted the following Notice of Motion, which was recognized by the Chair:

"THAT WHEREAS Canadian Pacific Airlines has its headquarters and major base of operations in the Greater Vancouver area and consequently is of major economic importance to this total area:

AND WHEREAS in 1964 the Federal Government divided international routes between Air Canada and C.P. Air giving Air Canada the high density North Atlantic routes to Europe and C.P. Air the much less attractive Pacific routes;

AND WHEREAS the Federal Government is negotiating a Canada-China agreement to allow a Canadian carrier landing rights at Peking or other Mainland Chinese cities;


THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED this Council request the Minister of Transport to designate C.P. Air as the Canadian carrier when such an agreement is concluded on the basis that it would be a logical extension of present C.P. Air routes far superior in every respect to an extension of the Air Canada Moscow route and also in accordance with the 1964 agreement;

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED a copy of this resolution together with the Sun editorial entitled a 'Slow Boat to China' be sent to all Vancouver Members of Parliament.

(Notice)

The Council adjourned at approximately 3:45 P.M.

The foregoing are Minutes of the Regular Council meeting
dated August 24, 1971, adopted as amended on August 31, 1971



MAYOR



CITY CLERK

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION (WORKS) 1

August 20th, 1971

The following is a report of the Board of Administration:

WORKS & UTILITY MATTERS

CITY ENGINEER'S REPORT

1. Closing Portion of Lane South of
19th Avenue West of Gladstone Street

"A 10-foot by 10-foot lane corner cut-off at the southeast corner of Lot E of Lot 15, Blocks 20 and 21, D.L. 195 is surplus to the City's highway requirements.

I RECOMMEND that the portion of lane shown outlined red on plan marginally numbered LF5774 be closed, stopped up and conveyed to the owner of abutting Lot E, subject to the following conditions:-

- (a) The value of the closed portion of lane be \$50.00 in accordance with the recommendation of the Supervisor of Property and Insurance.
- (b) The closed lane be added to the abutting Lot E to form one parcel."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing be approved.

2. Closing 7th Avenue between Guelph Street
and St. George Street - School Site

"The School Board has acquired all the property on both sides of 7th Avenue between Guelph Street and St. George Street for the relocation of Mount Pleasant School site. To consolidate the site, it is necessary to first close portion of 7th Avenue.

I RECOMMEND that all that portion of 7th Avenue lying between Guelph Street and St. George Street as shown outlined red on plan marginally numbered LF 5771 be closed, stopped up, and conveyed to the School Board subject to the School Board paying all costs related to the street closure and relocation of utilities.

The closure of the street referred to herein is deemed to be for the benefit of the City."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing be approved.

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, August 20th, 1971 (WORKS) 2

3. Leasing the West 20' of Salsbury Drive
North of Powell Street

"For the past 10 years, the west 20' of Salsbury Drive between Powell Street and the C.P.R. Right of Way has been leased to the abutting owner. The lease expired March 31st, 1971 and the Lessee wishes to continue to lease this portion of street.

I RECOMMEND that the portion of the west 20' of Salsbury Drive as shown outlined red on plan marginally numbered LE 1549 be again leased to the owner of abutting Lot 10, Block 2, Subdivision D, D.L. 183 subject to the following conditions:-

- (a) The term of the lease be for 10 years subject to a one year's notice of cancellation if the area is required for municipal purposes.
- (b) The rental to be \$600.00 per annum for the first 5 years, to be paid at a rate of \$50.00 per month, the rental to be subject to review at the beginning of the 6th year in accordance with the recommendation of the Supervisor of Property and Insurance.
- (c) All other conditions contained within the old agreement to be retained in the new agreement.
- (d) An agreement satisfactory to the Corporation Counsel and City Engineer."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing be approved.

4. Twin Sewers to Serve New City Subdivision
between Kaslo Street and Lane West Renfrew
Street, 16th to 17th Avenues

"Subdivision of this City-owned land requires extension of the existing system to provide service to twelve newly created lots.

The estimated cost of the work is \$13,000.

I RECOMMEND that \$13,000 be appropriated from Account Code 117/7901, 'Provision for City Subdivisions Unallocated'."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing be approved.

5. Installation of Water Main across C.P.R.
Tracks - Ash Street at Kent Avenue

"On July 13th, 1971, Council gave approval for the installation of a water main across C.P.R. tracks on Ash Street at Kent Avenue and for the execution of a lease agreement between the City and the C.P.R. for this crossing.

It has now become necessary for the City to seek permission for this crossing from the Railway Transport Committee.

I therefore RECOMMEND that the Corporation Counsel be instructed to make the requisite application to the Railway Transport Committee."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing be approved.

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, August 20th, 1971 (WORKS) 3

6. Registered Charges - The Subdivision and Consolidation of Parcels, and the Vancouver Land Registry Office

The City Engineer and Corporation Counsel report as follows:-

"The City of Vancouver has Indemnity Agreements comprising Boulevard Crossings under the Area By-Law and encroachments upon the streets under the Encroachment By-Law registered as charges against the abutting parcels.

Heretofore when parcels were being re-subdivided or consolidated and charges were registered against some or all of the titles involved, the Registrar followed the procedures of carrying forward these charges against the titles of the abutting parcels. The Registrar now requires that these charges be either extended to cover all the titles being created or the charges be released prior to the registration of the subdivision plan.

The Area By-Law provides that the City Engineer and the Corporation Counsel are authorized to approve the release of an existing crossing agreement where such agreement is to be replaced by a new agreement.

The Encroachment By-Law does not provide the authority to officials to release an Encroachment Agreement in respect to an existing encroachment which is to be replaced by a new agreement.

We RECOMMEND:-

- (a) That the Encroachment By-Law be amended whereby the City Engineer and the Corporation Counsel be authorized to approve the release of an existing encroachment agreement when such agreement is to be replaced by a new agreement.
- (b) That the Corporation Counsel advance an appropriate amending By-Law to the Encroachment By-Law."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing be approved.

7. TENDER NO. 46-71-1 - SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF WATERWORKS AND SEWERS GREY IRON CASTINGS

The Purchasing Agent and City Engineer report as follows:-

"Tenders for the above were opened by your Board on June 14, 1971 and referred to the City Engineer and Purchasing Agent for report. The working tabulation is on file in the Purchasing Agent's Office.

The tender call was for 26 items - we are reporting on only 25 because the 26th item was an alternative and is presently not required.

Four bids were received, all meeting specifications.

The total prices shown below are estimates only, based on an anticipated 12 month requirement.

The 5% B.C. Provincial Tax is in addition to all prices shown. The Federal Sales Tax is included in the total estimated prices for Waterworks Castings and excluded from the total estimated prices for Sewer Castings because the City of Vancouver is exempt.

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, August 20th, 1971 (WORKS 4

Clause 7 Continued

We RECOMMEND acceptance of the following low bids for a 12 month contract:

<u>Name of Firm</u>	<u>Number of Items to be Awarded</u>	<u>Central Stores Stock Number</u>	<u>Estimated Total Cost for a 12 Month Period</u>
<u>SCHEDULE I - WATERWORKS CASTINGS</u>			
		<u>65-273 Series</u>	
McLean & Powell	15	4005,4575,5143,3437, 2868,2298,1720,7909, 7750,1159Frame,1159Cover, 5713,5754,0581,6281	\$33,112.24
Associated Foundry	1	0011	2,923.20
<u>SCHEDULE II - SEWER CASTINGS</u>			
		<u>70-059 Series</u>	
Dobney Foundry	6	0300, and 2300,0599,0880, 1175,2041,4070	\$45,463.50
Mainland Foundry	3	1464,1753,2629 and 2918	<u>61,882.50</u>
Estimated Total of Award for both Waterworks and Sewer Castings -			<u>\$143,381.44</u>

Your Board

RECOMMENDS that the recommendation of the Purchasing Agent and City Engineer be approved, subject to 5% Provincial Sales Tax and contracts satisfactory to Corporation Counsel.

8. CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT PROCEDURE -
WITHDRAWAL OF TENDERS

The City Engineer, at the request of your Board, has reviewed one aspect of the City's contract procedure. He reports:

"It is usual to require that tenders for construction contracts be supported by a bid bond or deposit as a guarantee that the tenderer will sign the contract and post the performance bond if awarded the job. Under the City's present normal procedure, however, a tender may be withdrawn without penalty at any time up to the actual award of the contract.

An alternative form of tender (used for example on the Georgia Viaduct Replacement Contracts) requires that the tenders remain open for a stated period after the closing of bids. As long as an award is made within that period, the bid cannot be withdrawn and refusal to sign the contract could result in forfeiture of the bid bond (up to the difference between that tender and the price for which the City then contracts for the work).

A number of other public bodies have been contacted. Several have contract documents which forbid withdrawal. The Greater Vancouver Sewerage & Drainage District, for example, adopted such a form of tender in November 1970.

The Public Works Association of British Columbia has issued 'Recommended Standards of Specifications for Municipal Works' which include the provision that 'no bidder will be permitted to withdraw his tender between the closing time for receipt of tenders and the actual award of contract unless the award is delayed for a period exceeding 30 calendar days.'

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, August 20th, 1971 (WORKS) 5

Clause 8 Continued

Associations and individual contractors representing the construction industry have been contacted. They favour there being a penalty for withdrawal of tenders but with the provision that a tenderer who has made a genuine and provable error should be allowed to withdraw without penalty.

The Law Department advise that the form of tender proposed is enforceable only if it is under seal. The following recommendations, therefore, will apply only to formal construction tenders which require a bid bond or deposit and which will be opened in public and awarded by the Board of Administration or Council.

The matter has been reviewed with the City Building Inspector, who administers most of the City's building construction contracts, and he is in agreement with the recommendations.

I RECOMMEND:

1. That City construction tender documents be drawn so that no bidder may withdraw his tender between the closing time for submission of tenders and the execution of the contract with the successful tenderer unless contract execution is delayed beyond a specific number of days from the closing. The number of days would depend on the circumstances but might commonly be 30 or 60 calendar days.
2. That the City Council, however, adopt as policy that it will permit the withdrawal of tenders without penalty where the tenderer can show to the appropriate Chief Officer that he has made a genuine, provable and significant error in his tender."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing recommendations of the City Engineer be adopted.

CONSIDERATION

9. Shinerama 71 for Cystic Fibrosis

The City Engineer reports as follows:

"As in previous years, the Alma Mater Society of the University of British Columbia, and the British Columbia Institute of Technology, propose to hold a Shinerama or Shoe Shine Day on Friday, September 17, 1971, as a fund raising campaign to aid the Canadian Cystic Fibrosis Foundation. To accomplish this they are requesting permission to shine shoes on City sidewalks which requires approval of City Council, as per Sections 69(2) and 71(1) of the Street and Traffic By-law. Approximately 1500 students are expected to participate and will be deploying themselves around the Greater Vancouver area.

No objections have been raised by the Police Department and the only requirement needed from a traffic standpoint is that clearance be left on the sidewalk to allow free pedestrian movement.

If permission is granted to the Alma Mater Society of the University of British Columbia to place shoe shine boxes on City street allowance and to hold a shoe shine day in Vancouver on September 17, 1971, such permission should be subject to the following conditions:

Cont'd . . .

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, August 20th, 1971 (WORKS) 6

Clause 9 Continued

1. Provision of adequate insurance coverage satisfactory to the Corporation Counsel indemnifying the City against all possible claims which may result from the Shinerama.
2. That six foot clearance in the downtown area and four foot clearance in the residential areas be available for the free movement of pedestrians along the sidewalks."

The City Engineer submits the matter to Council for Consideration.

(Copies of the communication from Shinerama Vancouver dated July 27, 1971 and a history of Shinerama are circulated for Council's information.)

RECOMMENDATION

10. Greater Vancouver Water District -
Capilano Main No. 5 and Easement through
Shaughnessy Hospital Property

'The Corporation Counsel reports as follows:-

"The Department of Veterans Affairs has agreed to grant an easement for water main purposes to the Greater Vancouver Water District in, over and upon Shaughnessy Hospital property on Oak Street. This property is owned by the Crown Dominion. The City has a right-of-way for sewer and water purposes over this property. The District has asked the City to consent to the granting of this easement by the Crown Dominion. The consent will be drawn in such a way as to provide that it shall not operate to abridge or restrict the rights of the City under its prior right-of-way. The City Engineer and I have approved the consent in this form."

Your Board

RECOMMENDS that the foregoing report of the Corporation Counsel be adopted, that the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign the said consent and that the common seal of the City be affixed thereto.'

All documentation with respect to the easement was subsequently completed, however, the Greater Vancouver Water District now advises that Messrs. Thompson, Berwick, Pratt & Partners, Architects for St. Vincent's Hospital, have suggested an alternative route for the easement arising out of the St. Vincent's Hospital expansion program at 32nd and Heather. This alternative route is over the same Crown property referred to in the Council minute of February 4, 1969, against which is registered the same sewer and water easement of the City. The solicitors for the District have advised the Corporation Counsel that the alternative route is satisfactory to the District and has submitted a new easement agreement covering the substituted route between the Crown and the District to the City requesting the Council's consent.

Cont'd . . .

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, August 20th, 1971 (WORKS) 7

Clause 10 Continued

The City Engineer has considered this request and has no objection to the giving of such consent. It is recommended therefore that the City consent to the easement between the Crown and the District respecting the alternate route in the form submitted by the solicitors for the District on the following conditions:-

1. That such consent shall not operate to abridge or restrict the rights of the City under its sewer and water easement which was made between the Crown, as Grantor, and the City, as Grantee, dated August 25, 1960, and registered in the Land Registry Office under number 317205-M; and
2. That the District release the existing easement between the Crown and the District.

It is further recommended that the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign the said consent and the common seal of the City be affixed thereto."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing recommendations of the Corporation Counsel be adopted.

FOR ADOPTION SEE PAGE(S) 524

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 (Social 1)

SOCIAL SERVICE AND HEALTH MATTERS

RECOMMENDATION

1. Provincial Mental Health Branch Treatment Program

The Medical Health Officer reports as follows:

"Council on January 9, 1970, approved a plan for the accommodation of a Provincial Mental Health Branch treatment team to operate in the Unit 4 area (South-east portion of the city). This team was to function complementary to the City of Vancouver Health Department Mental Health Program. The agreement was subject to -

1. No direct cost to be incurred by the City.
2. The plan to be implemented for a six months' trial, at which time a report to Council will be submitted.
3. That there be no further extension of the mental health treatment program until Council has adopted a policy subsequent to discussion of this report.

The program became operative in March 1970, but by October 1970 it was not really possible to evaluate the program due to insufficient duration of operation, staff changes, vacations, etc. The March 1970 - March 1971 experience with this program has now been compiled and the following statements are made in respect of this analysis.

1. There have been no direct costs to the City of Vancouver.
2. Administrative arrangements have evolved to a mutually satisfactory level permitting this program to operate successfully.
3. Many residents of the South-east part of the city have received treatment services which would not have been otherwise available from the City Health Department, or from other resources in the community.
4. Individual, group, and drop-in type programs have been successfully developed in cooperation with the City departments and with community agencies.

In summary, it has been possible to extend the volume and variety of mental health service in this part of the city without any overlap with the City Health Department. Treatment in depth has been possible for some citizens who required this extra service.

In view of the foregoing I would like to recommend -

1. That the Provincial Mental Health Service continue to be accommodated in Unit 4 under the present arrangements.
2. When space permits, similar Provincial Mental Health Branch participation be extended to East Unit (Victoria Drive) and North Unit (North-east portion of the city), thereby developing an expanded mental health treatment program in partnership with the appropriate City departments."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the above report of the Medical Health Officer be approved.

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 . . . (Building & Planning)1

BUILDING AND PLANNING MATTERS

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Proposed Public Housing Sites -
South West Corner Windsor Street & 7th Avenue
North side of 8th Avenue between St. Catherines
and Windsor Streets

"Lots 9-12, Blk. 109, DL264A, South West Corner Windsor Street and 7th Avenue; Lots 15-19, Blk. 109, DL 264A, North side of 8th Avenue between St. Catherines and Windsor Streets are the subject of current applications by the City to the Senior Governments for provision of public housing. Both sites consist of vacant City-owned land and are zoned RM-3 Multiple Dwelling District. The site at Windsor Street and 7th Avenue (132' x 122') was estimated to provide approximately 20 units and the site at 8th Avenue between St. Catherines and Windsor Streets (165' x 122') was estimated to provide approximately 26 units, in the form of one and two-bedroom apartments of frame construction.

Representatives of the Senior Governments questioned the high indicated land costs in relation to the number of units which would be provided. C.M.H.C. officials advised also that foundation costs are likely to be high due to poor soil conditions. Investigations have been made by this Department towards securing lower per unit costs.

The investigations led to the possibility of combining the two sites by closing a portion of the lane between 7th and 8th Avenues and adding two privately-owned lots presently developed with dwellings. By the use of this larger site, and the combination of low rise and high rise construction and an increase in the proportion of one bedroom units, it is hoped that an economically acceptable project might be produced. However, the results indicated a land cost per unit of approximately \$2,800, and, further, it has been ascertained that the privately-owned properties are not on the market for sale.

It appears, therefore, that continued investigation of these two sites for public housing will not be productive. Both these sites have problems due to their topography and environment and it will take some study to determine the most appropriate use and method of disposal as an alternative to Public Housing.

It is RECOMMENDED, therefore, that the City's request to the Senior Governments for the provision of public housing on Lots 9-12, and Lots 15-19, Block 109, DL 264A, be withdrawn and that a report be submitted on the more appropriate use and method of disposal of these sites."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing report of the Director of Planning and Civic Development be approved.

2. Rezoning Application: South side Parker Street
and North Side Napier Street between Clark and
Odium Drives

"An application has been received from Mr. N. Campbell for Chuck Wagon Meat Processors Ltd., 2310 West 4th Avenue, requesting an amendment to the Zoning and Development By-Law whereby Lot 10 ex. E.25', Block 30, D.L. 182, Lot 10, Block 30, D.L. 182, and Lot 5, Block 30, D.L. 182 would be rezoned from an RM-3 Multiple Dwelling District to an M-1 Industrial District.

The applicant states the purpose of his application is: "wholesale butcher, use No. 25, Food Processing, Manufacturing and Packaging, M-1 zone schedule of named uses."

Cont'd..

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 (Building) 2

Clause 2 Continued

The subject properties consist of one lot (Lot 5, Block 30, D.L. 182) 48.5' wide by 132' deep fronting onto Parker Street, and two lots (Lot A of 10 and Lot 10 except E.25', Block 30, D.L. 182) having a combined width of 48.5' and a depth of 132' fronting onto Napier Street.

The subject properties are in the middle of the block bounded by Clark Drive, Parker Street, Odium Drive and Napier Street. The abutting properties to the west front onto Clark Drive and are zoned as an M-1 Light Industrial District. The properties to the east, separated by a varying width City lane, are zoned as an RM-3 Multiple Dwelling District and front onto Odium Drive.

Brief History

Lot 10 except E.25' (23.5' wide) is a City-owned lot. A portion of this lot has been used over the years to provide a rear vehicular access to existing non-conforming dwellings on three lots in the industrial area fronting Clark Drive. In addition, one non-conforming dwelling has an entrance encroachment over the City-owned lot.

In 1963, City Council, on recommendation from the Technical Planning Board and Town Planning Commission, approved an application to rezone Lot 5 from an RM-3 Multiple Dwelling District to an M-1 Industrial District, subject to conditions. This application was not completed.

Also in 1963, an application to rezone Lot 10 except E.25' to an M-1 Industrial District was not approved by City Council. However, the applicant was advised that a new application to rezone this lot would be favourably considered if the applicant would also acquire and consolidate the abutting 25' lot A with the application.

At a Public Hearing in March, 1965, City Council considered an application to rezone the combined lots, Lot 10 except E.25' and Lot A, to an M-1 Industrial District. Representations were made to Council regarding the use of a portion of the City-owned property for providing vehicular access to the rear of the abutting lots. Council resolved "THAT this matter be referred back to the Director of Planning for consideration as suggested above in respect of extension of Urban Renewal Scheme No. 3".

On subsequent report to City Council, because of the ownership and access problems within the block, Council approved recommendations that the Director of Planning arrange to adjust the boundaries of Urban Renewal Scheme No. 3 study area to include both the subject block and such surrounding blocks as considered necessary. While this was done, the actual study was not completed because of a change in government policy on urban renewal schemes.

Recognizing the problems of vehicular access to the three lots which front Clark Drive abutting the City-owned lot, it is considered that one solution is that a new building be allowed on the northerly Lot 5 with the two southerly lots being used for required off-street parking and off-street loading and unloading facilities for the new building. In addition, a right of vehicular access be given to the three contiguous properties (Lots E, F and G) fronting Clark Drive. This right of access would cease to each individual lot when each lot is redeveloped for industrial purposes and/or the existing dwellings are discontinued for residential use.

Regarding the two-storey dwelling existing as a second principal building on the site at the rear of Lot H which abuts the City lot but fronts onto Clark Drive, it would be necessary for the existing encroachment of a step and entrance way to be removed from the existing City-owned lot.

Cont'd . . .

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 (Building) 3

Clause 2 Continued

The Technical Planning Board on July 30th, 1971 therefore recommended THAT the application be APPROVED, subject to prior compliance by the owners with the following conditions:

1. Approval of City Council is first obtained on report from the Supervisor of Property & Insurance, for the purchase of the existing City-owned lot, being Lot 10 except E.25', with satisfactory arrangements being made to provide vehicular access to the rear of Lots E, F and G, for as long as each lot is wholly used for residential purposes.
2. The easterly 3' of the site is to be dedicated to the City for lane purposes and the balance of the lots to be first consolidated into one parcel and so registered in the Land Registry Office.
3. The scheme of development to be first approved by the Technical Planning Board, having regard to the RM-3 Multiple Dwelling District to the east of the North/South City lane.

The Town Planning Commission on August 6th, 1971 concurred with the foregoing recommendation of the Technical Planning Board."

YOUR BOARD

RECOMMENDS that the reports of the Technical Planning Board and the Town Planning Commission be received and the matter be referred for the consideration of Council at a Public Hearing.

3. Rezoning Application: East side Renfrew Street
between Pender and Turner Streets

"An application has been received from Netupsky Engineering Co., 1075 West Georgia Street, requesting an amendment to the Zoning and Development By-Law whereby Lots E, 28 to 32, Block 71, THSL would be rezoned from an RM-3 Multiple Dwelling District and RS-1 One Family Dwelling District to a CD-1 Comprehensive Development District.

The applicants state the purpose of their application is 'erection of a 100-room hotel development, including licensed premises'.

Submitted with the application is a letter, advising in part:

'The proposed development comprises:

1. A 100-room hotel (4 storeys, 38 ft. high), with some relatively minor commercial areas, possibly including a bank.
2. A 3,000 sq. ft. neighbourhood 'pub' with an English courtyard entrance.
3. Preservation of some existing trees on the property and the creation of a 50 ft. park-like 'buffer' between the hotel and the properties to the east.
4. Provision for parking (covered) for some 165 cars, plus four short-term convenience parking stalls.
5. A site area of 43,360 sq. ft., with a building area of 47,400 sq. ft. (F/S ratio of 1.1)'

Cont'd . . .

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 (Building) 4

Clause 3 Continued

Also submitted with the application are drawings prepared by Boris Netupsky, Engineer, and Dion Hamer, Architect, indicating a 4-storey hotel building with a restaurant, beverage room and related facilities on the main floor, approximately 40' in height above Renfrew Street with two floors of underground parking. The open portions of the site are developed with a driveway, 4 off-street parking spaces and off-street loading and unloading facilities, patios, and landscaping.

The scheme of development as proposed includes the property on the east side of Renfrew for a depth of 131.6' along Turner Street to the south and Pender Street to the north, together with the intervening 66' wide Carlisle Street. It is proposed to provide a southerly outlet from Carlisle Street to Turner Street over a 55' wide lot (Lot 28) immediately to the east of the hotel development.

Brief History

On February 26th, 1959, an application was filed by Dr. F. M. Hall to rezone Lots A and B (now Lot E) from an RM-3 Multiple Dwelling District to a C-2 Commercial District to erect a hotel. The Technical Planning Board and the Town Planning Commission recommended that the application be not approved, and that application be made to rezone Lots A, B and C from an RM-3 Multiple Dwelling District to an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District.

On April 14th, 1959, Council resolved that the application to rezone Lots A and B to a C-2 Commercial District be referred to a Public Hearing.

On June 15th, 1959, Dr. F. M. Hall withdrew his application at the Public Hearing.

On June 19th, 1959, Dr. Hall submitted a further application to rezone Lots A, B & C to a C-2 Commercial District to permit the erection of a motel. The Technical Planning Board and Town Planning Commission reiterated their previous recommendation.

On August 4th, 1959 Council adopted the Technical Planning Board's recommendation and the Director of Planning was instructed to prepare an application to rezone the three lots to an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District.

On October 15th, 1959 a Public Hearing was held to consider both applications, i.e. (a) Dr. F. M. Hall's application to rezone to a C-2 Commercial District, and

(b) Director of Planning's application to rezone to an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District.

Council at the Public Hearing approved the rezoning to a C-2 Commercial District subject to:

- (1) Lots A, B and C being consolidated.
- (2) Development maintaining a 24' landscaped strip along Pender Street and 10' along Renfrew Street.
- (3) Development to be first approved by the Technical Planning Board indicating screening along Carlisle and east side of property.
- (4) No roof signs or advertising on roof of the building.

Cont'd . . .

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 (Building) 5

Clause 3 Continued

On April 8th, 1964, Development Permit Application #30879 was filed by R. Sequin, Architect, on behalf of East Side Holdings Ltd., to erect a hotel. After submission of revised drawings by the applicant on June 2nd, 1964, the application was approved by the Technical Planning Board on July 3rd, 1964, subject to a number of conditions being first met by the owner prior to the issuance of the development permit. These conditions were not met and the development permit application was cancelled by the Director of Planning on January 3rd, 1965.

On October 21st, 1963, a rezoning application was filed requesting that Lots 30, 31 and 32 be rezoned from an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District to an RM-3 Multiple Dwelling District. This was not recommended by the Technical Planning Board and Town Planning Commission and the application was not approved by Council on December 3rd, 1963.

On May 2nd, 1966, Dr. F. Hall of East Side Holdings Limited submitted an application to rezone Lot E, and Lots 28-32, Block 71, THSL to a CD-1 Comprehensive Development District for the purpose of a hotel development.

The Technical Planning Board recommended that the application be not approved for the following reason:

Rezoning of this property to a CD-1 Comprehensive Development District to permit an 8-storey hotel is considered incompatible with the adjacent single family residences.

The Technical Planning Board wished it noted however that they would give favourable consideration to the building of a hotel which may include zoning in depth on both sides of Hastings Street between Cassiar and Boundary Road, or alternatively, both sides of Hastings Street between Renfrew Street and Victoria Drive, subject to a suitable form of development.

The Town Planning Commission concurred in this recommendation, but recommended that favourable consideration be given to the building of a hotel on Hastings Street and further north on Renfrew Street, subject to a suitable form of development being presented.

City Council, on October 25th, 1966, referred the application to a Public Hearing and on April 13, 1967, at the Public Hearing, City Council did not approve the submitted application.

Present Application:

The site is the same as previously considered by Council at the Public Hearing on April 13th, 1967.

A comparison of the previously submitted scheme and the scheme now submitted is as follows:

<u>Item</u>	<u>Previous Scheme</u>	<u>New Scheme</u>
Height of Building	8 floors - 74' high	4 floors - 40' high
Size of Building	48' x 112' long with a 58.6' x 51' (approx.) one-storey addition to the east.	54' x 200' long with a 46' x 72' one-storey addition to the north.
Parking	Two floors of underground parking for a total of 72 cars.	Two floors of underground parking for a total of approx. 165 cars.
Open Portions of Site	Off-street parking for 52 cars, driveway and landscaping areas.	Off-street parking for 4 cars, off-street loading and unloading facilities, driveway, patios and landscaping.

Cont'd . . .

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 (Building) 6

Clause 3 Continued

Floor Space Ratio	Stated to be 1.1.	Stated to be 1.1.
Accommodation	82 sleeping units	100 rooms
Other Facilities	Ballroom, Cocktail Lounge, Dining Lounge, Banquet Room, Coffee Shop, etc.	Restaurant, related hotel facilities, small amount of retailing and a 3000 sq. ft. Beverage Room.

It is also noted that the underground parking structure, while being below grade adjacent to Renfrew Street, would project above grade particularly along Turner to the south and the one-family dwellings to the east.

The Technical Planning Board on July 30th, 1971 RECOMMENDED that the application be NOT approved as it is considered that rezoning of this site to a CD-1 Comprehensive Development District to permit the proposed hotel development is incompatible having regard to the one family dwelling district zoning and development, both in the surrounding area and immediately adjacent to the requested hotel development.

The Technical Planning Board would re-affirm its favourable consideration to a suitable hotel development, which may include zoning in depth, on both sides of Hastings between Cassiar and Boundary Road, or alternatively, both sides of Hastings between Renfrew and Victoria Drive.

In addition, the Technical Planning Board notes that City Council, following a Public Hearing on September 12th, 1968, approved, on recommendation from the Technical Planning Board and Town Planning Commission, a hotel development site on the south side of Franklin Street between Kaslo and Renfrew Streets, subject to conditions.

The Town Planning Commission on August 6th, 1971 concurred with the foregoing recommendation of the Technical Planning Board."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the application be refused in accordance with the recommendations of the Technical Planning Board and Town Planning Commission.

DELEGATION REQUEST - Netupsky Engineering Co.

**4. Rezoning Application: North side East Broadway
between Carolina & Fraser Streets (635 East Broadway)**

"An application has been received from Mr. C. Kartsomas, 2290 Blenheim Street, requesting an amendment to the Zoning and Development By-Law whereby Lot 13, Block 119, D.L. 264A would be rezoned from an RM-3 Multiple Dwelling District to a C-2 Commercial District.

The subject site has a frontage of 49.5' and is located on the north side of Broadway between Carolina and Fraser Streets.

In 1965 there were three lots in the half block fronting East Broadway that were not zoned as a C-2 Commercial District. Following two Public Hearings in 1965, City Council approved the rezoning of two of these lots (lots 11 and 12) to a C-2 Commercial District, subject to the scheme of development being first approved by the Technical Planning Board but not to include a car sales lot. At this time the Technical Planning Board had also recommended that should an application be received to rezone the subject Lot 13 to a C-2 Commercial District, such application would receive favourable consideration.

cont'd...

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 (Building) 7

Clause 4 Continued

The Technical Planning Board on July 30th, 1971 RECOMMENDED that the application be APPROVED, subject to prior compliance by the owners with the following condition:

The detailed scheme of development is to be first approved by the Technical Planning Board, such scheme of development not to include a car sales lot.

The Town Planning Commission on August 6th, 1971 concurred with the foregoing recommendation of the Technical Planning Board."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the reports of the Technical Planning Board and the Town Planning Commission be received and the matter be referred for the consideration of Council at a Public Hearing.

5. Rezoning Application: South side West 68th Avenue
between Cornish and Granville Streets

"Mr. A. Rae, 2736 West 49th Avenue, in July 1969 requested an amendment to the Zoning and Development By-Law whereby Lots 9, 10 and 11, Block 5, D.L. 325 would be rezoned from an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District to a C-2 Commercial District. Mr. Rae subsequently requested his application be not processed further at that time as he was attempting to acquire additional property.

In February, 1970 Mr. Rae re-affirmed his request that the rezoning application be further deferred and in July, 1970, the Zoning Planner advised Mr. Rae that the Department could not support rezoning of a portion of a block which would leave one isolated lot, and requested advice as to the disposition of his application.

In June, 1971 Mr. Rae requested that his application be now dealt with.

The subject lots are three of five lots zoned as an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District on the south side of West 68th Avenue between Cornish and Granville Streets. The remainder of the block bounded by Cornish to the west, West 70th to the south, Granville to the east and 68th Avenue to the north is zoned as a C-2 Commercial District. The greater portion of this commercial area contains a Safeway retail store development.

The west half of the block abutting Cornish Street was formerly an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District but was rezoned to a C-2 Commercial District to permit the Safeway retail store development following approval by City Council in 1965, on recommendation from the Technical Planning Board and Town Planning Commission, subject to conditions, including provision of a 24' landscaped setback on Cornish Street.

In July, 1965, City Council considered an application from Mr. Rae to rezone two of the three subject lots (Lots 9 and 10) from an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District to a C-1 Commercial District to "build retail stores". The Technical Planning Board and Town Planning Commission recommended that the application be not approved, but that if an application was received to rezone all five lots fronting West 68th Avenue, including acquisition of the existing City lane, then favourable consideration could be given to such application, subject to the scheme of development being first approved by the Technical Planning Board after advice from the Design Panel, and subject to adequate landscaped setbacks being provided from Cornish and West 68th Avenue.

Cont'd . . .

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 (Building) 8

Clause 5 Continued

The three lots comprising the application would have a combined frontage of 99' on West 68th Avenue. The remaining two lots would have a combined frontage of 81' zoned RS-1 One Family Dwelling District.

The Technical Planning Board on July 30th, 1971 RECOMMENDED that the application be not approved for the following reasons:

Rezoning of the three subject lots is considered to be unsuitable as such rezoning would leave two lots zoned and used as an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District in an area otherwise wholly zoned and developed for commercial purposes.

However, the Technical Planning Board would support a new application, if filed, to rezone the five lots (Lots 9-13, Block 5, D.L. 325) to a Commercial District, subject to a satisfactory scheme of development being first approved by the Technical Planning Board after advice from the Design Panel, including the provision of adequate landscaped setbacks.

The Town Planning Commission on August 6th, 1971 concurred with the foregoing recommendation of the Technical Planning Board."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the application be refused in accordance with the recommendations of the Technical Planning Board and Town Planning Commission.

DELEGATION REQUEST - Mr. A. Rae

6. Rezoning Application: West side Nanaimo Street
South of Vanness

"An application has been received from Mr. G. DePieri, 4119 Nanaimo Street requesting an amendment to the Zoning and Development By-Law whereby Lot 2, Block 6, D.L. 741 (4119 Nanaimo) would be rezoned from an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District to an RT-2 Two Family Dwelling District.

The applicant states the purpose of his application is 'converting the one family dwelling situate thereon into a two-family dwelling or duplex, by adding an additional floor'.

The subject lot is located within an area on the west side of Nanaimo Street and developed as an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District. Immediately opposite on the east side of Nanaimo is the site of the former Associated Foundry at present zoned as an M-2 Industrial District.

The applicant's solicitor, Mr. E. Wasson, Q.C., of Cowan and Co., was advised by letter in November, 1970, by the Zoning Planner, that a second storey addition to the existing building could not comply with the floor space ratio provisions of the RT-2 Two Family Dwelling District Schedule of the Zoning and Development By-Law. Further, it was the Department's opinion that a formal application to rezone the subject property to an RT-2 Two Family Dwelling District could not receive the support of the Technical Planning Board.

The applicant's solicitor subsequently advised that they wished to proceed with the submission of their rezoning application to City Council, and a formal application was filed on June 1st, 1971.

The Technical Planning Board on July 30th, 1971 RECOMMENDED THAT the application be NOT APPROVED as such rezoning would create an insulated RT-2 Two Family Dwelling District in an area zoned and developed as an RS-1 One Family Dwelling District.

cont'd....

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 (Building - 9)

Clause #6 continued:

The Town Planning Commission on August 6th, 1971 concurred with the foregoing recommendation of the Technical Planning Board."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the application be refused in accordance with the recommendation of the Technical Planning Board and Town Planning Commission.

DELEGATION REQUEST - E. Wasson, Q.C.

7. Air-Supported Structure for Jericho Tennis Club - 3837 Point Grey Road

The City Building Inspector reports as follows:

"A request has been received from Jericho Tennis Club to erect an air supported structure to serve as a cover for two of their tennis courts for a period of one year.

The tent-like structure measures 100' x 114' and is supported by a fan which operates on normal power supply.

Although the Vancouver Building By-law makes no provision for air-supported shelters of this type, it does permit in Section 2.6 temporary buildings or tents to be erected for a period not exceeding two years, subject to approval by Council.

However, in the 1970 National Building Code, there are regulations dealing specifically with air-supported structures, which are permitted for a period of 12 months with the requirement that a Certificate of Occupancy be issued to cover this period. At the end of the 12 months, the approval may be renewed provided the structure still complies with the regulations when examined at that time.

It is recommended that Council give approval to erect the proposed air structure at Jericho Tennis Club and either remove it or obtain further approval from Council at the end of the one year period subject to

- (1) Compliance with the 1970 National Building Code with respect to occupancy, structural and mechanical requirements.
- (2) Compliance with Section 2.6 of the Vancouver Building By-law with respect to Fire Protection, Sanitation and Bond of Indemnity to guarantee removal.
- (3) Compliance with the Zoning & Development By-law which includes approval of the Technical Planning Board."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the recommendation of the City Building Inspector be adopted.

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 (BUILDING - 10)

INFORMATION

8. 3708 Point Grey Road
Mr. and Mrs. W.D. Smith

Mr. and Mrs. W. D. Smith wrote to His Worship Mayor Campbell requesting permission to re-install a dwelling unit on the second floor of the above building. The Director of Permits and Licenses reports as follows:

"July 24, 1958 an inspection showed that the basement contained two sleeping units that were equipped with cooking facilities and that the main and attic floors were occupied as one dwelling unit.

August 8, 1958 an application was made for a Development Permit to add to and convert the building to provide a duplex containing one dwelling unit on the main floor and one dwelling unit on the second floor. This application was refused.

September 4, 1958 an application was made to the then Board of Appeal to convert the building into a duplex containing one dwelling unit on the main floor and one dwelling unit on the second floor. This Appeal was refused.

October 14, 1958 a Building Permit was issued permitting alterations to be made to the dwelling to provide an increased floor area on the second floor. An affidavit stating that the building would continue to be used as a one family dwelling and signed by W. Duncan Smith and Mary L. Smith is attached to this Building Permit.

March, 1965 an inspection showed the building was occupied as a two family dwelling. Charges were laid against Mary L. Smith on August 28, 1965 and at the request of the defendant, numerous adjournments were given. Some evidence was presented in court and the matter was again adjourned pending the revision of the City Charter with respect to the Zoning and development By-law and the revision of the charging sections of the Zoning and Development By-law. A stay of proceedings on this charge was entered by the City Prosecutor on May 2, 1968.

July 9, 1969 an inspection showed that the building was still occupied as a two family dwelling. The owner was notified of the violation of the By-law.

November 5, 1969 Mr. Smith filed an application for consideration under City Council's hardship policy. This application was refused. Mr. Smith was notified to restore the building to a one family dwelling but re-inspection on April 2, 1970 found that the building was still occupied as a duplex dwelling.

July 16, 1970 charges were laid against Mr. Smith and after several requests by defence counsel for adjournments, re-inspection was made of the building on November 12, 1970 where it was found that the building had been restored to a one family dwelling. Because of this, defence counsel requested that the charge be withdrawn and the City Prosecutor agreed to this request.

The Zoning and Development By-law states that the Technical Planning Board may permit dwelling units to remain provided the unit has been continually used and occupied since a date prior to June 18, 1956.

cont'd....

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 . . . (BUILDING - 11)

Clause #8 continued:

As the Unit on the second floor of this building was originally installed after June 15, 1956, has been discontinued as separate living quarters and the sink and cooking facilities disconnected, the Technical Planning Board cannot now consider any application to convert this building. The property is situated in an RS-1 one family dwelling district and it would therefore appear that the only recourse open to Mr. Smith is to again examine his rights to appeal to the Board of Variance.

Your Board forwards this report of the Director of Permits and Licenses for the INFORMATION of Council, and suggests that a copy be forwarded to Mr. and Mrs. Smith.

RECOMMENDATION

9. Bicycle Registration and Storage
Facilities: Oakridge Police Station

The City Building Inspector reports as follows:-

"On May 18, 1971 Council approved a report of the Board of Administration dated May 17, 1971, which recommended that selected contractors be invited by the architects to bid for a construction management contract to build the proposed Bicycle Registration facilities, this form of contract being proposed in order to expedite construction of the facilities.

Subsequently, it became evident that the facilities could not be completed by September 1, 1971, the date compulsory registration becomes effective, and that the construction contract therefore could be tendered and awarded on the normal, fixed price basis. Competitive, fixed price tenders were received from selected bidders, as tabulated below. Bidders were required to quote a separate price representing an increase or decrease of the basic tender for an alternative type of brick wall construction. This price appears in the tabulation after the basic tender. Every tender was accompanied by Bid Bond for \$3,500.00.

	Basic Tender	Deduct for Alternate
Allan and Viner Construction Ltd.	\$68,980.00	\$1,200.00
Kennett Contracting Ltd.	\$72,980.00	\$ 697.00
Allstate Construction Co. Ltd.	\$73,177.00	\$ 500.00
Mutual Construction Ltd.	\$79,595.00	\$ 550.00
Grimwood Construction Co. Ltd.	\$85,654.00	\$ 400.00

The tenders were examined and found to be in order. The lowest tender is that of Allan and Viner Construction Ltd.

The basic tender includes a provisional sum of \$3,000.00 for contingencies. It is recommended that this sum be reduced to \$2,000.00, and that the alternative price of \$1,200.00 be accepted. The tender will be reduced thereby to \$66,780.00. The low bidder offers completion within three months.

On the basis of the low tender, revised as recommended above, the total cost of the project, including construction of the facilities, architects' and consultants' fees, site valuation, required landscaping, utilities, services, and sundry items is now estimated to be \$90,460.00. This total exceeds the amount appropriated on the basis of preliminary estimates, by \$4,460.00.

The Director of Finance advises that the required additional sum can be provided from 1971 Supplementary Capital Funds. For Council information it is noted that the proceeds from property sales, which form part of the supplementary capital funds available, are expected to exceed the amount estimated for 1971.

cont'd....

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 . . . (BUILDING - 12)

Clause #9 continued:

It is RECOMMENDED that City Council:

- (1) Approve the appropriation of \$4,460.00 from 1971 Supplementary Capital funds, for the purpose of completing the required facilities.
- (2) Accept the tender of Allan and Viner Construction Ltd. and enter into a contract with them in the amount of \$66,780.00 on the basis recommended above, such contract to be satisfactory to the Corporation Counsel.
- (3) Authorize the City Treasurer to return the Bid Bonds of the unsuccessful bidders.

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS that the foregoing recommendations of the City Building Inspector be adopted.

FOR ADOPTION SEE PAGE(S) 524-525

Board of Administration, August 20, 1971 (Licenses 1)

LICENSES AND CLAIMS MATTERS

INFORMATION:

1. Claim No. 11904 - Mrs. W. Barr

The Corporation Counsel reports as follows:

"Mrs. Barr was walking on the sidewalk on the north side of the 100 Block West 22nd Avenue on February 18, 1971, when she fell on an upraised portion of the sidewalk. She broke her glasses and suffered minor facial lacerations and a black eye, as well as bruises and lacerations to both knees.

The sidewalk where Mrs. Barr fell was in generally good condition except for the fact that a portion of the sidewalk had been raised approximately 3/4" above the adjacent slabs by the growing action of the tree roots from an adjacent boulevard tree.

The British Columbia Court of Appeal in 1958, in the action of Lillian Douglas v. City of Vancouver decided that there is no liability upon the City for injuries suffered by someone who trips on an upraised sidewalk slab if the cause of the shifting was the "growing action of tree roots". In addition, Council on June 22, 1971 considered the claim of Mrs. Gladys Green who was injured in very similar circumstances, and decided to take no action on her claim at that time.

It is the opinion of the Corporation Counsel that there is no liability upon the City for the injuries Mrs. Barr has suffered. "

Your Board submits the foregoing report of the Corporation Counsel for Council's INFORMATION.

(Copies of Mrs. Barr's letters dated February 22nd, May 28th, and July 10th, 1971 are circulated for information.)

FOR ADOPTION SEE PAGE(S) 525

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION, August 20th, 1971 (FINANCE 1.)

FINANCE MATTERS

CONSIDERATION

1. Appointment of Auditors - 1972.

The Director of Finance reports as follows:

"The matter of appointment of External Auditors for the year 1972, pursuant to Section 230 of the Vancouver Charter, is submitted for consideration.

The City's present auditors, Riddell, Stead & Co., by letter dated August 12th, have applied for appointment as external auditors for the year 1972 and have submitted other information in support of their application.

The proposed fee for the year 1972 is \$34,250, a 6.4% increase over the 1971 fee of \$32,100, which they state is due to salary increases in line with cost of living increases.

The appointment of auditors should be in the following form:

That the firm of ----- be appointed External Auditors for the City of Vancouver for the year 1972 at a fee of \$----- in accordance with the terms as to scope and responsibility as set out in Parts I to IV inclusive of the report re Audit of City's records dated January 22, 1962, submitted by the Board of Administration and adopted by Council on January 23, 1962."

Your Board submits the report of the Director of Finance for Council consideration.

(Copies of letter dated August 12, 1971, from Riddell Stead & Co. are circulated for the information of Council.)

2. Pacific-Asian Conference of Municipalities

A letter has been received from the Mayor of Honolulu extending an invitation to the City of Vancouver to join an organization to be known as the Pacific - Asian Conference of Municipalities (PACOM). The membership is to be made up of Mayors, Legislators and other municipal officials of cities from countries bordering on the Pacific and Asian Oceans.

A copy of the letter and of the proposed Articles of Association are circulated for the information of Council.

It is advised that there will be no conference registration fees and all expenses while at the meetings will be borne by the City and County of Honolulu. Delegates will pay only for their rooms and meals.

Your Board submits the foregoing invitation for the CONSIDERATION of Council.

(A brochure submitted by the City of Honolulu explaining the purposes of PACOM is on file in the City Clerk's Office)

FOR ADOPTION SEE PAGE(S) 525

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATIONSUPPLEMENTARYPERSONNEL MATTERSRECOMMENDATIONAugust 20, 1971.

1. Deferment of 1970 Vacation Entitlement:
Mrs. P.M. Barby and Mrs. L. Pritchard, Mayor's Office

The Acting Director of Personnel Services reports as follows:

"I have received a request from Mr. C. Lowery, Executive Assistant to the Mayor, for Mrs. P.M. Barby and Mrs. L. Pritchard, both Clerk Stenographer IV's, to defer their remaining 1970 vacation entitlement to 1971. They were unable to take all their vacation in 1970 due to the pressure of work caused by the election of the members of Council.

Mrs. Barby: 2 days Mrs. Pritchard: 5 days

It is therefore recommended that the request of the Executive Assistant to the Mayor be approved."

YOUR BOARD

RECOMMENDS that the foregoing recommendation of the Acting Director of Personnel Services be adopted.

CONSIDERATION

2. Added Duties for the Secretary to
Director of the Vancouver Public Library

The Board of Administration has received the following report from the Director of Finance and the Co-ordinator of Data Processing & Systems.

"The Library Board has recommended that the subject position be reclassified from Clerk Stenographer III to Clerk Stenographer IV.

The Director of Personnel Services has reviewed this recommendation and agrees, in light of the incumbent's present duties.

The position was last reviewed in 1967 and classified as a Clerk Stenographer III at that time. Since that time there has been a gradual accretion of duties at a higher level than was anticipated in 1967. Recently for example, she has been asked to prepare the agenda for and record the minutes of Library Board meetings, a task originally the responsibility of the Assistant Director of the Library. The Director of the Library has made some minor realignment of duties of his senior staff primarily to strengthen the position of the Assistant Director by removing from that position a number of less important day to day administrative duties which can and should be handled by the senior clerical staff. The Assistant Director will become more involved in the professional development of the librarians, in book selection and in the general provision of services to the public. At this time there appears to be no other positions whose classification is affected by taking on additional duties from the Assistant Director.

cont'd....